International Journal of Science and Research Archive
eISSN: 2582-8185
Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/ijsra
Journal homepage: https://ijsra.net/

(RESEARCH ARTICLE)

Check for updates

Assessment of the strategic integration of artificial intelligence in enterprise decision-making frameworks

Oluwabukola Sambakiu [1], Victoria Kujore [2], Aderonke Adebayo [3, *], Oladiipo Ishola Oladepo [4] and Balogun Segun Segbenu [5]

[1] *College of Business Administration, Central Michigan University, USA.*
[2] *Department of Business Administration, Business Analysis, and Information Systems, Lamar University, Beaumont,Texas, USA.*
[3] *Department of Computer Science, University of People, Pasadena California, USA.*
[4] *College of Business, New Mexico State University, New Mexico, USA.*
[5] *Department of Psychology, University of Ibadan, Nigeria.*

International Journal of Science and Research Archive, 2025, 15(03), 179–187

Publication history: Received on 23 April 2025; revised on 30 May 2025; accepted on 02 June 2025

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/ijsra.2025.15.3.1682

Abstract

This review examines the strategic integration of artificial intelligence within enterprise decision-making frameworks. The research synthesizes findings from recent empirical studies, industry reports, and organizational case studies to identify implementation patterns and success factors. Analysis reveals that effective AI integration requires alignment across technological infrastructure, organizational structure, and governance mechanisms. Common implementation barriers include inadequate data quality, skill deficits, cultural resistance, and governance challenges. Organizations achieving optimal outcomes typically develop comprehensive AI strategies, establish robust data management practices, deploy cross-functional implementation teams, and implement multi-level governance frameworks. The review identifies distinct integration approaches across operational, tactical, and strategic decision contexts, each requiring tailored implementation methodologies. Findings indicate that while technical sophistication remains important, organizational readiness factors more strongly predict implementation success. This review contributes to both theoretical understanding of AI-augmented decision making and provides practical guidance for practitioners navigating the organizational complexities of AI integration. The research concludes by identifying emerging trends and future research directions in this rapidly evolving domain.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence; Enterprise Decision-Making; Strategic Integration; Organizational Transformation; Data Governance; Change Management

1. Introduction

Artificial intelligence has transformed from an experimental technology to a core business capability. Recent surveys indicate that over 70% of large enterprises now view AI implementation as a strategic priority [1]. This reflects growing recognition that AI can enhance decision-making at all organizational levels, from day-to-day operations to long-term strategic planning.

The potential benefits of AI-augmented decision-making are substantial. Organizations can process larger volumes of data, identify patterns humans might miss, reduce certain cognitive biases, and make faster, more consistent decisions [2]. However, these advantages don't come automatically with AI adoption. They require thoughtful integration with existing business processes, organizational structures, and decision frameworks.

* Corresponding author: Aderonke Adebayo

Many enterprises struggle to realize value from their AI investments despite significant spending. Common challenges include poor data quality, siloed implementation approaches, talent shortages, and resistance to change [3]. Organizations that overcome these obstacles typically adopt comprehensive approaches that address both technical and organizational dimensions of AI integration.

The impact of AI on enterprise decision-making extends beyond efficiency gains. It often reshapes how decisions are made, who makes them, and what factors are considered [4]. For example, AI systems can democratize access to analytical capabilities, enabling front-line workers to make more data-informed decisions. At the same time, they raise important questions about accountability, transparency, and the appropriate balance between human judgment and algorithmic recommendations.

This review synthesizes current research and industry experiences to provide a practical assessment of AI integration in enterprise decision-making. We examine implementation strategies, governance models, organizational impacts, and ethical considerations. The goal is to help business leaders develop more effective approaches to AI integration that align with their strategic objectives and organizational contexts.

2. Evolution of AI in Enterprise Decision-Making

2.1. Historical Context

AI applications in business settings have evolved through several distinct phases. In the 1980s and 1990s, companies primarily used rule based expert systems for specific, well defined problems [5]. These early systems could handle structured decisions but lacked flexibility. The 2000s saw the rise of more advanced statistical models and basic machine learning algorithms that could analyze larger datasets and identify patterns that weren't immediately obvious to human analysts [6].

Since approximately 2015, significant advances in deep learning, natural language processing, and computer vision have dramatically expanded AI's capabilities. These technologies have enabled enterprises to apply AI to a much wider range of decision contexts, including those involving unstructured data like text, images, and voice [7]. This evolution has been accompanied by shifts in how organizations approach AI implementation, moving from isolated deployments toward more integrated, enterprise-wide strategies.

2.2. Current Landscape

Today's enterprise AI landscape shows considerable variation in maturity levels and strategic approaches. Study categorizes AI implementations into three main types: process automation, cognitive insight, and cognitive engagement [8]. Process automation focuses on improving efficiency through routine task automation. Cognitive insight applications analyze data to identify patterns and make predictions. Cognitive engagement uses natural language processing and related technologies to interact with customers and employees.

Leading organizations are increasingly moving beyond isolated AI experiments to develop comprehensive AI strategies [9]. These strategies typically involve building centralized AI capabilities while enabling business units to apply these capabilities to their specific needs. This hybrid approach helps balance enterprise-wide coordination with local innovation and adoption.

The most advanced enterprises are now integrating AI directly into their core products, services, and business models. Companies like Amazon, Microsoft, and Google have embedded AI throughout their operations, using it to personalize recommendations, optimize supply chains, and enhance customer service [10]. Many traditional enterprises are following similar paths, though often at a slower pace and smaller scale.

3. Strategic Frameworks for AI Integration

3.1. Organizational Models

Successful AI integration requires appropriate organizational structures. Research identifies three common models for organizing AI capabilities [11]. The centralized model establishes a corporate AI center of excellence that serves all business units. This approach promotes consistency, knowledge sharing, and economies of scale but may struggle to address unique business unit needs. The decentralized model distributes AI capabilities across business units, enabling closer alignment with specific business needs but potentially creating redundancies and inconsistencies. The hybrid

model combines elements of both approaches, typically with a central team focusing on infrastructure, governance, and shared capabilities while business units develop applications tailored to their contexts.

Evidence suggests the hybrid model delivers better outcomes for most large enterprises [12]. This approach provides the necessary central coordination while enabling sufficient flexibility for business-specific implementations. For example, JPMorgan Chase maintains a central AI research team that develops cutting-edge algorithms and tools, while individual business lines apply these capabilities to specific use cases like fraud detection or trading analytics [13].

3.2. Decision-Making Integration Points

AI can enhance decision-making at multiple organizational levels, each with distinct integration challenges. At the operational level, AI typically supports high-volume, routine decisions through automation and augmentation. These applications often focus on efficiency, consistency, and scalability [14]. At the tactical level, AI helps middle managers analyze trends, allocate resources, and respond to emerging opportunities or threats. These applications frequently combine AI-generated insights with human judgment. At the strategic level, AI supports senior executives in making complex, high-impact decisions by analyzing multiple scenarios, identifying potential risks, and evaluating long-term implications [15].

Each integration point requires different approaches to implementation, governance, and performance measurement. Operational applications typically emphasize speed and accuracy, while strategic applications focus more on providing novel insights and supporting complex trade-offs [16]. Organizations that clearly define how AI will support different decision types achieve more successful outcomes.

3.3. Implementation Pathways

Research identifies several pathways for implementing AI in enterprise decision-making. The technology driven approach starts with available AI capabilities and identifies suitable applications [17]. This approach can deliver quick wins but risks creating solutions in search of problems. The problem driven approach begins with specific business challenges and explores how AI might help address them. This approach tends to produce more relevant applications but may miss opportunities to apply novel AI capabilities. The opportunity driven approach focuses on identifying new products, services, or business models enabled by AI [18]. This approach can yield transformative outcomes but typically involves higher risk and longer time horizons.

Successful organizations often combine these approaches, using problem driven implementations to build momentum and credibility while pursuing more ambitious opportunity driven initiatives in parallel. They also recognize that implementation pathways must account for organizational readiness, including data infrastructure, skills, and cultural factors.

4. Critical Success Factors

4.1. Data Infrastructure and Governance

Robust data infrastructure forms the foundation for effective AI implementation. Organizations need systems for collecting, storing, cleaning, integrating, and securing data from multiple sources [19]. Leading companies typically invest in centralized data platforms that enable consistent access while maintaining appropriate controls. They also establish clear data governance frameworks that address quality standards, ownership, privacy, and compliance requirements.

Data quality remains a persistent challenge for many organizations. Common issues include incomplete records, inconsistent formats, and outdated information [20]. Companies that establish dedicated data quality teams and implement automated monitoring tools achieve better outcomes. They also recognize that data governance must balance control with accessibility, ensuring that data scientists and analysts can access the information they need while protecting sensitive data.

4.2. Talent and Skills Development

Successful AI integration requires a mix of technical, business, and interpersonal skills. Technical roles include data scientists, machine learning engineers, and data engineers. Business roles include product managers, domain experts, and change management specialists. Organizations also need "translators" who can bridge the gap between technical

and business perspectives, helping to identify high-value use cases and communicate AI capabilities in business terms [21, 22].

Most enterprises face significant talent challenges, including shortages of qualified data scientists and competition from technology companies offering higher compensation. Leading organizations address these challenges through multiple approaches: developing internal talent through training programs, partnering with universities and specialized firms, and creating attractive work environments for AI professionals [23]. They also focus on building cross functional teams that combine technical expertise with domain knowledge, recognizing that effective AI applications require both types of insight.

4.3. Change Management and Culture

Organizational culture significantly influences AI adoption outcomes. Research indicates that companies with data-driven cultures achieve better results from their AI initiatives [24]. These cultures typically value evidence-based decision-making, encourage experimentation, and maintain healthy skepticism toward both human intuition and algorithmic recommendations.

Effective change management strategies for AI implementation include; demonstrating early wins through pilot projects, involving end-users in the design process, providing appropriate training and support, and communicating how AI will affect roles and responsibilities [25]. Leading organizations also address concerns about job displacement by emphasizing how AI will augment rather than replace human capabilities, and by investing in reskilling programs for affected employees [26].

5. Governance and Ethical Considerations

5.1. Governance Models

Governance frameworks for AI decision-making systems must balance innovation with appropriate oversight. Effective models typically include clear policies regarding algorithm development, testing, deployment, monitoring, and updating [27]. They establish accountability mechanisms that specify who is responsible for decisions made with AI support. They also define processes for handling exceptions, overriding algorithmic recommendations, and reviewing system performance.

Many organizations create dedicated AI ethics committees or review boards to evaluate high-risk applications [28]. These bodies typically include representatives from multiple disciplines, including technology, legal, ethics, and relevant business domains. They review proposed AI systems against established criteria, recommend modifications when necessary, and monitor deployed systems for unintended consequences.

5.2. Ethical Frameworks

Ethical considerations in enterprise AI applications encompass multiple dimensions: fairness, transparency, privacy, accountability, and alignment with organizational values [29]. Fairness concerns arise when AI systems might disadvantage certain groups or reinforce existing biases. Transparency issues involve the explainability of AI decisions and appropriate disclosure to affected parties. Privacy considerations include data collection practices, consent mechanisms, and protection against unauthorized access or use [30]. Leading organizations develop explicit ethical principles for AI development and deployment. These principles typically establish red lines (applications the organization will not pursue) and provide guidance for navigating complex tradeoffs.

5.3. Regulatory Compliance

The regulatory landscape for AI is evolving rapidly, with new frameworks emerging in multiple jurisdictions. The European Union's AI Act, for example, establishes risk based regulatory requirements for AI systems [31]. Organizations must navigate these evolving requirements while maintaining sufficient flexibility to adapt to new regulations.

Compliance strategies typically include monitoring regulatory developments, conducting regular risk assessments, documenting design decisions and testing processes, and establishing clear procedures for addressing compliance issues [32]. Leading companies view regulatory compliance not merely as a constraint but as an opportunity to build trust with customers, employees, and other stakeholders.

6. Measuring Impact and ROI

6.1. Performance Metrics

Organizations use various metrics to evaluate AI's impact on decision-making. Technical metrics assess algorithm performance through measures like prediction accuracy, false positive rates, and processing speed [33]. Business metrics evaluate outcomes such as cost savings, revenue growth, customer satisfaction, and market share [34]. Process metrics examine how AI affects decision-making processes, including time to decision, consistency across similar cases, and the quality of supporting analysis [35].

Leading organizations develop balanced measurement frameworks that address both short-term results and long-term capability building [36]. They recognize that different stakeholders care about different metrics and tailor their reporting accordingly. They also acknowledge that some benefits, such as improved decision quality or organizational learning, may be difficult to quantify directly.

6.2. ROI Calculation Approaches

Calculating return on investment for AI initiatives presents significant challenges. Traditional ROI models often struggle to account for indirect benefits, option value, and network effects [37]. Organizations are experimenting with several approaches to address these challenges. Some use decision analysis techniques to quantify the value of improved decision quality [38]. Others employ real options frameworks to account for the future flexibility created by AI investments.

Research suggests that successful organizations focus first on well-defined use cases with clear financial impact before pursuing more ambitious applications [39]. They also recognize that AI initiatives often deliver benefits beyond their initial scope, such as reusable data assets, transferable knowledge, and organizational capabilities that enable future innovations.

6.3. Case Studies and Success Stories

Numerous case studies demonstrate AI's potential impact on enterprise decision-making. In financial services, for example, banks use machine learning algorithms to improve credit risk assessment, reducing default rates while expanding access to underserved markets [40]. In healthcare, organizations employ AI to optimize resource allocation, improve diagnosis accuracy, and personalize treatment plans. In manufacturing, companies leverage AI for predictive maintenance, quality control, and supply chain optimization [41, 42].

These examples highlight common success patterns: starting with clear business objectives, ensuring data readiness before implementing sophisticated algorithms, combining AI with human expertise, and continuously refining models based on performance feedback [43]. They also demonstrate that significant value often comes not from AI technology itself but from its thoughtful integration with business processes and organizational capabilities.

7. Future Directions and Emerging Trends

7.1. Technical Advancements

Several technical trends are reshaping enterprise AI applications. Advances in large language models are enabling more sophisticated natural language understanding and generation capabilities [44]. Multimodal AI systems that combine text, image, and other data types are opening new application possibilities [45]. Synthetic data generation techniques are helping organizations overcome data limitations. Edge computing is moving AI processing closer to data sources, enabling real-time applications with reduced latency [46, 47]. These advancements are expanding the scope of AI-supported decisions. For example, large language models are enhancing creative processes like product development and marketing. Multimodal systems are improving complex decision scenarios that involve multiple information types, such as facilities management or event planning [48].

7.2. Organizational Evolution

Organizations are evolving their approaches to AI integration as the technology matures. Early adopters are moving from centralized AI teams toward more distributed models where business units have greater autonomy in applying AI to their specific needs [49]. This evolution reflects growing AI literacy across organizations and the increasing embeddedness of AI in business processes.

Companies are also developing more sophisticated approaches to human-AI collaboration. These approaches recognize the complementary strengths of human and machine intelligence and design interaction models that maximize these complementarities [50]. For example, some organizations are implementing "human in the loop" systems that leverage AI for initial analysis but incorporate human judgment for final decisions or exception handling [51].

7.3. Emerging Challenges

New challenges are emerging as AI becomes more integrated into enterprise decision-making. Explainability remains a significant concern, particularly for complex models like deep neural networks [52]. Organizations are investing in techniques to make AI decisions more transparent and understandable to stakeholders. Privacy preservation is growing in importance as regulations tighten and consumer awareness increases. Techniques like federated learning and differential privacy are enabling organizations to derive insights from sensitive data while minimizing privacy risks [53].

Model governance is becoming more complex as organizations deploy multiple AI systems that interact with each other [54]. Ensuring consistency, managing dependencies, and avoiding unintended consequences requires sophisticated governance frameworks. Sustainability considerations are also gaining prominence, with organizations examining the environmental impact of AI systems and seeking ways to reduce their carbon footprint [55].

8. Conclusion

The strategic integration of AI into enterprise decision-making represents both a significant opportunity and a complex challenge. Organizations that approach this integration thoughtfully addressing technical, organizational, and ethical dimensions are more likely to realize substantial benefits. The evidence suggests several key success factors: developing clear AI strategies aligned with business objectives, investing in robust data infrastructure, building cross-functional teams, establishing appropriate governance mechanisms, and creating cultures that balance data-driven decision-making with human judgment.

As AI capabilities continue to advance, the potential impact on enterprise decision-making will likely grow. Organizations that develop strong foundations now will be better positioned to leverage future innovations. However, realizing this potential requires moving beyond viewing AI as merely a technology initiative to recognizing it as a fundamental transformation in how enterprises make decisions and create value.

Future research should examine how different organizational contexts affect AI integration outcomes, how to optimize human-AI collaboration models, and how to measure the long-term impact of AI on organizational performance and adaptability. Practitioners would benefit from more detailed guidance on implementing governance frameworks, developing AI talent, and designing effective change management strategies for AI initiatives.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

[1] Wamba-Taguimdje SL, Fosso Wamba S, Kala Kamdjoug JR, Tchatchouang Wanko CE. Influence of artificial intelligence (AI) on firm performance: the business value of AI-based transformation projects. Business process management journal. 2020 Nov 2;26(7):1893-924.

[2] Herath Pathirannehelage S, Shrestha YR, von Krogh G. Design principles for artificial intelligence-augmented decision making: An action design research study. European Journal of Information Systems. 2025 Mar 4;34(2):207-29.

[3] Bughin J, Hazan E, Sree Ramaswamy P, DC W, Chu M. Artificial intelligence the next digital frontier.

[4] Kaggwa S, Eleogu TF, Okonkwo F, Farayola OA, Uwaoma PU, Akinoso A. AI in decision making: transforming business strategies. International Journal of Research and Scientific Innovation. 2024;10(12):423-44.

[5] Liao SH. Expert system methodologies and applications—a decade review from 1995 to 2004. Expert systems with applications. 2005 Jan 1;28(1):93-103.

[6] Sharfman MP, Dean Jr JW. Flexibility in strategic decision making: Informational and ideological perspectives. Journal of management studies. 1997 Mar;34(2):191-217.

[7] Deng L. Artificial intelligence in the rising wave of deep learning: The historical path and future outlook [perspectives]. IEEE Signal Processing Magazine. 2018 Jan 10;35(1):180-77.

[8] Yablonsky S. AI-driven platform enterprise maturity: from human led to machine governed. Kybernetes. 2021 Jun 14;50(10):2753-89.

[9] Fountaine T, McCarthy B, Saleh T. Building the AI-powered organization. Harvard business review. 2019 Jul 1;97(4):62-73.

[10] Lee J, Suh T, Roy D, Baucus M. Emerging technology and business model innovation: the case of artificial intelligence. Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity. 2019 Sep 1;5(3):44.

[11] Olan F, Arakpogun EO, Suklan J, Nakpodia F, Damij N, Jayawickrama U. Artificial intelligence and knowledge sharing: Contributing factors to organizational performance. Journal of Business Research. 2022 Jun 1;145:605-15.

[12] Battilana J, Sengul M, Pache AC, Model J. Harnessing productive tensions in hybrid organizations: The case of work integration social enterprises. Academy of Management journal. 2015 Dec;58(6):1658-85.

[13] Storbacka K. A solution business model: Capabilities and management practices for integrated solutions. Industrial Marketing Management. 2011 Jul 1;40(5):699-711.

[14] Jarrahi MH. Artificial intelligence and the future of work: Human-AI symbiosis in organizational decision making. Business horizons. 2018 Jul 1;61(4):577-86.

[15] De Spiegeleire S, Maas M, Sweijs T. Artificial intelligence and the future of defense: strategic implications for small-and medium-sized force providers. The Hague Centre for Strategic Studies; 2017 May 17.

[16] Boyer KK, Lewis MW. Competitive priorities: investigating the need for trade-offs in operations strategy. Production and operations management. 2002 Mar;11(1):9-20.

[17] Kaggwa S, Eleogu TF, Okonkwo F, Farayola OA, Uwaoma PU, Akinoso A. AI in decision making: transforming business strategies. International Journal of Research and Scientific Innovation. 2024;10(12):423-44.

[18] Farayola OA, Abdul AA, Irabor BO, Okeleke EC. Innovative business models driven by ai technologies: a review. Computer Science & IT Research Journal. 2023 Nov;4(2):85-110.

[19] Aldoseri A, Al-Khalifa KN, Hamouda AM. Re-thinking data strategy and integration for artificial intelligence: concepts, opportunities, and challenges. Applied Sciences. 2023 Jun 13;13(12):7082.

[20] Strong DM, Lee YW, Wang RY. Data quality in context. Communications of the ACM. 1997 May 1;40(5):103-10.

[21] Bobitan N, Dumitrescu D, Popa AF, Sahlian DN, Turlea IC. Shaping tomorrow: anticipating skills requirements based on the integration of artificial intelligence in business organizations—a foresight analysis using the scenario method. Electronics. 2024 Jun 4;13(11):2198.

[22] Noeske PL, Simperler A, Leite Cavalcanti W, Beber VC, Alliott T, Schiffels P, Goldbeck G. Towards Advancing Translators' Guidance for Organisations Tackling Innovation Challenges in Manufacturing within an Industry 5.0 Context. Sustainability. 2024 Apr 22;16(8):3486.

[23] Sposato M. Leadership training and development in the age of artificial intelligence. Development and Learning in Organizations: An International Journal. 2024 Jun 19;38(4):4-7.

[24] Chaudhuri R, Chatterjee S, Vrontis D, Thrassou A. Adoption of robust business analytics for product innovation and organizational performance: the mediating role of organizational data-driven culture. Annals of Operations Research. 2024 Aug;339(3):1757-91.

[25] George AS. Artificial intelligence and the future of work: Job shifting not job loss. Partners Universal Innovative Research Publication. 2024 Apr 25;2(2):17-37.

[26] Morandini S, Fraboni F, De Angelis M, Puzzo G, Giusino D, Pietrantoni L. The impact of artificial intelligence on workers' skills: Upskilling and reskilling in organisations. Informing Science. 2023;26:39-68.

[27] De Almeida PG, dos Santos CD, Farias JS. Artificial intelligence regulation: a framework for governance. Ethics and Information Technology. 2021 Sep;23(3):505-25.

[28] Jordan SR. Designing artificial intelligence review boards: creating risk metrics for review of AI. In2019 IEEE International Symposium on Technology and Society (ISTAS) 2019 Nov 15 (pp. 1-7). IEEE.

[29] Olayinka OH. Ethical implications and governance of AI models in business analytics and data science applications. International Journal of Engineering Technology Research & Management. 2022.

[30] Alabi M. Ethical Implications of AI: Bias, Fairness, and Transparency.

[31] Butt J. Analytical study of the world's first EU Artificial Intelligence (AI) Act. International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews. 2024;5(3):7343-64.

[32] Nandan Prasad A. Regulatory Compliance and Risk Management. InIntroduction to Data Governance for Machine Learning Systems: Fundamental Principles, Critical Practices, and Future Trends 2024 Dec 14 (pp. 485-624). Berkeley, CA: Apress.

[33] Reyna MA, Nsoesie EO, Clifford GD. Rethinking algorithm performance metrics for artificial intelligence in diagnostic medicine. JAMA. 2022 Jul 26;328(4):329-30.

[34] Williams P, Naumann E. Customer satisfaction and business performance: a firm-level analysis. Journal of services marketing. 2011 Feb 22;25(1):20-32.

[35] Lai V, Chen C, Liao QV, Smith-Renner A, Tan C. Towards a science of human-ai decision making: a survey of empirical studies. arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.11471. 2021 Dec 21.

[36] Done A, Voss C, Rytter NG. Best practice interventions: Short-term impact and long-term outcomes. Journal of Operations Management. 2011 Jul 1;29(5):500-13.

[37] Torres AI, Paulo DL, Santos JD, Pires PB. Return on AI: Mapping and Exploring ROI (In) Tangible Measures. InLeveraging AI for Effective Digital Relationship Marketing 2025 (pp. 353-384). IGI Global.

[38] Kiker GA, Bridges TS, Varghese A, Seager TP, Linkov I. Application of multicriteria decision analysis in environmental decision making. Integrated environmental assessment and management. 2005 Apr 1;1(2):95-108.

[39] Brinkerhoff R. The success case method: Find out quickly what's working and what's not. Berrett-Koehler Publishers; 2003 Jan 9.

[40] Mhlanga D. Financial inclusion in emerging economies: The application of machine learning and artificial intelligence in credit risk assessment. International journal of financial studies. 2021 Jul 27;9(3):39.

[41] Sharma V, Gupta S. Integrating AI in Healthcare Systems: Innovations in Diagnostics, Personalized Medicine, and Strategic Resource Optimization. Advances in Computer Sciences. 2023 Oct 10;6(1).

[42] Ejjami R, Boussalham K. Resilient supply chains in Industry 5.0: Leveraging AI for predictive maintenance and risk mitigation. International Journal For Multidisciplinary Research. 2024;6(4).

[43] Castrounis A. AI for people and business: A framework for better human experiences and business success. O'Reilly Media; 2019 Jul 5.

[44] Hadi MU, Qureshi R, Shah A, Irfan M, Zafar A, Shaikh MB, Akhtar N, Wu J, Mirjalili S. Large language models: a comprehensive survey of its applications, challenges, limitations, and future prospects. Authorea Preprints. 2023 Nov;1:1-26.

[45] Bayoudh K, Knani R, Hamdaoui F, Mtibaa A. A survey on deep multimodal learning for computer vision: advances, trends, applications, and datasets. The Visual Computer. 2022 Aug;38(8):2939-70.

[46] Assefa SA, Dervovic D, Mahfouz M, Tillman RE, Reddy P, Veloso M. Generating synthetic data in finance: opportunities, challenges and pitfalls. InProceedings of the First ACM International Conference on AI in Finance 2020 Oct 15 (pp. 1-8).

[47] Hossain ME, Tarafder MT, Ahmed N, Al Noman A, Sarkar MI, Hossain Z. Integrating AI with Edge Computing and Cloud Services for Real-Time Data Processing and Decision Making. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Sciences and Arts. 2023;2(4):252-61.

[48] Zografos KG, Androutsopoulos KN, Spitadakis V. Design and assessment of an online passenger information system for integrated multimodal trip planning. IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems. 2009 May 8;10(2):311-23.

[49] Parunak HV. Applications of distributed artificial intelligence in industry. Foundations of distributed artificial intelligence. 1996;2(1):18.

[50] Dellermann D, Calma A, Lipusch N, Weber T, Weigel S, Ebel P. The future of human-AI collaboration: a taxonomy of design knowledge for hybrid intelligence systems. arXiv preprint arXiv:2105.03354. 2021 May 7.

[51] Kumar S, Datta S, Singh V, Datta D, Singh SK, Sharma R. Applications, challenges, and future directions of human-in-the-loop learning. IEEE Access. 2024 May 15.

[52] Taherdoost H. Deep learning and neural networks: Decision-making implications. Symmetry. 2023 Sep 8;15(9):1723.

[53] Shen S, Zhu T, Wu D, Wang W, Zhou W. From distributed machine learning to federated learning: In the view of data privacy and security. Concurrency and Computation: Practice and Experience. 2022 Jul 25;34(16):e6002.

[54] Papagiannidis E, Enholm IM, Dremel C, Mikalef P, Krogstie J. Toward AI governance: Identifying best practices and potential barriers and outcomes. Information Systems Frontiers. 2023 Feb;25(1):123-41.

[55] Kar AK, Choudhary SK, Singh VK. How can artificial intelligence impact sustainability: A systematic literature review. Journal of Cleaner Production. 2022 Nov 20;376:134120.