

Comparison of transcutaneous bilirubin with serum bilirubin in newborn unit: A cross sectional study

Rajkumar P *, Chetan Kerur and Srinivasa S

Department of Paediatrics, Akash institute of medical sciences and research centre, Devanahalli, India.

International Journal of Science and Research Archive, 2024, 12(02), 839–842

Publication history: Received on 10 June 2024; revised on 16 July 2024; accepted on 19 July 2024

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30574/ijrsra.2024.12.2.1333>

Abstract

Background: Transcutaneous Bilirubin (TcB) is a non invasive, point of care test for assessing bilirubin level. There has been renewed interest in use of this method with availability of newer generation transcutaneous bilirubinometer (Bilichek and JM-103). Indian studies evaluating TcB with newer generation bilirubinometer have limitations of small sample size, inclusion of mainly term neonates and focusing only on correlation between the two methods (ignoring agreement between the two)

Methods: This cross-sectional study enrolled 200 healthy neonates (<34 weeks-25, 34-37 weeks-76, >37 weeks-99) who were judged to have clinically significant jaundice and required TSB estimation. TcB was measured at forehead using TcB meter (DRAGER, JM-103) within 30 minutes of obtaining sample for TSB. TcB -TSB difference was computed.

Results: Mean (\pm SD) TcB-TSB difference was 0.68 ± 2.12 mg/dL (range -5.6 to 6.9 mg/dL). Corresponding difference in preterm and term babies was 1.20 ± 1.92 mg/dL (range -4.1 to 5) and 0.15 ± 2.19 mg/dL (range -5.6 to 6.9 mg/dL) respectively. Correlation between TcB and TSB was good across various gestational ages (r value 0.75 overall and 0.71, 0.74 and 0.74 in 30-34 weeks, 34-37 weeks and >37 weeks gestational age, respectively) and between TSB values of 10-18 mg/dL (r-value 0.79). However, correlation was poor when TSB was less than 10 mg/dL and moderate at TSB level above 18 mg/dL (r value 0.36 and 0.65, respectively). Clinically relevant discrepancy of $\geq \pm 3$ mg/dL between TcB and TSB was present in 17% of study subjects.

Conclusions: TcB correlates well with TSB in TSB range of 10-18 mg/dL but agreement between two methods is not good and underestimation by TcB at higher values of TSB is a concern. So, TcB is not a substitute for TSB and should never be used in isolation.

Keywords: Jaundice; Neonate; Bilirubinometer; Hyperbilirubinemia

1. Introduction

The TcB measurement, being noninvasive, has always been an attractive option for assessment of jaundice in neonates. There has been a renewed interest, especially in resource poor settings, for TcB measurement with easy availability of newer generation transcutaneous bilirubinometer (Bilichek and JM103). Studies done in western countries, using these newer devices have shown good correlation between TcB and TSB [1-5]. However, the hope that measurement with these devices, using innovative technology, would be independent of race, skin color and gestational age has not been fully realised with studies showing poor correlation in African-American neonates, those with dark skin tones and preterm babies [1,6-10]. So it is prudent to have local community data before wider application of TcB is done. Studies done in India to evaluate performance of TcB have been done using older generation bilirubinometer and/or had small sample size, inclusion of only term neonates and focus on only correlation between the two methods (ignoring agreement between

* Corresponding author: Rajkumar. P

the two) [11-16]. So, present study was done with an aim to compare TcB measurement with TSB in healthy neonates (term as well as preterm) with clinically significant jaundice.

Objective

To compare TcB measurement with Total Serum Bilirubin (TSB) in neonates (term as well preterm) with clinically significant jaundice.

2. Methods

2.1. Type of study

A cross sectional study

2.2. Place of study

The study was conducted in the department of Paediatrics, Akash institute of medical sciences and research centre, Devanahalli, Bangalore.

2.3. Duration of study

The study was conducted from October 2022 to March 2023.

2.4. Sample collection and methodology

200 healthy neonates {99 Term (>37 weeks) and 101 Preterm (<34 weeks -25, 34-37 weeks-76)} who required estimation of serum bilirubin in view of clinically significant jaundice were enrolled in the study after taking informed consent from parents.

Clinically significant jaundice was defined as jaundice appearing in first 24 hours of life or visual assessment of jaundice approaching the intervention range.

Neonates with haemodynamic instability, conjugated hyperbilirubinemia and those who had already received some intervention (phototherapy/exchange transfusion) were excluded.

For TSB estimation, 1 mL blood was collected by venipuncture and sent to clinical biochemistry laboratory.

Blood samples were analysed using fully automated clinical chemistry (photometric) analyser (HITACHI 912). TcB levels were measured at forehead (avoiding bruised and discolored areas), using transcutaneous bilirubin meter (DRAGER, JM-103) within 30 minutes of obtaining sample for TSB measurement.

The device was calibrated as per manufacturer's recommendation. JM-103 was pre-set to take three measurements at the site and the mean of three values that appeared on display was taken as TcB. Intervention (phototherapy, exchange transfusion, no treatment), however, was decided based on TSB values.

3. Results

Total 200 neonates (96 boys, 104 girls) were included in the study. Mean gestational age of enrolled babies was 37 weeks (range 30-43 weeks) and mean birth weight was 2.508 kg (range 1.1-4.2 kg). Of 200 enrolled neonates, 25 (12.5%) were between 30-34 weeks of gestation, 76 (38%) were between 34-37 weeks and remaining 99 (49.5%) were >37 weeks of gestation [Table/Fig-1]. Mean age of neonates at the time of measurements was 52 hours (range 18-160 hours). For neonates <34 weeks, 34-37 weeks and >37 weeks of gestation, mean ages at the time of measurement were 57 hours, 47 hours and 52 hours, respectively. ABO/Rh incompatibility was present in 69 neonates and 36 neonates were born through meconium stained liquor.

Mean TSB was 13.9 (range 6.6-25.6). TSB was <10 mg/dL in 22 (Preterm-18, Term-4), 10-18 mg/dL in 164 (Preterm-81, Term-83) and >18 mg/dL in 14 (Preterm-2, Term-12) neonates. Mean TcB was 14.58 mg/dL (range 8.2-21). Mean (\pm SD) TcB-TSB difference was 0.68 \pm 2.12 mg/dL (range -5.6 to 6.9 mg/dL). Corresponding difference for TSB<10, 10-18 and >18 was 2.28 \pm 1.25 mg/dL, 0.69 \pm 1.97mg/dL and -2.04 \pm 2.17 mg/dL, respectively. Thus, TcB overestimates TSB at lower values (more so with TSB<10) and underestimates TSB at higher values. Mean (\pm SD) TcB-TSB difference in

preterm and term babies was 1.20 ± 1.92 mg/dL (range -4.1 to 5) and 0.15 ± 2.19 mg/dL (range -5.6 to 6.9 mg/dL), respectively.

Table 1 Gestational age profile of study population

Gestational age	Number%
30-34weeks	25(12.5%)
34-37weeks	76(38%)
>37weeks	99(49.5%)
Total	200

A total of 68 neonates (17%) had TcB-TSB difference $\geq \pm 3$ mg/dL (overestimation in 47, 11.8% and underestimation in 21, 5.2%). The sensitivity and specificity of TcB (with margin of error ± 3 mg/dL) at different ranges of TSB is shown in [Table/Fig-2]. The sensitivity and specificity of TcB was highest between TSB values of 10-18 mg/dL.

Table 2 Sensitivity and specificity of TcB at various range of TSB

TSB range	Sensitivity	Specificity
<10mg/dl	53.5 %	71.75 %
10-18mg/dl	78.44 %	86.87 %
>10mg/dl	78.21 %	70.68 %

The overall correlation between TSB and TcB was good with Pearson's correlation coefficient (r) of 0.75. Correlation coefficient for TSB values <10 mg/dL, 10-18 mg/dL and >18 mg/dL were 0.36, 0.79 and 0.65, respectively. There was good correlation between TSB and TcB across various gestational age groups (r value 0.71, 0.74 and 0.74 in <34 weeks, 34-37 weeks and >37 weeks respectively). In 36 babies with meconium staining, mean (\pm SD) TcB-TSB difference was 0.62 ± 2.43 mg/dL with r value of 0.76.

4. Discussion

Present study was done using newer generation bilirubinmeter, had large sample size and included almost equal number of term and preterm babies. Though overall correlation between TcB and TSB was found to be strong across gestational age groups (r-0.74 to 0.75), correlation between the two methods was poor when TSB was less than 10 mg/dL and moderate at TSB values above 18 mg/dL. Few Indian studies done using newer generation bilirubinmeter have shown good correlation between TcB and TSB with correlation coefficient varying between 0.76-0.90 as found in present study [12-17]. Out of these studies, Lodha R et al., reported correlation between TcB and TSB to be poorer when TSB was more than 13 mg/dL (Correlation coefficient 0.823 and 0.64 in all neonates and those with TSB > 13 mg/dL, respectively) similar to that found in present study [13]. Other Indian studies did not report correlation between TcB and TSB at different TSB levels [12,14-17]. In present study, TcB overestimated TSB at values <18 mg/dL (more so with TSB <10) and underestimated TSB at higher values. When TSB was >18 mg/dL, TcB averaged 2.04 mg/dL lower than corresponding TSB value. Studies done in India have not reported TcB-TSB difference based on TSB values [11-17]. However, a recent study done by Taylor JA et al., observed similar trend and found that TcB-TSB difference became progressively less positive as TSB level increased and the least TcB-TSB difference was found when TSB was between 13-15 mg/dL. At TSB >15 mg/dL TcB underestimated TSB by an average of 1.4 ± 2.4 mg/dL [1]. Similar results have been found in other studies that compared performance of TcB and TSB at higher values of TSB [1,5,18]. Clinically relevant difference (± 3 mg/dL) between TcB and TSB, called discrepancy, was present in 17% of the study subjects. Taylor JA et al., reported similar results wherein they found discrepancy of ± 3 mg/dL in 12.1% study subjects [1]. This clinically relevant discrepancy, especially underestimations, is a concern because failure to identify significant hyperbilirubinemia may be a serious consequence of TcB screening.

Limitations

Despite having a good sample size, number of neonates with TSB >18 mg/dL was less in the present study, probably because of early identification of significant hyperbilirubinemia in inborn neonatal unit.

5. Conclusion

The TcB correlates well with TSB in TSB range of 10-18 mg/ dL in Indian population but agreement between two methods is not good and underestimation by TcB at higher values of TSB is a concern. So TcB is not a substitute for TSB, should be used as a screening tool only and management decisions should be based on TSB.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

- [1] Taylor JA, Burgos AE, Flaherman V, Chung EK, Simpson EA, Goyal NK, et al. Better outcomes through Research for Newborns Network. Discrepancies between transcutaneous and serum bilirubin measurements. *Pediatrics*. 2015;135(2):224-31.
- [2] Bhutani VK, Gourley GR, Adler S, Kreamer B, Dalin C, Johnson LH. Noninvasive measurement of total serum bilirubin in a multiracial predischarge newborn population to assess the risk of severe hyperbilirubinemia. *Pediatrics*. 2000;106(2):e16.
- [3] Maisels MJ, Ostrea EM, Touch S, Clune SE, Cepeda E, Kring E, et al. Evaluation of a new transcutaneous bilirubinometer. *Pediatrics*. 2004;113(6): 1628-1635.
- [4] Samanta S, Tan M, Kissack C, Nayak S, Chittick R, Yoxall CW. The value of Bilicheck as a screening tool for neonatal jaundice in term and near-term babies. *Acta Paediatr*. 2004;93(11):1486-90.
- [5] Ebbesen F, Rasmussen LM, Wimberley PD. A new transcutaneous bilirubinometer, BiliCheck, used in the neonatal intensive care unit and the maternity ward. *Acta Paediatr*. 2002;91(2):203-11.
- [6] Rubaltelli FF, Gourley GR, Loskamp N, Modi N, Kleiner MR, Sender A, et al. Transcutaneous bilirubin measurement: a multicenter evaluation of a new device. *Pediatrics*. 2001;107(6):1264-71.
- [7] Olusanya BO, Imosemi DO, Emokpae AA. Differences Between Transcutaneous and Serum Bilirubin Measurements in Black African Neonates. *Pediatrics*. 2016; 138:e20160907.
- [8] Wainer S, Rabi Y, Parmar SM, Allegro D, Lyon M. Impact of skin tone on the performance of a transcutaneous jaundice meter. *Acta Paediatr*. 2009;98(12):1909-15.
- [9] Maisels MJ. Historical perspectives: transcutaneous bilirubinometry. *Neoreviews*. 2006;7(5): e217-25.
- [10] Varughese PM, Krishnan L, Ravichandran. Does color really matter? Reliability of transcutaneous bilirubinometry in different skin colored babies. *Indian J Paediatr Dermatol*. 2018; 19:31520.
- [11] Harish R, Sharma DB. Transcutaneous bilirubinometry in neonates: Evaluation of Minolta Air Shields Jaundice Meter. *Indian Pediatr* 1998; 35: 264-67.
- [12] Mahajan G, Kaushal RK, Sankhyan N, Sharma RL, Nakra M. Transcutaneous bilirubinometer in assessment of neonatal jaundice in Northern India. *Indian Pediatr*. 2005; 42:41-45.
- [13] Lodha R, Deorari AK, Jatana V, Paul VK. Non-invasive estimation on total serum bilirubin by multi length spectral reflectance in neonates. *Indian Pediatr*. 2000; 37:771-75.
- [14] Mishra S, Chawla D, Agarwal R, Deorari AK, Paul VK, Bhutani VK. Transcutaneous bilirubinometry reduces the need for blood sampling in neonates with visible jaundice. *Acta Paediatr*. 2009; 98:1916-19.
- [15] Rajanish KV, Sahana G, Adarsh E, Sunil B. Value of transcutaneous bilirubinometry in neonatal hyperbilirubinemia. *International Journal of Medical and Applied Sciences*. 2013; 2:332-37.
- [16] Surana AU, Patel S, Prasad R, Tilwani S, Saiyad A, Rathod M. Comparison of transcutaneous bilirubin with serum bilirubin measurements in neonates at tertiary care center in western part of India. *Int J Contemp Pediatr*. 2017; 4:1445-49.
- [17] Pendse A, Jasani B, Nanavati R, Kabra N. Comparison of transcutaneous bilirubin measurement with total serum bilirubin levels in preterm neonates receiving phototherapy. *Indian Pediatr*. 2017; 54:641-43.
- [18] Engle WD, Jackson GL, Sendelbach D, Manning D, Frawley WH. Assessment of a transcutaneous device in the evaluation of neonatal hyperbilirubinemia in a primarily Hispanic population. *Pediatrics*. 2002; 110:61-67.