

International Journal of Science and Research Archive

eISSN: 2582-8185 Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/ijsra Journal homepage: https://ijsra.net/



(REVIEW ARTICLE)

Check for updates

Policy analysis and advocacy: Wastewater management in the USA

Abosede Muinat Onifade ^{1,*}, Oluwatosin Victoria Ayoade ² and Adebayo Yusuf Olalekan ³

¹ Auburn University, AL, USA. ² Leeds Beckett University, Leeds, United Kingdom ³ Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria.

International Journal of Science and Research Archive, 2024, 12(02), 470-477

Publication history: Received on 28 May 2024; revised on 03 July 2024; accepted on 06 July 2024

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/ijsra.2024.12.2.1233

Abstract

The management of wastewater in the United States stands at a critical juncture, where aging infrastructure, evolving environmental challenges, and socioeconomic disparities converge to create a complex policy landscape. This paper investigates the intricate web of policies, regulations, and advocacy efforts shaping the future of wastewater management across the nation. It explores the effectiveness of foundational legislation such as the Clean Water Act and the Safe Drinking Water Act, while highlighting the persistent challenges faced by marginalized communities, where issues of environmental justice intersect with inadequate wastewater infrastructure. By examining economic barriers, heirs' property issues, and social and racial disparities, the paper underscores the need for comprehensive policy analysis and targeted advocacy. The conclusion offers a roadmap for policymakers and advocates, proposing innovative solutions and emphasizing the importance of community engagement, increased funding, and legal support to ensure equitable and sustainable wastewater management for all Americans.

Keywords: Wastewater Infrastructure; Environmental Justice; Clean Water Act; Socioeconomic Disparities; Community Engagement

1. Introduction

Effective management of wastewater is not just a technical necessity but a cornerstone of social equity and environmental justice. The management of wastewater in the United States stands at a critical juncture, where aging infrastructure, evolving environmental challenges, and socioeconomic disparities converge to create a complex policy landscape. As urban centers contend with outdated systems (Koottatep, Cookey, and Polprasert 2019) and rural communities struggle with inadequate access to proper sanitation (Albright et al. 2024), the need for comprehensive policy analysis and targeted advocacy has never been more pressing.

In urban areas of the United States, centralized wastewater treatment systems have achieved remarkable success in managing large volumes of sewage (Massoud, Tarhini, and Nasr 2009), significantly reducing pollution levels and protecting public health. The Clean Water Act of 1972, a landmark legislation, has been instrumental in establishing stringent regulations and standards that govern wastewater treatment, leading to considerable improvements in water quality nationwide (Andreen 2003);(Glicksman and Batzel 2010). Centralized systems, through a series of advanced treatment processes, ensure that wastewater is treated to high standards before being discharged into the environment, thus mitigating the risks associated with waterborne diseases and environmental contamination (Fast et al. 2017). However, the benefits of these advancements are not uniformly distributed. Rural and economically disadvantaged areas, particularly in the Southern United States, face significant challenges in accessing adequate wastewater infrastructure (Carrera and Flowers 2018).

^{*} Corresponding author: Abosede Muinat Onifade

Copyright © 2024 Author(s) retain the copyright of this article. This article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Liscense 4.0.

By dissecting current policies and advocacy strategies, this paper aims to identify gaps in the regulatory framework and propose innovative solutions. We will explore how emerging technologies, community-based initiatives, and progressive policy approaches can be leveraged to create a more equitable and sustainable wastewater management system in the United States. This comprehensive analysis serves not only as a critique of the status quo but also as a roadmap for policymakers, advocates, and stakeholders committed to improving wastewater management practices nationwide. As we navigate the complexities of this vital infrastructure sector, our goal is to inspire informed dialogue and catalyze meaningful policy reform that ensures clean water and proper sanitation for all Americans. Through this, we hope to contribute to a future where environmental justice and sustainability are paramount in wastewater management policies and practices.

2. Existing Policies and Their Impact

2.1. Clean Water Act (CWA)

The Clean Water Act (CWA) remains the cornerstone of the nation's water protection efforts, focusing on maintaining and restoring the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of the nation's waters. Enacted in 1972, the CWA mandates stringent treatment processes and regular monitoring to prevent pollution (Dusenberry 2012). It establishes the basic structure for regulating pollutant discharges into the waters of the United States and gives the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) the authority to implement pollution control programs such as setting wastewater standards for industry (EPA, 2018). The Act has been instrumental in significantly reducing point source pollution from industrial and municipal facilities, ensuring that wastewater treatment processes meet high standards to protect public health and the environment (Hanjra et al. 2012).

Under the CWA, the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit program controls water pollution by regulating point sources that discharge pollutants into waters of the United States (Jones 1999). Compliance with NPDES permits has been crucial in reducing the levels of harmful pollutants discharged into water bodies, thus protecting aquatic ecosystems and human health. The Act also includes provisions for addressing non-point source pollution, although these are less stringent and more challenging to enforce.

However, despite its successes, the CWA faces limitations in addressing non-point source pollution, which remains a significant source of water quality impairment. Agricultural runoff, urban stormwater, and other diffuse sources of pollution continue to pose challenges (Laitos and Ruckriegle 2012); (Craig and Roberts 2015), highlighting the need for comprehensive strategies that go beyond the scope of the CWA.

2.2. Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA)

The Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA), enacted in 1974, complements the CWA by focusing on protecting the quality of drinking water in the United States. This Act requires the EPA to set standards for drinking water quality and oversee the implementation of these standards by states, localities, and water suppliers. The SDWA aims to ensure that public drinking water supplies are safe from contaminants that could pose health risks (US EPA 2013).

The SDWA establishes Maximum Contaminant Levels (MCLs) for various substances in drinking water, including microorganisms, disinfectants, disinfection byproducts, inorganic chemicals, organic chemicals, and radionuclides (Clark and Boutin 2001). These standards are based on health considerations and are enforced through the public water system supervision (PWSS) program. The Act also includes provisions for source water protection, operator certification, and public information, empowering communities to engage in safeguarding their drinking water sources (US EPA 2013).

While the SDWA has been effective in improving drinking water quality and protecting public health, challenges remain, particularly in small and rural communities. These areas often lack the financial and technical resources to comply with stringent drinking water standards, underscoring the need for targeted support and investment to bridge the gap (Gleick 2002).

2.3. Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF)

The Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF) is a critical program providing financial assistance to states to support water quality protection projects. Established by the 1987 amendments to the CWA, the CWSRF offers low-interest loans for wastewater treatment, non-point source pollution control, and watershed and estuary management projects

(Neyrey and Marney 2001). This program plays a crucial role in funding infrastructure projects, particularly in underserved areas, thereby enhancing the capacity of local governments to maintain and improve wastewater systems.

States leverage CWSRF funds to address a wide range of water quality issues. By providing affordable financing options, the program helps communities to upgrade aging infrastructure, implement new treatment technologies, and ensure compliance with regulatory standards. The flexibility of the CWSRF allows states to tailor their funding strategies to address specific local needs, promoting innovative and sustainable solutions to water quality challenges (US EPA 2014).

Despite its successes, the CWSRF program faces challenges related to funding adequacy and equity (Bunch 2008). The demand for infrastructure investment far exceeds available resources, highlighting the need for increased federal and state funding to meet growing needs. Additionally, ensuring equitable access to CWSRF funds for disadvantaged communities remains a priority, necessitating targeted efforts to support those most in need (Husain and Scanlan 2021).

2.4. Challenges in Rural and Low-Income Areas

Despite robust policies such as the Clean Water Act (CWA) and the Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA), rural and lowincome areas face significant challenges in accessing adequate wastewater infrastructure. Economic disparities, social inequities, and systemic barriers contribute to these ongoing issues, which disproportionately affect marginalized communities.

2.4.1. Economic Barriers

One of the primary challenges in rural and low-income areas is the economic barrier to upgrading and maintaining modern septic systems. The high costs associated with installing and maintaining adequate wastewater systems often prove prohibitive for low-income households. For example, the installation of a conventional septic system can range from \$3,000 to \$7,000, while advanced treatment systems can cost upwards of \$20,000 (Flowers 2020) .These costs are often beyond the reach of families living in poverty, forcing them to rely on inadequate systems that pose serious health risks.

The Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF) provides some financial assistance for water quality protection projects, but the demand often exceeds available funding, leaving many communities underserved. The lack of adequate funding and financial support for infrastructure projects in rural areas exacerbates the disparity in wastewater management between affluent and low-income communities.

2.4.2. Heirs' Property and Infrastructure Development

Heirs' property issues further complicate infrastructure development in rural areas. Heirs' property is a form of land ownership passed down through generations without a formal will, resulting in multiple family members owning fractional interests in the property. This situation is prevalent in the Southern United States and significantly hinders land development and infrastructure improvements. Without clear land titles, residents face substantial barriers in accessing government assistance, loans, and other forms of financing necessary for infrastructure upgrades (Richardson and Miller 2023).

The legal complexities of heirs' property often result in underutilization and abandonment of land, perpetuating cycles of poverty and inadequate sanitation. Efforts to resolve heirs' property issues through legal assistance and land titling programs are essential to unlocking access to financial resources and enabling infrastructure development.

2.4.3. Social and Racial Disparities

Social and racial disparities are deeply intertwined with the challenges of wastewater management in the United States. Historically marginalized communities, particularly Black populations in the Southern United States, are disproportionately affected by inadequate wastewater infrastructure. Systemic racism has led to chronic disinvestment in these communities, limiting their access to essential services and perpetuating environmental injustices (Flowers 2020); (Bullard 2001).

For example, in Lowndes County, Alabama, a Black and low-income area, many residents still rely on straight pipes, which discharge raw sewage directly into the environment. This practice poses significant health risks and environmental contamination. The lack of investment in proper sanitation infrastructure in these communities is a clear manifestation of environmental racism, where marginalized groups bear the brunt of environmental hazards (Carrera and Flowers 2018).

The legacy of segregation and discriminatory policies has resulted in unequal distribution of resources and services, further entrenching social and racial disparities in wastewater management. Addressing these disparities requires targeted interventions and policies that prioritize investment in marginalized communities, ensuring equitable access to modern and safe wastewater infrastructure.

3. Addressing the Challenges

3.1. Economic Solutions

To address the economic barriers faced by rural and low-income communities, it is essential to increase funding for wastewater infrastructure projects. Expanding programs like the CWSRF and creating new funding mechanisms specifically aimed at low-income areas can help bridge the gap. Additionally, providing subsidies, low-interest loans, and grants to homeowners for septic system installation and maintenance can alleviate the financial burden.

3.2. Legal and Policy Interventions

Addressing heirs' property issues requires targeted legal assistance and policy interventions. Implementing land titling programs, providing legal aid to resolve property disputes, and educating landowners about their rights and options can help clear legal hurdles and facilitate access to financial resources for infrastructure development.

3.3. Social and Community Engagement

Engaging communities in the planning and implementation of wastewater management projects is vital for ensuring sustainability and effectiveness. Conducting education campaigns, involving residents in decision-making processes, and fostering partnerships with local organizations and stakeholders can enhance community buy-in and support for infrastructure projects (Albright et al. 2024).

3.4. Addressing Racial Disparities

Addressing racial disparities in wastewater management requires a commitment to environmental justice principles. Policies should prioritize investment in historically marginalized communities, ensuring equitable access to modern and safe wastewater infrastructure. Implementing comprehensive environmental justice frameworks and integrating them into regulatory processes can help rectify historical injustices and promote social equity (Bullard 1999); (Bullard 2001).

4. Policy Analysis

4.1. Strengthening Regulatory Frameworks

Enhancing regulatory frameworks is essential for ensuring compliance with sanitation standards and addressing systemic issues in wastewater management. This includes increasing funding for regulatory agencies, enhancing their capacity to monitor and enforce standards, and addressing governance and corruption issues. Regulatory frameworks need to be robust to manage the specific challenges faced by rural and low-income communities. Effective regulation ensures that environmental standards are met, reducing the risks associated with inadequate wastewater systems.

- **Increased Funding for Regulatory Agencies**: Allocating more resources to regulatory agencies like the EPA can enhance their capacity to enforce sanitation standards and monitor compliance. Increased funding can support regular inspections, advanced monitoring technologies, and better enforcement mechanisms to ensure that wastewater management systems meet the required standards.
- **Capacity Building**: Enhancing the technical and operational capacity of regulatory agencies is crucial. This can involve training programs for inspectors and staff, adoption of advanced technologies for monitoring and enforcement, and improved data management systems to track compliance and identify areas needing intervention.
- **Governance and Corruption**: Addressing governance and corruption within regulatory frameworks is vital. Transparent processes, accountability measures, and community involvement can help mitigate corruption and ensure that resources are used effectively to improve sanitation and wastewater management.

4.2. Increasing Funding and Financial Support

Targeted funding and financial support are critical for addressing the disparities in wastewater infrastructure. Federal and state programs like the Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF) should prioritize funding for low-income and rural communities to support infrastructure projects that improve sanitation and wastewater management in underserved areas.

- **Prioritizing Low-Income and Rural Communities:** Allocating funds specifically for projects in low-income and rural areas can help bridge the gap in sanitation infrastructure. This targeted funding can be used to upgrade existing systems, install new septic systems, and adopt advanced wastewater treatment technologies.
- **Subsidies and Grants:** Providing subsidies and grants to low-income households can make it more affordable for them to install and maintain modern septic systems. Financial assistance can reduce the economic burden on these communities and improve overall public health and environmental conditions.
- **Public-Private Partnerships:** Encouraging partnerships between the public and private sectors can leverage additional resources and expertise for wastewater management projects. Private sector involvement can bring innovation and efficiency to infrastructure development and maintenance.

4.3. Promoting Community Engagement

Community engagement is vital for the sustainability and effectiveness of wastewater management projects. Engaging communities in planning and implementation ensures that projects are tailored to local needs and conditions, fostering ownership and long-term success (Albright et al. 2024).

- **Education Campaigns**: Conducting education campaigns can raise awareness about the importance of proper sanitation and the risks associated with inadequate wastewater systems. Informing residents about available resources and support can encourage them to participate in and support infrastructure projects.
- **Inclusive Decision-Making**: Involving community members in decision-making processes ensures that their voices are heard, and their needs are addressed. This participatory approach can lead to more effective and sustainable solutions, as community members are more likely to support and maintain projects they have helped design.
- **Partnerships with Local Organizations**: Collaborating with local organizations and stakeholders can enhance the impact of wastewater management projects. Local organizations often have a better understanding of community needs and can facilitate communication and coordination between residents and project developers.

4.4. Addressing Heirs' Property Issues

Heirs' property issues present significant barriers to infrastructure development in rural areas. Providing legal assistance to clarify land ownership and secure titles is essential for overcoming these barriers and enabling residents to invest in adequate sanitation infrastructure (Dyer and Bailey 2008).

- Legal Assistance Programs: Implementing programs that offer legal assistance to heirs' property owners can help clarify land titles and resolve disputes. Legal aid can facilitate the formalization of land ownership, making it easier for residents to access financial services and government programs.
- Land Titling Initiatives: Governments and non-profit organizations can support land titling initiatives that help heirs' property owners secure clear titles. These initiatives can include outreach and education efforts to inform residents about the benefits of formal land ownership and the steps needed to achieve it.
- Access to Financial Services: Securing clear land titles can unlock access to loans, grants, and other financial services that are essential for infrastructure development. By addressing heirs' property issues, residents can invest in modern septic systems and improve overall sanitation conditions in their communities.

5. Advocacy for Policy Changes

5.1. Legislative Advocacy

Advocacy efforts should concentrate on influencing legislation to provide enhanced support for wastewater infrastructure in marginalized communities. This includes lobbying for increased funding, improved regulatory frameworks, and policies that specifically address the unique challenges faced by these communities. Legislative advocacy involves collaborating with policymakers, engaging in public hearings, and providing testimonies to highlight

the critical need for improved sanitation and wastewater management infrastructure. For instance, advocating for amendments to the Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF) to ensure that a greater portion of the funds are directed towards low-income and rural areas can significantly improve the quality of wastewater infrastructure in these regions.

5.2. Action Steps for Legislative Advocacy

- **Form Coalitions**: Building coalitions with other advocacy groups, NGOs, and community organizations can amplify the voice of marginalized communities. These coalitions can work together to develop comprehensive policy proposals and lobby for their adoption.
- **Engage Policymakers**: Regular meetings with local, state, and federal legislators to discuss the pressing issues related to wastewater infrastructure. Providing data and personal stories from affected communities can help policymakers understand the urgency of the situation.
- **Draft Policy Proposals**: Collaborating with legal experts to draft specific policy proposals that address the needs of marginalized communities. These proposals should include increased funding, technical support, and mechanisms for community involvement in decision-making processes.

5.3. Public Awareness Campaigns

Raising public awareness about the issues surrounding inadequate wastewater infrastructure is crucial for garnering support for policy changes and increased funding. Public campaigns can highlight the health risks and environmental impacts associated with poor wastewater management, thereby mobilizing public opinion, and putting pressure on policymakers to act. Effective public awareness campaigns can utilize various media platforms, including social media, community meetings, public service announcements, and collaborations with influential public figures to reach a broader audience.

5.4. Strategies for Public Awareness Campaigns

- **Media Engagement**: Partnering with local and national media outlets to produce stories, documentaries, and investigative reports that shed light on the conditions in affected communities. Visual storytelling and real-life testimonies can be powerful tools to generate empathy and action.
- Educational Workshops: Conducting workshops and seminars in schools, community centers, and other public spaces to educate the public about the importance of proper wastewater management and its impact on public health and the environment.
- **Social Media Campaigns**: Leveraging social media platforms to share information, engage with the public, and create viral content that raises awareness about the issues. Hashtags, infographics, and interactive content can help reach a diverse audience and encourage community involvement.

5.5. Community-Led Initiatives

Supporting community-led initiatives is essential for empowering residents to act and advocate for their needs. These initiatives can include grassroots organizing, public forums, and partnerships with advocacy organizations to push for systemic changes. Community involvement ensures that the solutions proposed are tailored to the specific needs and circumstances of the residents, leading to more sustainable and effective outcomes. Examples of community-led initiatives are;

- **Grassroots Organizing**: Encouraging community members to form local advocacy groups that can mobilize support, conduct outreach, and engage in direct action to demand improvements in wastewater infrastructure.
- **Public Forums**: Organizing public forums and town hall meetings where residents can voice their concerns, share their experiences, and propose solutions. These forums can also serve as platforms for dialogue between the community and policymakers.
- **Collaborations with NGOs**: Partnering with non-governmental organizations that specialize in environmental justice and community development. These partnerships can provide technical assistance, legal support, and additional resources to strengthen community-led efforts.

6. Conclusion

Addressing the disparities in wastewater infrastructure requires a comprehensive approach that includes policy analysis, increased funding, community engagement, and legal support for land ownership issues. By focusing on these areas, policymakers and advocates can work together to improve sanitation and wastewater management, ensuring that all communities have access to safe and effective wastewater infrastructure. Achieving this goal is essential for

public health, environmental sustainability, and social equity. The integration of these strategies will not only enhance the quality of life for marginalized communities but also contribute to the broader objectives of environmental justice and public health protection.

By advocating for legislative changes, raising public awareness, and supporting community-led initiatives, we can create a more equitable and sustainable future. The combined efforts of government agencies, non-profit organizations, and community members are crucial for driving the systemic changes needed to address the long-standing issues of inadequate wastewater management in rural and low-income areas. This collaborative approach will help ensure that all communities, regardless of their socio-economic status, have access to the basic human right of adequate sanitation.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

- [1] Albright, Elizabeth A., Catherine Coleman Flowers, Randall A. Kramer, and Erika S. Weinthal. 2024. Failing Septic Systems in Lowndes County, Alabama: Citizen Participation, Science, and Community Knowledge. Local Environment 29(2):135–42. doi: 10.1080/13549839.2023.2267066.
- [2] Andreen, William L. 2003. The Evolution of Water Pollution Control in the United States State, Local, and Federal Efforts, 1789-1972: Part II. Stanford Environmental Law Journal 22(2):215–94.
- [3] Bullard, Robert. 1999. Environmental Justice Challenges at Home and Abroad. in Global Ethics and Environment. Routledge.
- [4] Bullard, Robert D. 2001. Environmental Justice in the 21st Century: Race Still Matters. Phylon (1960-) 49(3/4):151–71. doi: 10.2307/3132626.
- [5] Bunch, Beverly S. 2008. Clean Water State Revolving Fund Program: Analysis of Variations in State Practices. International Journal of Public Administration 31(2):117–36. doi: 10.1080/01900690701410943.
- [6] Carrera, Jennifer S., and Catherine Coleman Flowers. 2018. Sanitation Inequity and the Cumulative Effects of Racism in Colorblind Public Health Policies. The American Journal of Economics and Sociology 77(3–4):941–66. doi: 10.1111/ajes.12242.
- [7] Clark, Robert M., and Brenda K. Boutin. 2001. Controlling Disinfection By-Products and Microbial Contaminants in Drinking Water. National Risk Management Research Laboratory, Office of Research and Development, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.
- [8] Craig, Robin Kundis, and Anna M. Roberts. 2015. When Will Governments Regulate Nonpoint Source Pollution: A Comparative Perspective. Boston College Environmental Affairs Law Review 42(1):[i]-64.
- [9] Dusenberry, Jennifer L. 2012. Undermining the Clean Water Act: One Court's Attack on Another Safeguard for America's Waters Comment. Tennessee Law Review 80(3):585–610.
- [10] Dyer, Janice F., and Conner Bailey. 2008. A Place to Call Home: Cultural Understandings of Heir Property among Rural African Americans*. Rural Sociology 73(3):317–38. doi: 10.1526/003601108785766598.
- [11] Fast, Sara Ann, Veera Gnaneswar Gude, Dennis D. Truax, James Martin, and Benjamin S. Magbanua. 2017. A Critical Evaluation of Advanced Oxidation Processes for Emerging Contaminants Removal. Environmental Processes 4(1):283–302. doi: 10.1007/s40710-017-0207-1.
- [12] Flowers, Catherine Coleman. 2020. Waste: One Woman's Fight Against America's Dirty Secret. The New Press.
- [13] Gleick, P. 2002. Dirty Water: Estimated Deaths from Water-Related Diseases 2000-2020.
- [14] Glicksman, Robert L., and Matthew R. Batzel. 2010. Science, Politics, Law, and the Arc of the Clean Water Act: The Role of Assumptions in the Adoption of a Pollution Control Landmark New Directions in Environmental Law. Washington University Journal of Law and Policy 32(1):99–138.

- [15] Hanjra, Munir A., John Blackwell, Gemma Carr, Fenghua Zhang, and Tamara M. Jackson. 2012. Wastewater Irrigation and Environmental Health: Implications for Water Governance and Public Policy. International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health 215(3):255–69. doi: 10.1016/j.ijheh.2011.10.003.
- [16] Husain, Misbah, and Melissa K. Scanlan. 2021. Disadvantaged Communities, Water Justice & the Promise of the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act. Seton Hall Law Review 52(5):1513–30.
- [17] Jones, Jason R. 1999. The Clean Water Act: Groundwater Regulation and the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Comment. Dickinson Journal of Environmental Law & Policy 8(1):93–120.
- [18] Koottatep, Thammarat, Peter Emmanuel Cookey, and Chongrak Polprasert. 2019. Regenerative Sanitation: A New Paradigm For Sanitation 4.0. IWA Publishing.
- [19] Laitos, Jan G., and Heidi Ruckriegle. 2012. The Clean Water Act and the Challenge of Agricultural Pollution Conference on Agriculture and Food Systems September 28, 2012. Vermont Law Review 37(4):1033–70.
- [20] Massoud, May A., Akram Tarhini, and Joumana A. Nasr. 2009. Decentralized Approaches to Wastewater Treatment and Management: Applicability in Developing Countries. Journal of Environmental Management 90(1):652–59. doi: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2008.07.001.
- [21] Neyrey, Erinn W., and M. Michelle Marney. 2001. Cleanup of Contaminated Oyster Beds under the Clean Water Act and Louisiana's Environmental and Water Quality Laws. Journal of Natural Resources & Environmental Law 16(2):179–202.
- [22] Richardson, Jesse J. Jr., and Amber S. Miller. 2023. Solutions for Heirs Property Owners Forty-Third American Agricultural Law Association Symposium. Drake Journal of Agricultural Law 28(2):139–70.
- [23] US EPA, OP. 2013. Summary of the Safe Drinking Water Act. Retrieved July 3, 2024 (https://www.epa.gov/laws-regulations/summary-safe-drinking-water-act).
- [24] US EPA, OW. 2014. Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF). Retrieved July 3, 2024 (https://www.epa.gov/dwsrf).