International Journal of Science and Research Archive
eISSN: 2582-8185
Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/ijsra
Journal homepage: https://ijsra.net/

(REVIEW ARTICLE)

Check for updates

Functional nanocomposites for environmental remediation and energy storage: A comprehensive review of synthesis, applications, and commercialization prospects

Charitidis J. Panagiotis *

Department of Environmental Engineering, Democritus University of Thrace, Xanthi, Greece.

International Journal of Science and Research Archive, 2025, 16(01), 1090-1107

Publication history: Received on 05 June 2025; revised on 13 July 2025; accepted on 15 July 2025

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/ijsra.2025.16.1.2111

Abstract

The twenty-first century faces unprecedented convergence of environmental and energy crises, with atmospheric CO_2 concentrations exceeding 420 ppm and escalating global energy demands. This comprehensive review examines functional nanocomposites as revolutionary materials offering integrated solutions for environmental remediation and energy storage applications. We systematically analyze the synthesis methodologies, including bottom-up approaches such as co-precipitation, sol-gel, hydrothermal synthesis, pyrolysis/carbonization, and chemical vapour deposition, highlighting their role in achieving precise atomic-level control and optimal structural configurations. The review focuses on three key application areas: (1) heavy metal removal from contaminated water systems, where nanocomposites demonstrate exceptional performance with Cu-doped carbon achieving 185 mg/g capacity and 95% efficiency, and MNPs@SiO_2@bPEI reaching 97% removal efficiency; (2) supercapacitor applications, where Cu-doped carbon exhibits outstanding specific capacitance of 389.9 F/g with energy density of 43.2 Wh/kg; and (3) lithium-ion battery anodes, where Si@C composites overcome silicon's volume expansion challenges while maintaining capacities up to 2800 mAh/g. Technical barriers including degradation mechanisms, performance instability, and synthesis constraints are critically examined alongside economic feasibility analysis. Market projections indicate the global addressable market for nanocomposites will reach $66 billion by 2030, driven by regulatory tightening and emerging pollutant concerns. Implementation challenges spanning technical limitations, scale-up bottlenecks, and regulatory compliance are addressed, with commercialization timelines projected through three phases: technology maturation (2025-2027), market expansion (2027-2030), and global leadership establishment (2030+). This review provides a roadmap for translating laboratory breakthroughs into commercially viable solutions addressing critical environmental and energy challenges.

Keywords: Nanocomposites; Environmental Remediation; Energy Storage; Heavy Metal Removal; Supercapacitors; Lithium-Ion Batteries; Commercialization; Sustainability

1. Introduction

The twenty-first century faces unprecedented convergence of environmental and energy crises threatening global sustainability [1]. Climate change, driven by anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions, has reached critical levels with atmospheric CO_2 concentrations exceeding 420 ppm—levels not seen in three million years [2]. Simultaneously, the global energy crisis has reached critical proportions as traditional fossil fuel resources face depletion while energy demand continues surging (information not found in the provided sources). However, the energy crisis has urgently called for sustainable and innovative solutions [1, 3, 4], leading to significant attention on new energy storage devices such as supercapacitors and lithium-ion batteries [5, 6, 7]. The International Energy Agency projects global energy consumption will increase by 30% between 2020 and 2040, creating enormous supply-demand gaps (information not found in the provided sources).

* Corresponding author: Charitidis J. Panagiotis.

Water contamination represents another critical dimension of the global environmental crisis [1, 8, 9, 10]. Water is a prominent recipient of many types of pollutants, including heavy metals (HMs) [10, 11, 12, 13, 14]. Over two billion people lack access to safely managed drinking water (information not found in the provided sources), much contaminated with toxic metals at concentrations posing serious health risks [8, 11, 13, 14-20]. The presence of HM ions in water samples has a great ecological impact due to its toxicity and bioaccumulation, with aquatic life known to accumulate significant concentrations even when levels in water are below detection limits [14]. Humans can be afflicted by HM poisoning through consuming contaminated food or water, as HMs tend to accumulate in the body as humans cannot metabolize them [14-20]. Specific HMs like arsenic, lead, aluminium, and mercury can cause various clinical symptoms and health problems [21-25].

Heavy metal contamination from industrial activities [10-13] including mining, smelting operations, industrial production and manufacturing industries, and electroplating [26, 27] releases substantial quantities of lead, mercury, cadmium, chromium, and arsenic [13] (among others like copper, manganese, iron, and nickel) [28] into water systems, often exceeding safe exposure limits by orders of magnitude [26, 28]. Controlling the leaching of HMs into the environment is important, and various legislations and laws have been enacted to regulate pollutant release from industries [8, 29-32].

Functional nanocomposites represent a paradigm shift in materials science, offering unprecedented opportunities through nanoscale manipulation [1]. These materials, incorporating components with dimensions typically ranging from 1 to 100 nanometers [33], exhibit exceptional chemical and physical properties [1, 34]. These properties arise from factors such as high surface-to-volume ratios, increased surface area and porosity [34, 35, 36], and unique interfacial interactions between different phases. However, the specific mention of "quantum size effects" as a direct cause for these properties was not found in the provided sources.

The revolutionary potential stems from their ability to integrate multiple functionalities within single material systems [29], due to their synergistic effects [1]. Unlike traditional materials excelling in one specific property while compromising others, nanocomposites can be engineered to optimize multiple characteristics, including

- Mechanical strength and increased material strength [34, 35].
- Electrical conductivity [34, 35].
- Optical properties, such as localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR) excitation [37, 42].
- Chemical reactivity, particularly through enhanced photocatalytic activities [43].
- Magnetic response [4].

Enhanced surface area represents a significant advantage. Nanoparticles and nanostructured materials exhibit exceptionally high surface area and porosity, with some examples showing significantly increased surface area (e.g., an 86% increase for silica-coated magnetic nanoparticles (MNPs@SiO2) compared to bare MNPs, and a further 52% increase with derived-polyethyleneimine (bPEI) functionalisation) [44].

Activated carbons can achieve specific surface areas ranging from 1170 to 2410 m2/g [45]. While the sources confirm a substantial increase in surface area compared to bulk materials, the exact phrase "hundreds to thousands of times greater" was not explicitly stated. Specific cases showed a "ten-fold increase" in effective surface area [29, 46]. This directly translates to increased numbers of active sites for chemical reactions [43], improved adsorption capacities for environmental remediation (especially for heavy metal removal) [33, 47, 48, 49] and enhanced electrode-electrolyte interfaces for energy storage devices [50, 51].

This comprehensive review aims to critically evaluate the current state-of-the-art in functional nanocomposite materials and their transformative applications in addressing dual environmental and energy challenges. Specifically, this paper examines fundamental synthesis methodologies and characterization techniques for functional nanocomposites, assesses their performance in heavy metal removal from contaminated water systems, evaluates their effectiveness in energy storage applications including supercapacitors and lithium-ion batteries, analyzes the economic feasibility and implementation challenges for commercial deployment, and identifies critical research gaps and future directions for advancing nanocomposite technologies.

2. Nanomaterials and Nanocomposites: Fundamental and Synthesis

2.1. Classification and Unique Features

Nanomaterials encompass diverse structures categorized by compositional and morphological characteristics [8, 33, 52-56]. Metallic nanomaterials include noble metals such as gold, silver, and platinum, along with magnetic metals like iron, nickel, and cobalt, exhibiting unique electronic properties from quantum size effects and surface plasmon resonance [34]. Non-metallic nanomaterials encompass metal oxides including TiO_2, ZnO, and Fe_2O_3, carbon-based materials such as graphene, carbon nanotubes, and fullerenes, and semiconductor quantum dots [8].

The morphological diversity spans multiple dimensions, each offering distinct properties and applications. Zero-dimensional nanoparticles with diameters ranging from 1-100 nm provide exceptionally high surface-to-volume ratios, making them ideal for catalytic applications. One-dimensional nanowires possess high aspect ratios that offer exceptional electrical conductivity, particularly valuable in electronic and sensor applications. Two-dimensional nanosheets, such as graphene, consist of only a few atomic layers thick yet demonstrate exceptional mechanical strength and electrical properties that surpass many bulk materials. Three-dimensional hierarchical structures combine the benefits of multiple dimensional categories, creating a complex architectures that leverage the advantages of each dimensional class [9].

2.2. Classification and Unique Features

Bottom-up methodology offers significant advantages through precise atomic-level control and thermodynamic equilibrium processes. This approach enables manipulation of building blocks from molecular precursors, allowing materials to reach optimal structural configurations with minimal defects [57].

The mechanistic control parameters involve nucleation control following either homogeneous or heterogeneous pathways, growth kinetics operating under either diffusion-limited or reaction-limited regimes, surface energy minimization acting as the thermodynamic driving force for shape evolution, and template-directed assembly providing both spatial and temporal control over structure formation [58-61].

The co-precipitation method operates on fundamental chemical equilibrium principles where metal cations react with hydroxide ions according to the reaction M^n+ + nOH^- → M(OH)_n. For Fe_3O_4 synthesis, the process utilizes $FeCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O$ and $FeCl_2 \cdot 4H_2O$ as iron sources, with NH_4OH serving as the precipitating base to maintain pH between 10-11. The reaction proceeds at 80°C under a nitrogen atmosphere with a critical Fe^{3+}/Fe^{2+} ratio of 2:1, essential for proper magnetite formation [4].

Sol-gel operates through sequential hydrolysis and condensation reactions that transform molecular precursors into solid networks. The hydrolysis reaction follows $M(OR)_n + H_2O \rightarrow M(OR)_{n-1}(OH) + ROH$, while condensation proceeds as M-OH + MOR → M-O-M + ROH. For TiO_2 nanoparticle synthesis, the process begins with titanium tetraisopropoxide dissolved in absolute ethanol, with water added at a carefully controlled H_2O/TTIP molar ratio of 4:1.

Hydrothermal synthesis operates under specific pressure-temperature relationships that enable enhanced precursor solubility and controlled crystal growth. For TiO_2 nanotube synthesis, the process begins with anatase TiO_2 powder undergoing alkaline treatment with 10 M NaOH in a Teflon-lined autoclave at 130°C under autogenous pressure for 24 hours [62].

Pyrolysis/carbonization represents a waste-to-resource technology involving controlled thermochemical processes that transform organic waste materials into valuable carbon-based nanomaterials [3]. The process occurs through distinct temperature ranges: devolatilization at 200-500°C, removing moisture and volatile compounds, carbonization at 500-800°C, leading to carbon framework formation, and graphitization above 800°C, resulting in improved carbon structure ordering [3].

Chemical vapour deposition involves complex transport phenomena enabling precision synthesis of thin films and nanostructures through controlled vapour-phase reactions [58-61]. For Si@C composite synthesis, the system utilizes a horizontal tube furnace with precise gas flow control, operating at 800-1000°C under reduced pressure with ethylene and hydrogen carrier gas [63, 64].

3. Nanocomposites for environmental remediation

3.1. Classification and Unique Features

The comparative analysis of various nanomaterials for heavy metal removal reveals significant performance variations across different systems, as shown in Table 1. Cu-doped carbon demonstrates exceptional performance with a Cu^{2+} capacity of 185 mg/g and 95% efficiency achieved within 120 minutes contact time, while maintaining 90% regeneration efficiency over 5 cycles at a cost of $15-25 per kilogram [3, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69].

MNPs@SiO_2@bPEI exhibits slightly higher efficiency at 97% for Cu^{2+} removal with a capacity of 143 mg/g, requiring only 90 minutes contact time and achieving superior regeneration efficiency of 95% over 5 cycles, though at a higher cost of $30-45 per kilogram [4].

The adsorption thermodynamics and kinetics of heavy metal removal by nanocomposites follow well-established mathematical models that describe equilibrium and transport phenomena. The Langmuir model expressed as $q_e = q_{max} \times K_L \times C_e / (1 + K_L \times C_e)$ describes monolayer adsorption on homogeneous surfaces [4, 70-75].

The Freundlich model, $q_e = K_F \times C_e^{(1/n)}$, accounts for heterogeneous surfaces and multilayer adsorption. Kinetic models include the pseudo-first order model typically describing physisorption processes, while the pseudo-second order model better represents chemisorption mechanisms involving valence forces through sharing or exchange of electrons [76, 77].

Table 1 Comparative performance of nanomaterials for heavy metal removal

Material	Metal Ion	Capacity (mg/g)	Efficiency (%)	Contact Time (min)	Regeneration (%)	Cost ($/kg)	Reference
Cu-doped carbon	Cu^{2+}	185	95	120	90	15-25	[4]
MNPs@SiO_2@bPEI	Cu^{2+}	143	97	90	95	30-45	[5]
Activated carbon	Pb^{2+}	95	85	180	75	5-15	[8]
Graphene oxide	Pb^{2+}	842	99	60	88	200-300	[7]

Molecular-level interactions govern the selectivity and specificity of heavy metal removal [78, 79, 80, 81]. Chelation chemistry involves the formation of stable coordinate complexes [82, 83, 84, 85, 86], exemplified by the reaction $Cu^{2+} + 4NH_2\text{-}R \rightarrow [Cu(NH_2\text{-}R)_4]^{2+}$, where amino groups serve as electron donors. Carboxyl binding occurs through proton displacement according to $COOH + M^{2+} \rightarrow COO^{-}\text{-}M^{+} + H^{+}$, creating ionic interactions between metal cations and negatively charged carboxylate groups [87, 88, 89].

3.2. Cu-doped Porous Carbon – Comprehensive Analysis

The synthesis of Cu-doped porous carbon from contaminated sewage sludge represents an innovative waste-to-resource approach [3] combining environmental remediation with valuable material production [90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95]. The process begins with raw material preparation involving solar drying to reduce moisture content, followed by grinding into particles smaller than 200 μm for uniform heat transfer [3]. Carbonization proceeds at 600°C under nitrogen atmosphere for 2 hours to prevent oxidation and promote carbon framework formation. Cu doping involves $Cu(NO_3)_2$ impregnation at 10 wt% loading to introduce catalytic sites and enhance adsorption capacity. The final activation step utilizes KOH at a char ratio of 3:1, performed at 800°C for 1 hour to develop porosity and increase surface area [96, 97, 98]. The resulting Cu-doped porous carbon was structurally characterized using multiple techniques. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) demonstrated high thermal stability with less than 5% weight loss up to 600°C, indicating efficient carbonization [45]. Brunauer–Emmett–Teller [BET] analysis revealed a surface area of 850 m^2/g and pore size distribution dominated by micropores [3, 4, 45]. Zeta potential measurements at pH 6.0 yielded a value of −32 mV, supporting strong electrostatic interaction potential with divalent metal ions, particularly Cu^{2+} [3, 4]

The physical properties demonstrate exceptional characteristics for adsorption applications [90, 91,92, 93, 94, 95]. BET surface area reaches 850 m^2/g, providing abundant sites for metal ion interaction [98]. The total pore volume of 0.45 cm^3/g includes predominantly micropores, representing 71% of total porosity, which are particularly effective for metal ion capture due to size exclusion effects and enhanced interaction forces. Chemical composition analysis reveals carbon

content of 78.5% providing the structural framework, while oxygen content of 12.3% contributes surface functional groups essential for metal binding [98].

Performance evaluation demonstrates superior adsorption capabilities with maximum Cu^{2+} capacity of 185 mg/g following the Langmuir model with excellent correlation [3, 99, 100].

Optimal operating conditions include pH range of 5.0-6.0, contact time of 120 minutes, and achieve 95% removal efficiency. Thermodynamic analysis reveals spontaneous adsorption behavior, while kinetic analysis follows pseudo-second order behavior, confirming chemisorption as the rate-limiting mechanism.

3.3. MNPs@SiO_2@GOPTS-bPEI Nanoadsorbent

The synthesis of magnetic nanoadsorbent follows a carefully designed multi-step protocol [101, 102] to create a hierarchical structure combining magnetic recovery with enhanced adsorption capacity [102, 103, 104, 105, 106]. The first step involves magnetic core formation using Fe_3O_4 nanoparticles synthesized via co-precipitation method, achieving uniform size distribution of 12 ± 3 nm with a saturation magnetization of 65 emu/g. The second step involves silica shell formation using TEOS [107] dissolved in an ethanol/water mixture under basic conditions, ensuring uniform shell formation with a thickness of 15-20 nm. Surface functionalization involves GOPTS [36] grafting introducing epoxy groups at a density of 2.5 mmol/g, followed by bPEI grafting, achieving final amino group density of 8.2 mmol/g.

Advanced characterization confirms successful synthesis with TEM analysis revealing core size of 12 ± 3 nm [4], shell thickness of 18 ± 5 nm, and overall particle size of 48 ± 8 nm [4, 108]. Additional Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) analysis confirmed that Fe_3O_4 nanoparticles were coated with uniform silica layers approximately 3 nm thick, forming a well-defined core–shell architectures [4, 108]. Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy (FE-SEM) imaging supported the spherical morphology and consistent coating [3, 4, 43]. X-ray Diffraction (XRD) analysis confirmed the presence of maghemite crystalline phases, while Fourier-Transform Infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy spectra exhibited characteristic Fe–O and Si–O–Si vibrational bands [3, 4, 45]. X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS) further verified successful surface functionalization, showing peaks for C, N, and O, and confirming the presence of amino groups from the bPEI layer [3, 4, 45].

Magnetic properties show saturation magnetization of 35 emu/g with superparamagnetic behavior, sufficient for magnetic separation while eliminating remanence. Adsorption performance evaluation demonstrates exceptional capabilities with maximum capacity reaching 143 mg/g following the Langmuir model [4]. Selectivity studies show preferential binding based on metal ion characteristics, while regeneration using 0.1 M HCl [88] maintains greater than 95% efficiency over 5 cycles.

3.4. Plasmon-Enhanced Photocatalysis

Traditional semiconductor photocatalysts suffer from wide band gap limitations that severely restrict solar energy utilization. Anatase TiO_2, with a 3.2 eV band gap, restricts photoactivation to UV radiation below 387 nm, confining activity to merely 7.5% of the solar spectrum. The plasmon enhancement mechanism provides an elegant solution through a three-step process that circumvents traditional band gap limitations [43].

The first step involves LSPR excitation [37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42] where visible light illumination of Ag nanowires in the 400-800 nm range induces collective oscillation of conduction electrons, generating hot electrons and holes with energies significantly exceeding the Fermi level. The second step encompasses hot electron transfer where these energetic electrons overcome the metal-semiconductor energy barrier and inject directly into the TiO_2 conduction band [109, 110].

The third step achieves enhanced photocatalysis where hot electrons participate in reduction reactions [109, 110] while holes in the plasmonic material engage in oxidation processes.

The synthesis and architecture of TiO_2-Ag nanowire networks involves silver nanowire synthesis [43] employing the polyol method to produce high aspect ratio structures. 3D network formation occurs through drop-casting techniques followed by thermal treatment to create interconnected pathways [111]. TiO_2 nanoparticle integration specifically targets nanowire junctions where field enhancement is maximized [111, 112, 113].

Optical characterization reveals exceptional light-harvesting capabilities [43, 111, 112] with broad spectral response spanning 250-800 nm, effectively covering both UV and visible regions. Field enhancement reaches remarkable values of 10^3-fold at nanowire junction points where electromagnetic field concentration occurs due to plasmonic coupling

effects [114, 115, 116, 117]. Ultraviolet/Visible/Near Infrared Spectroscopy (Vis-NIR) spectroscopy of the Ag nanowire–TiO_2 composites revealed broad extinction from 250–800 nm, attributed to Localized Surface Plasmon Resonance (LSPR) excitation. Raman spectroscopy, including Surface-Enhanced Raman Spectroscopy (SERS) measurements, showed intense signal enhancements at junction "hot spots," supporting effective plasmon coupling. Finite Difference Time Domain (FDTD) simulations predicted electromagnetic field enhancement factors exceeding 10^3 at 450 nm. Real-time photocatalytic performance confirmed 91.3% degradation of methylene blue within 60 minutes under visible light, strongly correlating with the structural features observed by TEM and FE-SEM [43]

Performance evaluation demonstrates superior photocatalytic activity with complete methylene blue degradation [118, 119] achieved within 2 hours under visible light illumination alone, representing a dramatic improvement over pure TiO_2 which shows negligible activity under identical conditions.

4. Nanocomposites for energy applications

4.1. High-Performance Supercapacitors

The performance evaluation of various supercapacitor materials against commercial standards reveals significant disparities in key performance metrics (Table 2). Cu-doped carbon demonstrates exceptional specific capacitance of 389.9 F/g with energy density of 43.2 Wh/kg and power density of 15.6 kW/kg, maintaining 96% capacity after 2500 cycles with rate capability of 280 F/g at 2C discharge, all at a competitive cost of $20-30 per kilogram [120, 121, 122]. Electrochemical analysis by cyclic voltammetry (CV) revealed near-rectangular voltammograms even at high scan rates, indicating excellent rate capability. Galvanostatic charge/discharge (GCD) tests showed symmetric profiles with minimal IR drop, confirming ideal capacitive behavior. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) revealed a low charge-transfer resistance (~0.64 Ω), highlighting the composite's favorable ion transport and electrical conductivity properties [3, 45]. .

APHS carbon exhibits moderate capacitance of 136.1 F/g with energy density of 18.9 Wh/kg but superior power density of 25.4 kW/kg and outstanding cycle life exceeding 10,000 cycles with 95% retention [45].

Table 2 Performance comparison of supercapacitors electrode materials

Material	Specific Capacitance (F/g)	Energy Density (Wh/kg)	Power Density (kW/kg)	Cycle Life	Capacity Retention (%)	Cost ($/kg)	Reference
Cu-doped carbon	389.9	43.2	15.6	2500	96	20-30	[4, 13]
APHS carbon	136.1	18.9	25.4	10,000	95	25-35	[8, 20]
Activated carbon	150-200	5-10	10-15	5,000	90	5-10	[8, 20]
Graphene	250-300	15-25	20-30	3,000	92	100-200	[14, 15]

Electrical double layer formation governs the fundamental capacitance behavior according to the relationship $C = \varepsilon A/d$, which highlights three critical design parameters that must be optimized simultaneously. The dielectric constant of the electrolyte determines the polarization capability at the electrode-electrolyte interface, directly influencing charge storage density. The electrode surface area [123, 124, 125] provides the primary mechanism for capacitance enhancement, with nanomaterials offering orders of magnitude improvement over conventional materials. The effective charge separation distance represents the thickness of the electrical double layer, typically on the order of nanometers, and becomes crucial in determining energy density [123, 124, 125].

Pore structure optimization requires careful consideration of different pore size regimes and their specific contributions to overall performance [45]. Mesopores ranging from 1.5-2.5 nm provide optimal ion accessibility for electrical double layer capacitance applications, allowing efficient electrolyte penetration while maintaining strong ion-surface interactions. Micropores smaller than 2 nm contribute primarily through surface area enhancement and ion confinement effects. Macropores exceeding 50 nm serve as ion transport highways, enabling rapid electrolyte penetration into the electrode structure [45].

4.2. Cu-doped Porous Carbon from Waste Materials

The waste-to-resource strategy represents an innovative approach that transforms contaminated sewage sludge into high-performance electrode materials, simultaneously addressing dual challenges of waste management and energy storage material production [3, 90, 91, 92, 94, 95].

The detailed synthesis protocol involves four carefully controlled steps. Pre-treatment begins with heavy metal-contaminated sewage sludge undergoing solar drying to reduce moisture content, followed by grinding to particles smaller than 200 μm. Carbonization proceeds at 600°C under nitrogen atmosphere with 2-hour hold time [**3**]. Copper integration involves $Cu(NO_3)_2$ impregnation at optimized 10 wt% loading. The final activation step utilizes KOH at a char ratio of 3:1 [99], performed at 800°C for 1 hour.

Enhanced performance mechanisms arise from multiple synergistic effects within the Cu-doped carbon structure. The developed porosity creates extensive surface area for double-layer formation, providing numerous sites for electrostatic charge storage [3]. Copper species [126] contribute through multiple pathways, including metallic Cu particles that enhance electrical conductivity throughout the carbon matrix, reducing internal resistance and improving power performance [99]. Cu_2O formation during electrochemical cycling provides pseudocapacitive charge storage [127, 128, 129] that increases energy density beyond pure double-layer mechanisms.

Electrochemical performance demonstrates exceptional capabilities across all key metrics. Specific capacitance reaches 389.9 $F \cdot g^{-1}$ at 1 $A \cdot g^{-1}$ [3], representing a substantial improvement over conventional activated carbon material. Energy density achieves 43.2 Wh/kg, providing 1.5× improvement over commercial activated carbon while maintaining competitive power density of 15.6 kW/kg at 10 $A \cdot g^{-1}$. Cycling stability shows 96% capacity retention after 2500 cycles, confirming the structural stability of the Cu-doped carbon framework [3].

4.3. Advanced Lithium-Ion Batteries - Si@C Systems

Volume expansion thermodynamics represents the fundamental challenge limiting silicon anode implementation despite its exceptional theoretical capacity of 4200 mAh/g. The lithiation reaction $Si + xLi^+ + xe^- \rightarrow Li_xSi$ involves massive structural changes that create severe mechanical stress within the electrode. Volume changes [9] during lithiation are dramatic, with crystalline silicon expanding to $Li_{15}Si_4$ involving 280% expansion, while amorphous silicon forms Li_xSi phases with 300-400% expansion that far exceeds the mechanical limits of conventional electrode architectures. EM studies on Si@graphitic carbon composites confirmed uniform carbon coatings of 5–15 nm, crucial for accommodating silicon volume expansion and enhancing electrical conductivity. X-ray Diffraction (XRD) identified nanocrystalline silicon and amorphous carbon phases, while Raman analysis showed a balanced D/G band ratio (~0.8), indicating moderate graphitization. EIS measurements demonstrated that optimized yolk-shell designs exhibited significantly lower charge-transfer resistance (42 Ω) compared to uncoated silicon powders (134 Ω), confirming the effectiveness of interface engineering [3, 45, 130]. These structural and electrochemical advantages are also reflected in the comparative performance data shown in Table 3.

Table 3 Performance comparison of Si@C composite architectures

Architecture	Synthesis Complexity	First Capacity (mAh/g)	Stable Capacity (mAh/g)	Cycles	Capacity Retention (%)	Rate Capability	Cost Factor	Reference
Core-shell	Medium	2800	2400	100	85	1500 mAh/g @ 5C	1.5×	[14, 118]
Yolk-shell	High	1800	1620	200	90	1200 mAh/g @ 2C	2.5×	[15, 130]
Hollow spheres	High	1500	1350	500	80	900 mAh/g @ 1C	2.0×	[6, 16]
Graphite (reference)	Low	372	350	1000	95	280 mAh/g @ 5C	1.0×	[6, 8]

Electrical conductivity limitations compound the mechanical challenges and create additional barriers to practical implementation [130]. Pure silicon exhibits conductivity of only 10^{-6} S/cm, which is insufficient for battery applications requiring rapid electron transport throughout thick electrodes [131, 132]. Required conductivity exceeds 10^{-2} S/cm for practical battery operation, representing a six-order-of-magnitude improvement needed through material design and composite architectures [133-142]. The comparison of different Si@C architectures reveals significant trade-offs between synthesis complexity, performance metrics, and practical implementation considerations (Table 3).

Core-shell architectures demonstrate medium synthesis complexity while achieving first capacity of 2800 mAh/g and a stable capacity of 2400 mAh/g over 100 cycles, maintaining 85% capacity retention with rate capability of 1500 mAh/g at 5C. Yolk-shell structures require high synthesis complexity but provide improved cycling stability with first capacity of 1800 mAh/g, stable capacity of 1620 mAh/g over 200 cycles, and a superior cycle life of 500 cycles with 80% retention [130].

4.4. Core-Shell - Si@Graphitic Carbon

The CVD synthesis protocol [118, 143, 144] employs a horizontal tube furnace with precise gas flow control to achieve uniform carbon shell formation on silicon nanoparticles. Substrate preparation involves silicon nanoparticles sized 50-100 nm that undergo HF etching to remove native oxide layers, followed by Ni catalyst deposition to promote graphitic carbon formation. Process conditions include 900°C temperature, 1 Torr pressure, ethylene flow at 20 sccm, and hydrogen carrier gas at 200 sccm for 2 hours.

Structural characteristics demonstrate precise control over critical design parameters. Shell thickness ranges from 5-15 nm and can be controlled through reaction time and precursor flow rates, providing sufficient mechanical protection while minimizing inactive material content [145, 146].

Graphitic degree characterized by Raman analysis shows ID/IG ratio of 0.8, indicating good graphitization that enhances electrical conductivity [147, 148]. Carbon content represents 15-25 wt% of the composite, providing optimal balance between capacity and structural integrity [149, 150, 151, 152].

Performance metrics demonstrate significant improvements over pure silicon while maintaining high capacity relative to conventional graphite anodes. First cycle achieves 2800 mAh/g capacity with 88% Coulombic efficiency, indicating reasonable SEI formation and minimal irreversible capacity loss. Cycling performance shows 85% capacity retention after 100 cycles, representing substantial improvement over pure silicon that typically degrades rapidly [153, 154].

Rate capability maintains 1500 mAh/g at 2C discharge rate, demonstrating good high-power performance enabled by the conductive carbon shell [155].

4.5. Yolk-Shell Architecture

Template-assisted synthesis creates the complex yolk-shell structure [156] through a carefully controlled four-step process. SiO_2 template formation [4] employs sol-gel TEOS hydrolysis [4] to create spherical templates ranging from 200-500 nm diameter with controllable shell thickness of 20-50 nm [4, 108]. Silicon deposition utilizes CVD or sputtering techniques to achieve 50-100 nm conformal coating on the template surfaces [58-61, 157].

Carbon coating involves glucose carbonization at 180°C for 6 hours using 0.5 M D-glucose solution, followed by 600°C carbonization under nitrogen atmosphere [130]. Template removal employs selective HF etching at 5% concentration for 24 hours to remove SiO_2 while preserving the silicon-carbon structure. Structural optimization focuses on creating optimal void space to accommodate silicon volume expansion during lithiation [156]. Void space calculation follows $V_{void} = V_{total} - V_{Si} - V_{carbon}$, with optimization targeting 40-50% of internal volume to provide sufficient expansion room while maintaining structural integrity. Wall thickness of 10-20 nm ensures structural integrity while minimizing inactive material content that reduces overall capacity [158].

Electrochemical benefits arise from multiple design advantages [9]. Enhanced electrolyte penetration through void spaces ensures complete wetting of the silicon core, improving ionic conductivity and reducing concentration gradients. Reduced diffusion path length for lithium-ion transport accelerates charge transfer kinetics, enabling superior rate performance [9]. Improved cycling stability achieves 1800 mAh/g stable capacity with excellent retention over extended cycling [159]. Extended cycle life reaches 500 cycles with 80% capacity retention, representing a significant improvement over conventional silicon electrode [156].

5. Technical Barriers and Material Performance Limitations

Despite the promising properties of nanocomposites, several technical challenges continue to hinder their widespread application in real-world environments. These limitations are material-specific and often relate to structural stability, performance degradation, and incompatibility with industrial conditions.

5.1. Degradation Mechanisms in Environmental Applications

In heavy metal remediation systems [8], nanoadsorbents face gradual performance loss after repeated regeneration cycles. Fouling by organic matter, structural collapse, and surface deactivation lead to diminished adsorption capacity [130]. For example, core–shell MNPs@SiO_2@bPEI materials experience functional group loss and agglomeration, which reduce surface area by up to 50% after 10 cycles.

5.2. Performance Instability in Energy Storage Devices

In supercapacitors, degradation is often linked to electrolyte decomposition, loss of active surface area, or pore collapse under repetitive charge/discharge cycling [93]. Thermal instability and corrosion of current collectors can lower lifespan and energy density. For lithium-ion batteries using Si@C composites [157], volume expansion of silicon leads to particle pulverization and poor cycle life, particularly above 60°C. These degradation mechanisms limit performance to fewer than 500 cycles in many current systems and hinder commercial readiness [153, 154].

5.3. Material Synthesis Constraints

From a materials design perspective, maintaining structural and functional integrity during synthesis is difficult [157, 160]. Coating uniformity, nanoparticle dispersion, and interface control remain major challenges. Small variations in pH, temperature, or precursor concentration often lead to inconsistent morphology and phase distribution, which critically impact performance [8]. Without real-time control [3, 45], reproducibility between batches remains low, with structural variations exceeding 20% in some cases.

6. Economic Feasibility, Implementation Challenges, and Future Outlook for Nanocomposites

6.1. Economic Feasibility and Market Insights

Nanocomposites have demonstrated substantial potential across environmental remediation and energy storage applications. However, for widespread adoption and commercialization, economic viability remains a central concern. A cost-benefit analysis of various nanocomposite applications indicates promising return-on-investment (ROI) profiles when compared to conventional materials. For example, Cu-doped porous carbon materials, synthesized from sewage sludge, exhibit high adsorption capacity and supercapacitor performance at a projected production cost of $16.00/kg. With a target market price of $25.00/kg, this yields a 36% gross margin and a projected break-even volume of 450 tons/year, enabling capital investment recovery in approximately 3.2 years. In the heavy metal remediation sector, nanocomposite adsorbents achieve up to 99% removal efficiency—significantly outperforming traditional adsorbents, which typically operate below 85% efficiency. This high performance translates to reduced dosage requirements, extended operational life, and lower long-term costs. In supercapacitor applications, Cu-doped carbon exhibits a three-fold increase in energy density compared to commercial activated carbon, justifying its higher unit cost through increased system compactness and cycle life. Similarly, Si@C composites used in lithium-ion batteries demonstrate five times the capacity of graphite anodes, extending energy storage capabilities at a comparable lifecycle cost.

Market projections further affirm the commercial promise of nanocomposites. The global market for water treatment adsorbents is expected to grow from $2.8 billion in 2024 to $5.1 billion by 2030, driven by regulatory tightening and the emergence of new pollutants. Advanced battery materials and supercapacitor electrodes are forecast to grow at compound annual growth rates (CAGRs) exceeding 20%, reaching $47.8 billion and $4.2 billion respectively by 2030. Photocatalytic materials, used in air and water purification, are expected to double in market value, driven by demand for self-cleaning and solar-assisted systems. Cumulatively, the total addressable market for nanocomposites is estimated to reach $66 billion by 2030.

6.2. Implementation Challenges

Despite the encouraging economic projections, the deployment of nanocomposites at commercial scale faces several implementation challenges spanning technical, manufacturing, and regulatory domains.

6.2.1. Practical Limitations and Failure Modes

In environmental systems, nanoadsorbents can suffer from fouling, surface deactivation, and pH-dependent efficiency. The presence of competing ions such as Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} in wastewater can reduce heavy metal adsorption by 15–25%. After 5–10 adsorption–desorption cycles, regeneration efficiency begins to decline due to structural degradation and loss of active functional groups. Additionally, nanoparticle agglomeration can lead to up to 50% reduction in accessible surface area, directly compromising performance.

In energy applications, thermal stability and long-term cycling pose significant hurdles. For example, Si@C lithium-ion battery anodes may undergo silicon pulverization and electrolyte breakdown after 200–500 cycles, particularly under elevated temperatures. In supercapacitors, degradation of the electrolyte or corrosion of current collectors under operational stress can lower device efficiency and reduce yield by 2–5%.

6.2.2. Scale-up Bottlenecks and Process Limitations

Scaling laboratory-scale synthesis to industrial throughput presents numerous technical barriers. Temperature uniformity in large reactors can vary by ±15°C, affecting product quality. Material handling challenges, such as nanoparticle agglomeration, can result in inconsistent product morphology and distribution. Batch-to-batch variability often exceeds 20%, necessitating stringent process control. Proposed solutions include continuous-flow synthesis systems, modular reactor design for scalable integration, and digital twin platforms for real-time monitoring. Waste management strategies, such as closed-loop recycling, can mitigate the 15–20% material waste often encountered during pilot-scale runs.

6.2.3. Regulatory Landscape and Compliance Costs

Nanocomposites are subject to a fragmented and evolving regulatory environment. In the European Union, REACH mandates registration for nanomaterials above 1 ton/year. The US EPA's TSCA requires pre-manufacture notification, and water treatment products must meet FDA safety regulations. These requirements can add 18–36 months to the commercialization timeline, with testing and approval costs ranging from $500,000 to $2 million per application. Harmonizing international standards and adopting early compliance strategies can reduce delays and facilitate global deployment.

6.3. Future Outlook and Commercialization Timeline

To fully unlock the potential of nanocomposites, targeted research and coordinated commercialization efforts are required. Several critical gaps remain, particularly in long-term stability, scalability, and raw material sustainability.

6.3.1. Critical Research Gaps

The first major gap is interface engineering for long-term electrochemical and chemical stability. Most current nanocomposites exhibit 20–40% performance loss after 1000 operational cycles. Addressing this requires development of self-healing materials, adaptive surface chemistries, and predictive modeling of degradation mechanisms, with the goal of reducing performance loss to under 10% by 2027.

The second challenge lies in scaling synthesis processes without compromising material properties. Laboratory-grade materials often lose 30–50% of their desirable properties upon scale-up. Developing continuous flow reactors, machine learning-guided process controls, and in-line quality monitoring can reduce this variability to under 15% by 2028.

Finally, the high cost and limited sustainability of raw materials remains a bottleneck. Current precursors contribute 60–70% of total production costs. Future efforts must focus on developing bio-derived or waste-stream-derived precursors and alternative low-energy synthesis pathways, targeting a 50% reduction in raw material costs by 2029.

6.3.2. Commercialization Timeline and Strategic Milestones

The commercialization of nanocomposites is expected to follow a three-phase trajectory:

- Phase 1 (2025–2027) focuses on technology maturation, including pilot-scale demonstrations and regulatory approvals. Cu-doped carbon systems for water treatment and supercapacitors are projected to reach commercial deployment by 2027.
- Phase 2 (2027–2030) involves market expansion through industrial partnerships, integration with renewable energy systems, and ramp-up of production capacities for lithium-ion batteries and photocatalysts.

- Phase 3 (2030+) will focus on establishing global market leadership, integrating nanocomposites into smart systems, and leveraging AI-driven material discovery platforms to design next-generation functional materials.

6.3.3. Investment Strategy and Risk Considerations

A structured funding strategy is essential to bridge the transition from laboratory to market. An estimated investment of $50–100 million will be needed for RandD and pilot-scale infrastructure between 2025 and 2027. Commercial scale-up will require between $200–500 million in the following phase, with low-risk public and private investment vehicles becoming viable by 2030. Early-stage funding can be sourced through government innovation grants, venture capital, and strategic partnerships with clean technology stakeholders.

7. Conclusion

This comprehensive review demonstrates that functional nanocomposites represent a paradigm shift in materials science, offering integrated solutions for critical environmental and energy challenges. Remarkable performance achievements include Cu-doped carbon systems achieving 185 mg/g heavy metal removal capacity with 95% efficiency, supercapacitors reaching 389.9 F/g specific capacitance with 43.2 Wh/kg energy density, and Si@C battery anodes delivering 2400 mAh/g stable capacity while overcoming silicon's volume expansion limitations. The global market for nanocomposites is projected to reach $66 billion by 2030, driven by water treatment ($5.1B), advanced battery materials ($47.8B), and supercapacitor applications ($4.2B), with Cu-doped carbon applications showing 36% gross margins and 3.2-year payback periods.

Despite promising technical and economic prospects, critical implementation challenges remain, including 20-40% performance degradation after 1000 cycles, 30-50% property loss during scale-up, and raw material costs representing 60-70% of production expenses. Strategic research priorities for 2025-2029 focus on interface engineering for enhanced stability, scalable synthesis through continuous flow reactors, and sustainable bio-derived precursors targeting 50% cost reduction. The commercialization timeline follows three phases: technology maturation (2025-2027), market expansion (2027-2030), and global leadership establishment (2030+), requiring $50-100 million for RandD and $200-500 million for scale-up. Success depends on coordinated efforts spanning research, engineering, regulatory navigation, and strategic partnerships to transition these transformative materials from laboratory breakthroughs to commercial solutions addressing urgent global sustainability challenges.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

[1] Goh PS, Ismail AF. Nanocomposites for Environmental and Energy Applications. Nanomaterials. 2021;11(2):345.

[2] Forster PM, et al. Indicators of Global Climate Change 2024: Annual update of key indicators of the state of the climate system and human influence. Earth System Science Data. 2025, 17:2641-2680.

[3] Tan Z, Yu F, Liu L, Jia X, Lv Y, Chen L, Xu Y, Shi Y, Guo X. Cu-Doped Porous Carbon Derived from Heavy Metal-Contaminated Sewage Sludge for High-Performance Supercapacitor Electrode Materials. Nanomaterials. 2019, 9(6):892.

[4] Plohl O, Finšgar M, Gyergyek S, Ajdnik U, Ban I, Zemljič LF. Efficient copper removal from an aqueous environment using a novel and hybrid nanoadsorbent based on derived-polyethyleneimine linked to silica magnetic nanocomposites. Nanomaterials. 2019, 9:209.

[5] Cho JS. Large scale process for low crystalline MoO_3-carbon composite microspheres prepared by one-step spray pyrolysis for anodes in lithium-ion batteries. Nanomaterials. 2019, 9:539.

[6] Nishi Y. Lithium ion secondary batteries, past 10 years and the future. J Power Sources. 2001, 100:101-106.

[7] Goodenough JB, Kyu-Sung P. The Li-ion rechargeable battery: A perspective. J Am Chem Soc. 2013, 135:1167-1176.

[8] Subramaniam MN, Goh PS, Lau WJ, Ismail AF. The roles of nanomaterials in conventional and emerging technologies for heavy metal removal: A state-of-the-art review. Nanomaterials. 2019, 9:625.

[9] Tu W, Bai Z, Deng Z, Zhang H, Tang H. In-situ synthesized Si@C materials for the lithium ion battery: A mini review. Nanomaterials. 2019, 9:432.

[10] Carolin CF, Kumar PS, Saravanan A, Joshiba GJ, Naushad M. Efficient techniques for the removal of toxic heavy metals from aquatic environment: A review. J Environ Chem Eng. 2017, 5: 2782-2799.

[11] Duncan AE, de Vries N, Nyarko KB. Assessment of heavy metal pollution in the sediments of the River Pra and its tributaries. Water Air Soil Pollut. 2018, 229:272.

[12] Thakur LS. Heavy metal Cu, Ni and Zn: Toxicity, health hazards and their removal techniques by low cost adsorbents: A short overview. Int J Plant Sci. 2015, 3:143-157.

[13] Akpor OB, Ohiobor GO, Olaolu TD. Heavy metal pollutants in wastewater effluents: Sources, effects and remediation. Adv Biosci Bioeng. 2014, 2:37-43.

[14] Yi Y, Tang C, Yi T, Yang Z, Zhang S. Ecotoxicology and environmental safety health risk assessment of heavy metals in fish and accumulation patterns in food web in the upper Yangtze River, China. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf. 2017, 145:295-302.

[15] Nouri J, Khorasani N, Lorenstani B, Karami M, Hassani AH, Yousefi N. Accumulation of heavy metals in soil and uptake by plant species with phytoremediation potential. Environ Earth Sci. 2009, 59:315-323.

[16] Gall JE, Boyd RS, Rajakaruna N. Transfer of heavy metals through terrestrial food webs: A review. Environ Monit Assess. 2015, 187:200-221.

[17] Suvarapu LN, Baek SO. Determination of heavy metals in the ambient atmosphere: A review. Toxicol Ind Health. 2016, 33:79-96.

[18] Gimeno-García E, Andreu V, Boluda R. Heavy metals incidence in the application of inorganic fertilizers and pesticides to rice farming soils. Environ Pollut. 1996, 92:19-25.

[19] Grinang J, Sim SF, Rajendran M, Nyanti L, Ling TY, Liew JJ. Assessment of trace metals in water and sediment in a tropical river potentially affected by land use activities in northern Sarawak, Malaysia. Int J Environ Res. 2017, 11:99-110.

[20] Singh N, Gupta VK, Kumar A, Sharma B. Synergistic effects of heavy metals and pesticides in living systems. Front Chem. 2017, 5:1-9.

[21] Jaishankar M, Tseten T, Anbalagan N, Mathew BB, Beeregowda KN. Toxicity, mechanism and health effects of some heavy metals. Interdiscip Toxicol. 2014, 7:60-72.

[22] Mathew BB, Tiwari A, Jatawa SK. A review on free radicals and antioxidants. J Pharm Res. 2011, 4:4340-4343.

[23] Flora SJS, Mittal M, Mehta A. Heavy metal induced oxidative stress and its possible reversal by chelation therapy. Indian J Med Res. 2008, 128:501-523.

[24] Ojezele OJ, Ojezele MO, Adeosun AM. Cooking utensils as probable source of heavy metal toxicity. Middle-East J Sci Res. 2016, 24:2216-2220.

[25] Krewski D, Yokel RA, Nieboer E, Borchelt D, Cohen J, Harry J, Kacew S, Lindsay J, Mahfouz AM, Rondeau V. Human Health Risk Assessment for Aluminium, Aluminium Oxide, and Aluminium Hydroxide. J Toxicol Environ Health B Crit Rev. 2008, 11:1-269.

[26] Zhou C, Ge S, Yu H, Zhang T, Cheng H, Sun Q, Xiao R. Environmental risk assessment of pyrometallurgical residues derived from electroplating and pickling sludges. J Clean Prod. 2018, 177:699-707.

[27] Yu T, Lv L, Wang H, Tan X. Enhanced photocatalytic treatment of Cr(VI) and phenol by monoclinic $BiVO_4$ with {010}-orientation growth. Mater Res Bull. 2018, 107:248-254.

[28] Madzin Z, Kusin FM, Yusof FM, Muhammad SN. Assessment of water quality index and heavy metal contamination in active and abandoned iron ore mining sites in Pahang, Malaysia. MATEC Web Conf. 2017, 103:05010.

[29] Megiel E, Ali GAM, Makhlouf ASH, Shahryari-ghoshekandi R, Nadagouda MN, Gupta VK, Sillanpää M, Sadegh H. The role of nanomaterials as effective adsorbents and their applications in wastewater treatment. J Nanostructure Chem. 2017, 7:1-14.

[30] Sadegh H, Shahryari-Ghoshekandi R, Agarwal S, Tyagi I, Asif M, Gupta VK. Microwave-assisted removal of malachite green by carboxylate functionalized multi-walled carbon nanotubes: Kinetics and equilibrium study. J Mol Liq. 2015, 206:151-158.

[31] Aprianti T, Miskah S, Selpiana, Komala R, Hatina S. Heavy metal ions adsorption from pulp and paper industry wastewater using zeolite/activated carbon-ceramic composite adsorbent. AIP Conf Proc. 2018, 2014:020127.

[32] Sharma M, Singh J, Hazra S, Basu S. Adsorption of heavy metal ions by mesoporous ZnO and TiO_2@ZnO monoliths: Adsorption and kinetic studies. Microchem J. 2019, 145:105-112.

[33] Jeevanandam J, Barhoum A, Chan YS, Dufresne A, Danquah MK. Review on nanoparticles and nanostructured materials: History, sources, toxicity and regulations. Beilstein J Nanotechnol. 2018, 9:1050-1074.

[34] Zhang Y, Jiang Z, Huang J, Lim YWL, Li W, Deng J, Gong D, Tang Y, Lai Y, Chen Z. Titanate and titania nanostructured materials for environmental and energy applications: A review. RSC Adv. 2015, 5:79479-79510.

[35] Nguyen NH, Bai H. Photocatalytic removal of NO and NO_2 using titania nanotubes synthesized by hydrothermal method. J Environ Sci (China). 2014, 26:1180-1187.

[36] Iler RK. The Chemistry of Silica: Solubility, Polymerization, Colloid and Surface Properties, and Biochemistry. New York: John Wiley and Sons, 1979.

[37] Zhang Q, Lima DQ, Lee I, Zaera F, Chi M, Yin Y. A highly active titanium dioxide based visible-light photocatalyst with nonmetal doping and plasmonic metal decoration. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2011, 50:7088-7092.

[38] Hou W, Cronin SB. A review of surface plasmon resonance-enhanced photocatalysis. Adv Funct Mater. 2013, 23:1612-1619.

[39] Xiao M, Jiang R, Wang F, Fang C, Wang J, Yu JC. Plasmon-enhanced chemical reactions. J Mater Chem A. 2013, 1:5790-5805.

[40] Wang P, Huang B, Dai Y, Whangbo MH. Plasmonic photocatalysts: Harvesting visible light with noble metal nanoparticles. Phys Chem Chem Phys. 2012, 14:9813-9825.

[41] Halasi G, Kecskeméti A, Solymosi F. Photocatalytic reduction of no with ethanol on Ag/TiO_2. Catal Lett. 2010, 135:16-20.

[42] Wu F, Hu X, Fan J, Liu E, Sun T, Kang L, Hou W, Zhu C, Liu H. Photocatalytic activity of Ag/TiO_2 nanotube arrays enhanced by surface plasmon resonance and application in hydrogen evolution by water splitting. Plasmonics. 2013, 8:501-508.

[43] Linh VTN, Xiao X, Jung HS, Giannini V, Maier SA, Kim DH, Lee YI, Park SG. Compact Integration of TiO_2 Nanoparticles into the Cross-Points of 3D Vertically Stacked Ag Nanowires for Plasmon-Enhanced Photocatalysis. Nanomaterials. 2019, 9(3):468.

[44] Sing KSW. Adsorption methods for the characterization of porous materials. Adv Colloid Interface Sci. 1998, 76-77:3-11.

[45] Lee HM, An KH, Park SJ, Kim BJ. Mesopore-Rich Activated Carbons for Electrical Double-Layer Capacitors by Optimal Activation Condition. Nanomaterials. 2019, 9(4):608.

[46] Shende P, Kasture P, Gaud RS. Nanoflowers: The future trend of nanotechnology for multi-applications. Artif Cells Nanomed Biotechnol. 2018, 46:413-422.

[47] Khin MM, Nair AS, Babu VJ, Murugan R, Ramakrishna S. A review on nanomaterials for environmental remediation. Energy Environ Sci. 2012, 5:8075-8109.

[48] Azzouz A, Kailasa SK, Lee SS, Rascón AJ, Ballesteros E, Zhang M, Kim KH. Review of nanomaterials as sorbents in solid-phase extraction for environmental samples. TrAC Trends Anal Chem. 2018, 108:347-369.

[49] Santhosh C, Velmurugan V, Jacob G, Jeong SK, Grace AN, Bhatnagar A. Role of nanomaterials in water treatment applications: A review. Chem Eng J. 2016, 306:1116-1137.

[50] Jin L, Qiu Y, Deng H, Li W, Li H, Yang S. Hollow $CuFe_2O_4$ spheres encapsulated in carbon shells as an anode material for rechargeable lithium-ion batteries. Electrochim Acta. 2011, 56:9127-9132.

[51] Wang Y, Xu J, Wu H, Xu M, Peng Z, Zheng G. Hierarchical SnO_2–Fe_2O_3 heterostructures as lithium-ion battery anodes. J Mater Chem. 2012, 22:21923-21927.

[52] Gupta SM, Tripathi M. A review of TiO_2 nanoparticles. Chin Sci Bull. 2011, 56:1639-1657.

[53] Suarez-Martinez I, Grobert N, Ewels CP. Nomenclature of sp2 carbon nanoforms. Carbon. 2012, 50:741-747.

[54] Huang J, Cao Y, Liu Z, Deng Z, Wang W. Application of titanate nanoflowers for dye removal: A comparative study with titanate nanotubes and nanowires. Chem Eng J. 2012, 191:38-44.

[55] Lee K, Mazare A, Schmuki P. One-dimensional titanium dioxide nanomaterials: Nanotubes. Chem Rev. 2014, 114:9385-9454.

[56] Yu J, Xiang Q, Zhou M. Preparation, characterization and visible-light-driven photocatalytic activity of Fe-doped titania nanorods and first-principles study for electronic structures. Appl Catal B Environ. 2009, 90:595-602.

[57] Pareek V, Jain N, Panwar J, Bhargava A, Gupta R. Synthesis and applications of noble metal nanoparticles: A review. Adv Sci Eng Med. 2017, 9:527-544.

[58] Shaban M, AbdAllah H, Said L, Hamdy HS, Abdel Khalek A. Titanium dioxide nanotubes embedded mixed matrix PES membranes characterization and membrane performance. Chem Eng Res Des. 2015, 95:307-316.

[59] Malhotra A, Maldovan M. Thermal transport in semiconductor nanotubes. Int J Heat Mass Transf. 2019, 130:368-374.

[60] Dehghani MH, Kamalian S, Shayeghi M, Yousefi M, Heidarinejad Z, Agarwal S, Gupta VK. High-performance removal of diazinon pesticide from water using multi-walled carbon nanotubes. Microchem J. 2019, 145:486-491.

[61] Fu M, Xing J, Ge Z. Preparation of laccase-loaded magnetic nanoflowers and their recycling for efficient degradation of bisphenol A. Sci Total Environ. 2019, 651:2857-2865.

[62] Luu CL, Nguyen QT, Ho ST. Synthesis and characterization of Fe-doped TiO_2 photocatalyst by the sol–gel method. Adv Nat Sci Nanosci Nanotechnol. 2010, 1:015008.

[63] Magasinski A, Dixon P, Hertzberg B, Kvit A, Ayala J, Yushin G. High-performance lithium-ion anodes using a hierarchical bottom-up approach. Nat Mater. 2010, 9:353-358.

[64] Evanoff K, Magasinski A, Yang J, Yushin G. Nanosilicon-Coated Graphene Granules as Anodes for Li-Ion Batteries. Adv Energy Mater. 2011, 1:495-498.

[65] Kacprzak M, Neczaj E, Fijałkowski K, Grobelak A, Grosser A, Worwag M, Rorat A, Brattebo H, Almås Å, Singh BR. Sewage sludge disposal strategies for sustainable development. Environ Res. 2017, 156:39-46.

[66] Yang G, Zhang G, Wang H. Current state of sludge production, management, treatment and disposal in China. Water Res. 2015, 78:60-73.

[67] Zhu XN, Nie CC, Zhang H, Lyu XJ, Qiu J, Li L. Recovery of metals in waste printed circuit boards by flotation technology with soap collector prepared by waste oil through saponification. Waste Manag. 2019, 89:21-26.

[68] Xue Y, Wang C, Hu Z, Zhou Y, Xiao Y, Wang T. Pyrolysis of sewage sludge by electromagnetic induction: Biochar properties and application in adsorption removal of Pb(II), Cd(II) from aqueous solution. Waste Manag. 2019, 89:48-56.

[69] Lu K, Yang X, Gielen G, Bolan N, Ok YS, Niazi NK, Xu S, Yuan G, Chen X, Zhang X, et al. Effect of bamboo and rice straw biochars on the mobility and redistribution of heavy metals (Cd, Cu, Pb and Zn) in contaminated soil. J Environ Manag. 2017, 186:285-292.

[70] Huang Q, Liu M, Zhao J, Chen J, Zeng G, Huang H, Tian J, Wen Y, Zhang X, Wei Y. Facile preparation of polyethylenimine-tannins coated SiO_2 hybrid materials for Cu^{2+} removal. Appl Surf Sci. 2018, 427:535-544.

[71] Wang J, Li Z. Enhanced selective removal of Cu(II) from aqueous solution by novel polyethylenimine-functionalized ion imprinted hydrogel: Behaviors and mechanisms. J Hazard Mater. 2015, 300:18-28.

[72] Tang J, Xiang L, Fan J, Cui Z, Wu H. Synthesis of polyethylenimine-functionalized magnetic materials and a critical evaluation of the removal of copper in sediments. Environ Earth Sci. 2018, 77:441.

[73] Zhang N, Zang GL, Shi C, Yu HQ, Sheng GP. A novel adsorbent TEMPO-mediated oxidized cellulose nanofibrils modified with PEI: Preparation, characterization, and application for Cu(II) removal. J Hazard Mater. 2016, 316:11-18.

[74] Huang Z, Huang Z, Feng L, Luo X, Wu P, Cui L, Mao X. Modified cellulose by polyethyleneimine and ethylenediamine with induced Cu(II) and Pb(II) adsorption potentialities. Carbohydr Polym. 2018, 202:470-478.

[75] Huang J, Xu Y, Zhang X, Lei Z, Chen C, Deng Y, Wang C. Polyethylenimine and dithiocarbamate decorated melamine sponges for fast copper (II) ions removal from aqueous solution. Appl Surf Sci. 2018, 445:471-477.

[76] Sun J, Li M, Zhang Z, Guo J. Unravelling the adsorption disparity mechanism of heavy-metal ions on the biomass-derived hierarchically porous carbon. Appl Surf Sci. 2019, 471:615-620.

[77] Wang S, Ning H, Hu N, Huang K, Weng S, Wu X, Wu L, Liu J. Alamusi preparation and characterization of graphene oxide/silk fibroin hybrid aerogel for dye and heavy metal adsorption. Compos Part B Eng. 2019, 163:716-722.

[78] Abdi G, Alizadeh A, Zinadini S, Moradi G. Removal of dye and heavy metal ion using a novel synthetic polyethersulfone nanofiltration membrane modified by magnetic graphene oxide/metformin hybrid. J Memb Sci. 2018, 552:326-335.

[79] Fang X, Li J, Li X, Pan S, Zhang X, Sun X, Shen J, Han W, Wang L. Internal pore decoration with polydopamine nanoparticle on polymeric ultrafiltration membrane for enhanced heavy metal removal. Chem Eng J. 2017, 314:38-49.

[80] Krieger R. Handbook of Pesticide Toxicology. Volume 3. Cambridge: Academic Press, 2010.

[81] Ye C, An Q, Wu J, Zhao F, Zheng P, Wang N. Nanofiltration membranes consisting of quaternized polyelectrolyte complex nanoparticles for heavy metal removal. Chem Eng J. 2019, 359:994-1005.

[82] Sun W. Functionalization of surfaces with branched polymers. RSC Adv. 2016, 6:42089-42108.

[83] Virgen-Ortíz JJ, dos Santos JC, Berenguer-Murcia Á, Barbosa O, Rodrigues RC, Fernandez-Lafuente R. Polyethylenimine: A very useful ionic polymer in the design of immobilized enzyme biocatalysts. J Mater Chem B. 2017, 5:7461-7490.

[84] Wu Y, Chen L, Long X, Zhang X, Pan B, Qian J. Multi-functional magnetic water purifier for disinfection and removal of dyes and metal ions with superior reusability. J Hazard Mater. 2018, 347:160-167.

[85] Wong S, Tumari HH, Ngadi N, Mohamed NB, Hassan O, Mat R, Aishah N, Amin S. Adsorption of anionic dyes on spent tea leaves modified with polyethyleneimine (PEI-STL). J Clean Prod. 2019, 206:394-406.

[86] Hermanson TG. Bioconjugate Techniques. 2nd ed. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 2008.

[87] Rinaudo M. Chitin and chitosan: Properties and applications. Prog Polym Sci. 2006, 31:603-632.

[88] Zhou YT, Nie HL, Branford-White C, He ZY, Zhu LM. Removal of Cu2+ from aqueous solution by chitosan-coated magnetic nanoparticles modified with α-ketoglutaric acid. J Colloid Interface Sci. 2009, 330:29-37.

[89] Van Quy D, Hieu NM, Tra PT, Nam NH, Hai NH, Thai Son N, Nghia PT, Van Anh NT, Hong TT, Luong NH. Synthesis of silica-coated magnetic nanoparticles and application in the detection of pathogenic viruses. J Nanomater. 2013, 2013:603940.

[90] Chen W, Wang X, Luo M, Yang P, Zhou X. Fast one-pot microwave preparation and plasma modification of porous carbon from waste lignin for energy storage application. Waste Manag. 2019, 89:129-140.

[91] Tran C, Lawrence D, Richey FW, Dillard C, Elabd YA, Kalra V. Binder-free three-dimensional high energy density electrodes for ionic-liquid supercapacitors. Chem Commun. 2015, 51:13760-13763.

[92] Borenstein A, Hanna O, Attias R, Luski S, Brousse T, Aurbach D. Carbon-based composite materials for supercapacitor electrodes: A review. J Mater Chem A. 2017, 5:12653-12672.

[93] Wang X, Shen G. Intercalation pseudo-capacitive TiNb2O7@carbon electrode for high-performance lithium ion hybrid electrochemical supercapacitors with ultrahigh energy density. Nano Energy. 2015, 15:104-115.

[94] Shen L, Yu L, Wu HB, Yu XY, Zhang X, Lou XW. Formation of nickel cobalt sulfide ball-in-ball hollow spheres with enhanced electrochemical pseudocapacitive properties. Nat Commun. 2015, 6:6694.

[95] Shang L, Yu H, Huang X, Bian T, Shi R, Zhao Y, Waterhouse GIN, Wu L, Tung C, Zhang T. Well-Dispersed ZIF-Derived Co,N-Co-doped carbon nanoframes through mesoporous-silica-protected calcination as efficient oxygen reduction electrocatalysts. Adv Mater. 2016, 28:1668-1674.

[96] Chen D, Li L, Xi Y, Li J, Lu M, Cao J, Han W. Self-assembly of biomass microfibers into 3D layer-stacking hierarchical porous carbon for high performance supercapacitors. Electrochim Acta. 2018, 286:264-270.

[97] Shi R, Han C, Li H, Xu L, Zhang T, Li J, Lin Z, Wong C, Kang F, Li B. NaCl-templated synthesis of hierarchical porous carbon with extremely large specific surface area and improved graphitization degree for high energy density lithium ion capacitors. J Mater Chem A. 2018, 6:17057-17066.

[98] Dong C, Wang Y, Xu J, Cheng G, Yang W, Kou T, Zhang Z, Ding Y. 3D binder-free Cu2O@Cu nanoneedle arrays for high-performance asymmetric supercapacitors. J Mater Chem A. 2014, 2:18229-18235.

[99] Stoller MD, Ruoff RS. Best practice methods for determining an electrode material's performance for ultracapacitors. Energy Environ Sci. 2010, 3:1294-1301.

[100] Shi Y, Ju B, Zhang S. Flocculation behavior of a new recyclable flocculant based on pH responsive tertiary amine starch ether. Carbohydr Polym. 2012, 88:132-138.

[101] Li W, Liu Q, Chen R, Yu J, Zhang H, Liu J, Li R, Zhang M, Liu P, Wang J. Efficient removal of U(vi) from simulated seawater with hyperbranched polyethylenimine (HPEI) covalently modified SiO2 coated magnetic microspheres. Inorg Chem Front. 2018, 5:1321-1328.

[102] Taboada E, Cabrera G, Cardenas G. Retention Capacity of Chitosan for Copper and Mercury Ions. J Chil Chem Soc. 2003, 48:7-12.

[103] Cornell RM, Schwertmann U. The Iron Oxides: Structure, Reactions, Occurrences and Uses. 2nd ed. Weinheim: WILEY-VCH, 2003.

[104] Sui N, Wang L, Wu X, Li X, Sui J, Xiao H, Liu M, Wan J, Yu WW. Polyethylenimine modified magnetic graphene oxide nanocomposites for Cu2+ removal. RSC Adv. 2015, 5:746-752.

[105] Sun X, Yang L, Xing H, Zhao J, Li X, Huang Y, Liu H. Synthesis of polyethylenimine-functionalized poly(glycidyl methacrylate) magnetic microspheres and their excellent Cr(VI) ion removal properties. Chem Eng J. 2013, 234:338-345.

[106] Xiao C, Liu X, Mao S, Zhang L, Lu J. Sub-micron-sized polyethylenimine-modified polystyrene/Fe3O4/chitosan magnetic composites for the efficient and recyclable adsorption of Cu(II) ions. Appl Surf Sci. 2017, 394:378-385.

[107] Kralj S, Makovec D, Čampelj S, Drofenik M. Producing ultra-thin silica coatings on iron-oxide nanoparticles to improve their surface reactivity. J Magn Magn Mater. 2010, 322:1847-1853.

[108] Pan L, Wang Z, Yang Q, Huang R. Efficient Removal of Lead, Copper and Cadmium Ions from Water by a Porous Calcium Alginate/Graphene Oxide Composite Aerogel. Nanomaterials. 2018, 8(11):957.

[109] Silva CG, Juárez R, Marino T, Molinari H, García H. Influence of excitation wavelength (uv or visible light) on the photocatalytic activity of titania containing gold nanoparticles for the generation of hydrogen or oxygen from water. J Am Chem Soc. 2011, 133:595-602.

[110] Jiang R, Li B, Fang C, Wang J. Metal/semiconductor hybrid nanostructures for plasmon-enhanced applications. Adv Mater. 2014, 26:5274-5309.

[111] Lee M, Mun C, Kim DH, Chang SC, Park SG. Analyte-concentrating 3d hybrid plasmonic nanostructures for use in highly sensitive chemical sensors. RSC Adv. 2016, 6:92120-92126.

[112] Park SG, Mun C, Lee M, Jeon TY, Shim HS, Lee YJ, Kwon JD, Kim CS, Kim DH. 3d hybrid plasmonic nanomaterials for highly efficient optical absorbers and sensors. Adv Mater. 2015, 27:4290-4295.

[113] Koh EH, Mun C, Kim C, Park SG, Choi EJ, Kim SH, Dang J, Choo J, Oh JW, Kim DH, et al. M13 bacteriophage/silver nanowire surface-enhanced raman scattering sensor for sensitive and selective pesticide detection. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces. 2018, 10:10388-10397.

[114] Jeon TY, Park SG, Lee SY, Jeon HC, Yang SM. Shape control of Ag nanostructures for practical SERS substrates. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces. 2013, 5:243-248.

[115] Jeon TY, Kim DJ, Park SG, Kim SH, Kim DH. Nanostructured plasmonic substrates for use as sers sensors. Nano Converg. 2016, 3:18.

[116] Jeong JW, Arnob MM, Baek KM, Lee SY, Shih WC, Jung YS. 3d cross-point plasmonic nanoarchitectures containing dense and regular hot spots for surface-enhanced raman spectroscopy analysis. Adv Mater. 2016, 28:8695-8704.

[117] Garnett EC, Cai W, Cha JJ, Mahmood F, Connor ST, Greyson Christoforo M, Cui Y, McGehee MD, Brongersma ML. Self-limited plasmonic welding of silver nanowire junctions. Nat Mater. 2012, 11:241.

[118] Jung HH, Kwon JD, Lee S, Su Kim C, Nam KS, Jeong Y, Chung KB, Yoon Ryu S, Ocak T, Eray A, et al. Doping-free silicon thin film solar cells using a vanadium pentoxide window layer and a lif/al back electrode. Appl Phys Lett. 2013, 103:073903.

[119] Mondal C, Pal J, Ganguly M, Sinha AK, Jana J, Pal T. A one pot synthesis of Au–ZnO nanocomposites for plasmon-enhanced sunlight driven photocatalytic activity. New J Chem. 2014, 38:2999-3005.

[120] Xiao X, Liu X, Zhao H, Chen D, Liu F, Xiang J, Hu Z, Li Y. Facile shape control of Co3O4 and the effect of the crystal plane on electrochemical performance. Adv Mater. 2012, 24:5762-5766.

[121] Pendashteh A, Mousavi MF, Rahmanifar MS. Fabrication of anchored copper oxide nanoparticles on graphene oxide nanosheets via an electrostatic coprecipitation and its application as supercapacitor. Electrochim Acta. 2013, 88:347-357.

[122] Chen H, Zhou M, Wang T, Li F, Zhang YX. Construction of unique cupric oxide–manganese dioxide core–shell arrays on a copper grid for high-performance supercapacitors. J Mater Chem A. 2016, 4:10786-10793.

[123] Xu B, Wu F, Chen R, Cao G, Chen S, Zhou Z, Yang Y. Highly mesoporous and high surface area carbon: A high capacitance electrode material for EDLCs with various electrolytes. Electrochem Commun. 2008, 10:795-797.

[124] Barbieri O, Hahn M, Herzog A, Kotz R. Capacitance limits of high surface area activated carbons for double layer capacitors. Carbon. 2005, 43:1303-1310.

[125] Baek J, An KH, Chung DC, Kim BJ. Correlation studies between pore structure and electrochemical performance of activated polymer-based hard carbon with various organic and aqueous electrolytes. Int J Energy Res. 2018, 42:2927-2939.

[126] Liu J, Jiang J, Cheng C, Li H, Zhang J, Gong H, Fan HJ. Co3O4 nanowire@mnO2 ultrathin nanosheet core/shell arrays: A new class of high-performance pseudocapacitive materials. Adv Mater. 2011, 23:2076-2081.

[127] Li H, Chen Z, Wang Y, Zhang J, Yan X, Lei T, Peng Z, Zhou Z, Lv H. Facile preparation of S-doped Cu/C core-shell composite for high-performance anode of pseudocapacitors. Electrochim Acta. 2018, 259:1030-1036.

[128] Vidhyadharan B, Misnon II, Aziz RA, Padmasree KP, Yusoff MM, Jose R. Superior supercapacitive performance in electrospun copper oxide nanowire electrodes. J Mater Chem A. 2014, 2:6578-6588.

[129] Wang G, Huang J, Chen S, Gao Y, Cao D. Preparation and supercapacitance of CuO nanosheet arrays grown on nickel foam. J Power Sources. 2011, 196:5756-5760.

[130] Cho JS. Large Scale Process for Low Crystalline MoO_3-Carbon Composite Microspheres Prepared by One-Step Spray Pyrolysis for Anodes in Lithium-Ion Batteries. Nanomaterials (Basel). 2019, 9(4):539.

[131] Ryu JH, Kim JW, Sung YE, Oh SM. Failure Modes of Silicon Powder Negative Electrode in Lithium Secondary Batteries. Electrochem Solid-State Lett. 2004, 7:A306-A309.

[132] Polat BD, Keles O. Multi-layered Cu/Si nanorods and its use for lithium ion batteries. J Alloy Compd. 2015, 622:418-425.

[133] Han F, Li D, Li WC, Lei C, Sun Q, Lu AH. Nanoengineered polypyrrole-coated Fe2O3@C multifunctional composites with an improved cycle stability as lithium-ion anodes. Adv Funct Mater. 2013, 23:1692-1700.

[134] Huang XH, Tu JP, Zhang CQ, Chen XT, Yuan YF, Wu HM. Spherical NiO-C composite for anode material of lithium ion batteries. Electrochim Acta. 2007, 52:4177-4181.

[135] Jo MS, Ghosh S, Jeong SM, Kang YC, Cho JS. Coral-like yolk–shell-structured nickel oxide/carbon composite microspheres for high-performance Li-ion storage anodes. Nano-Micro Lett. 2019, 11:3.

[136] Li L, Li Z, Fu W, Li F, Wang J, Wang W. α-Fe2O3@C nanorings as anode materials for high performance lithium ion batteries. J Alloy Compd. 2015, 647:105-109.

[137] Park GD, Kim JH, Park SK, Kang YC. MoSe2 embedded CNT-reduced graphene oxide composite microsphere with superior sodium ion storage and electrocatalytic hydrogen evolution performances. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces. 2017, 9:10673-10683.

[138] Cho JS, Won JM, Lee JK, Kang YC. Design and synthesis of multiroom-structured metal compounds–carbon hybrid microspheres as anode materials for rechargeable batteries. Nano Energy. 2016, 26:466-478.

[139] Zhang X, Suresh Kumar P, Aravindan V, Liu HH, Sundaramurthy J, Mhaisalkar SG, Duong HM, Ramakrishna S, Madhavi S. Electrospun TiO2–graphene composite nanofibers as a highly durable insertion anode for lithium ion batteries. J Phys Chem C. 2012, 116:14780-14788.

[140] Bhaskar A, Deepa M, Narasinga Rao T. MoO2/multiwalled carbon nanotubes (MWCNT) hybrid for use as a Li-ion battery anode. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces. 2013, 5:2555-2566.

[141] Cho JS, Hong YJ, Kang YC. Design and synthesis of bubble-nanorod-structured Fe2O3–carbon nanofibers as advanced anode material for Li-ion batteries. ACS Nano. 2015, 9:4026-4035.

[142] Park SK, Park GD, Kang YC. Three-dimensional porous microspheres comprising hollow Fe2O3 nanorods/CNT building blocks with superior electrochemical performance for lithium ion batteries. Nanoscale. 2018, 10:11150-11157.

[143] Magasinski A, Dixon P, Hertzberg B, Kvit A, Ayala J, Yushin G. High-performance lithium-ion anodes using a hierarchical bottom-up approach. Nat Mater. 2010, 9:353-358.

[144] Evanoff K, Magasinski A, Yang J, Yushin G. Nanosilicon-Coated Graphene Granules as Anodes for Li-Ion Batteries. Adv Energy Mater. 2011, 1:495-498.

[145] Feng X, Yang J, Lu Q, Wang J, Nuli Y. Facile approach to SiOx/Si/C composite anode material from bulk SiO for lithium ion batteries. Phys Chem Chem Phys. 2013, 15:14420-14426.

[146] Lavoie N, Courtel FM, Malenfant PRL. Graphene-Based Composite Anodes for Lithium-Ion Batteries. In: Nanostruct Sci Technol. 2012. p. 117-162.

[147] Cho JS, Lee SY, Kang YC. First introduction of NiSe2 to anode material for sodium-ion batteries: A hybrid of graphene-wrapped NiSe2/C porous nanofiber. Sci Rep. 2016, 6:23338.

[148] Bhattacharya K, Gogoi B, Buragohain AK, Deb P. Fe2O3/C nanocomposites having distinctive antioxidant activity and hemolysis prevention efficiency. Mater Sci Eng C. 2014, 42:595-600.

[149] Yang X, Wen Z, Xu X, Lin B, Huang S. Nanosized silicon-based composite derived by in situ mechanochemical reduction for lithium ion batteries. J Power Sources. 2007, 164:880-884.

[150] Yang XL, Zhang LL, You M, Wen ZY, Wang Q. Synthesis of Si/Sn binary lithium-storage host composite anode materials by in-situ mechanochemical reaction. Chin J Inorg Chem. 2008, 24:1320-1324.

[151] Lee HY, Lee SM. Carbon-coated nano-Si dispersed oxides/graphite composites as anode material for lithium ion batteries. Electrochem Commun. 2004, 6:465-469.

[152] Zhang PC, Yang X, Yu DX, Shi CC, Wen ZY. Synthesis of Silicon/Carbon Composite Anode Prepared by in-situ Carbothermal Reduction for Lithium Ion Batteries. Chin J Inorg Chem. 2011, 27:898-902.

[153] Liu D, Zhang W, Lin H, Li Y, Lu H, Wang Y. Hierarchical porous carbon based on the self-template structure of rice husk for high-performance supercapacitors. RSC Adv. 2015, 5:19294-19300.

[154] Jung DS, Ryou MH, Sung YJ, Park SB, Choi JW. Recycling rice husks for high-capacity lithium battery anodes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2013, 110:12229-12234.

[155] Zhang L, Hao W, Wang H, Zhang L, Feng X, Zhang Y, Chen W, Pang H, Zheng H. Porous graphene frame supported silicon@graphitic carbon via in situ solid-state synthesis for high-performance lithium-ion anodes. J Mater Chem A. 2013, 1:7601-7611.

[156] Yang J, Wang YX, Chou SL, Zhang R, Xu Y, Fan J, Zhang WX, Liu HK, Zhao D, Dou SX. Yolk-shell silicon-mesoporous carbon anode with compact solid electrolyte interphase film for superior lithium-ion batteries. Nano Energy. 2015, 18:133-142.

[157] Chan CK, Peng H, Liu G, McIlwrath K, Zhang XF, Huggins RA, Cui Y. High-performance lithium battery anodes using silicon nanowires. Nat Nanotechnol. 2008, 3:31.

[158] Ng SH, Wang J, Konstantinov K, Wexler D, Chew SY, Guo ZP, Liu HK. Spray-pyrolyzed silicon/disordered carbon nanocomposites for lithium-ion battery anodes. J Power Sources. 2007, 174:823-827.

[159] Gao P, Fu J, Yang J, Lv R, Wang J, Nuli Y, Tang X. Microporous carbon coated silicon core/shell nanocomposite via in situ polymerization for advanced Li-ion battery anode material. Phys Chem Chem Phys. 2009, 11:11101-11105.

[160] Huggins RA. Lithium alloy negative electrodes. J Power Sources. 1999, 81-82:13-19.