



(REVIEW ARTICLE)



Echoes of peace: media's challenges and opportunities in shaping societal narratives

Sarath Thomas *

PhD Research Scholar, Monad University, Delhi NCR, Hapur, India.

International Journal of Science and Research Archive, 2024, 12(01), 2695–2702

Publication history: Received on 05 May 2024; revised on 17 June 2024; accepted on 20 June 2024

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30574/ijrsra.2024.12.1.1085>

Abstract

Media plays a multifaceted role in peacebuilding processes, presenting both challenges and opportunities. This article explores the complex interplay between media and conflict resolution efforts. It examines the ways in which media can exacerbate conflicts through the spread of misinformation, biased reporting, and amplification of divisive narratives. However, the article also highlights media's transformative potential in shaping narratives, fostering intercultural dialogue, facilitating conflict resolution, and promoting sustainable peace. Strategies discussed include media literacy education, capacity-building for conflict-sensitive reporting, promoting inclusive communication platforms, leveraging strategic communication, and safeguarding media freedom. The article emphasizes the importance of context-specific and culturally relevant approaches, as well as cross-sectoral collaborations involving media professionals, peacebuilders, policymakers, and local communities. Additionally, it explores the impact of emerging technologies, such as social media and immersive storytelling, on peacebuilding efforts. The article concludes by calling for continuous research, evaluation, and adaptation to harness the full potential of media in promoting reconciliation, empathy, and lasting peace in conflict-affected societies. By addressing challenges and capitalizing on opportunities, media can become a powerful catalyst for conflict transformation and the realization of a more just and equitable world.

Keywords: Peace Building; Media; Conflict Transformation; Dialogue; Peace Journalism

1. Introduction

In an increasingly interconnected world, media and communication play a pivotal role in shaping perceptions, narratives, and societal dynamics. As such, their influence on peacebuilding efforts cannot be overstated. The media's power to escalate or de-escalate conflicts, foster understanding or perpetuate stereotypes, and amplify or marginalize voices has profound implications for the pursuit of sustainable peace (Wolfsfeld, 2004). This article explores the challenges and opportunities posed by media and communication in peacebuilding, underscoring the need for a strategic and responsible approach to harnessing their potential. The importance of media and communication in peacebuilding is rooted in their ability to shape public discourse, influence attitudes, and mobilize action. Through agenda-setting and framing, the media can draw attention to specific issues, conflicts, or initiatives, shaping how they are perceived and understood (McCombs & Shaw, 1972). Furthermore, media narratives can challenge stereotypes, promote empathy, and foster intercultural dialogue, essential components of peacebuilding (Galtung, 1996). Conversely, biased or sensationalized reporting can exacerbate tensions, fuel polarization, and undermine peacebuilding efforts (Shinar, 2007). Challenges posed by media and communication in peacebuilding are multifaceted. Media bias, sensationalism, and conflict-insensitive reporting can perpetuate stereotypes and fuel polarization, prioritizing profits over responsible reporting (Hackett, 2011). Censorship, media control, and restrictions on freedom of expression also hamper transparency and accountability, essential for effective peacebuilding (Hoffmann & Hawkins, 2015). Despite these challenges, media and communication also present significant opportunities for peacebuilding. By shaping narratives and promoting understanding, the media can challenge stereotypes, amplify marginalized voices, and foster intercultural dialogue and empathy (Galtung, 1996). The advent of new media and digital technologies, such

* Corresponding author: Sarath Thomas

as social media and citizen journalism, has further expanded the reach and engagement potential for peacebuilding efforts (Brock, 2012). This article aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of the role of media and communication in peacebuilding, highlighting both the challenges and opportunities. By examining theoretical frameworks, empirical evidence, and best practices, it seeks to contribute to the discourse on leveraging media and communication for sustainable peace. Ultimately, the article emphasizes the need for a strategic and responsible approach that addresses challenges while capitalizing on the transformative potential of media and communication in peacebuilding efforts.

2. Media and peacebuilding

2.1. Definition and Importance of Peacebuilding

Peacebuilding is a comprehensive and multidimensional process aimed at preventing, mitigating, and resolving conflicts, while also addressing their underlying root causes (United Nations, 2020). It encompasses a wide range of activities and strategies designed to foster sustainable peace, reconciliation, and societal transformation. The importance of peacebuilding cannot be overstated, as it directly contributes to the pursuit of human security, social cohesion, and long-term development (Lederach, 1997). At its core, peacebuilding efforts seek to address the structural and systemic factors that perpetuate violence, such as poverty, injustice, and marginalization. By promoting inclusive governance, reconciliation processes, and economic development, peacebuilding initiatives aim to create an enabling environment for sustainable peace (Galtung, 1996). Furthermore, peacebuilding is deeply intertwined with the protection and promotion of human rights, as it recognizes the intrinsic link between peace, justice, and the dignity of all individuals (Ramsbotham et al., 2011). The significance of peacebuilding extends beyond the immediate cessation of hostilities or the resolution of specific conflicts. It encompasses a long-term vision of societal transformation, where the root causes of conflict are addressed, and a culture of peace, nonviolence, and mutual understanding is fostered (Lederach, 1997). By investing in peacebuilding efforts, societies can break cycles of violence, promote social cohesion, and create an environment conducive to sustainable development and human flourishing.

2.2. The Power and Influence of Media and Communication

Media and communication play a pivotal role in shaping public discourse, influencing attitudes, and mobilizing action. Their power stems from their ability to disseminate information, frame narratives, and reach vast audiences (McCombs & Shaw, 1972). In the context of peacebuilding, media and communication can serve as powerful tools for fostering understanding, promoting dialogue, and amplifying marginalized voices (Galtung, 1996). Through agenda-setting and framing, the media can draw attention to specific conflicts, issues, or peacebuilding initiatives, shaping how they are perceived and understood by the public (McCombs & Shaw, 1972). By highlighting stories of reconciliation, successful peacebuilding efforts, and the human costs of conflict, the media can raise awareness, mobilize support, and foster empathy among diverse audiences (Brock, 2012). Furthermore, media platforms can facilitate constructive dialogue and deliberation, essential components of peacebuilding processes. By providing inclusive spaces for diverse perspectives and promoting conflict-sensitive reporting, the media can foster intercultural understanding and challenge stereotypes that fuel polarization (Shinar, 2007). However, the power of media and communication also carries significant risks and challenges. Biased or sensationalized reporting can exacerbate tensions, perpetuate harmful narratives, and undermine peacebuilding efforts (Hackett, 2011). Censorship, media control, and restrictions on freedom of expression can hamper transparency, accountability, and the free flow of information, all of which are crucial for effective peacebuilding (Hoffmann & Hawkins, 2015). In an increasingly digital age, the role of new media and communication technologies, such as social media and citizen journalism, has gained prominence. While these platforms offer opportunities for greater engagement, participation, and amplification of marginalized voices, they also present challenges in terms of misinformation, hate speech, and the potential for online radicalization (Brock, 2012).

3. Theoretical framework

3.1. Theories on Media's Role in Conflict and Peacebuilding

3.1.1. Media as a Tool for Conflict Escalation or De-escalation

The media can play a pivotal role in either escalating or de-escalating conflicts, depending on the narratives and framing employed. The escalation-de-escalation model (Wolfsfeld, 2004) posits that media coverage can amplify or diminish tensions, shape public perceptions, and influence the trajectory of conflicts. By highlighting grievances, promoting polarizing narratives, or sensationalizing violence, the media can contribute to conflict escalation. Conversely, responsible and conflict-sensitive reporting can promote understanding, foster dialogue, and contribute to de-escalation efforts.

3.1.2. Agenda-Setting and Framing Theories

The agenda-setting theory (McCombs & Shaw, 1972) suggests that the media has a significant influence on shaping public discourse and perceptions by determining which issues or events receive attention and how they are portrayed. Additionally, framing theory (Entman, 1993) highlights the media's power to construct specific interpretations and narratives around events, influencing how audiences perceive and understand them. These theories underscore the importance of responsible and balanced media coverage in peacebuilding efforts, as biased or sensationalized framing can perpetuate harmful stereotypes and undermine peacebuilding initiatives.

3.1.3. Peace Journalism and Conflict-Sensitive Reporting

Peace journalism (Galtung, 1996) and conflict-sensitive reporting (Palau & Howard, 2015) offer theoretical frameworks for responsible and ethical media practices in conflict situations. These approaches emphasize the importance of providing context, exploring root causes, amplifying voices for peace, and promoting nonviolent conflict resolution. By adopting these principles, journalists and media professionals can contribute to peacebuilding efforts by fostering understanding, promoting dialogue, and challenging narratives that fuel polarization and violence.

4. Challenges posed by media and communication

4.1. Media Bias, Sensationalism, and Conflict-Insensitive Reporting

- **Perpetuating Stereotypes and Fuelling Polarization:** One of the significant challenges posed by media and communication in peacebuilding efforts is the potential for biased or sensationalized reporting to perpetuate harmful stereotypes and fuel polarization among conflicting groups. Media outlets may adopt a narrative that oversimplifies complex conflicts, portraying them as binary struggles between good and evil, or portraying certain groups in a negative light (Hackett, 2011). Such biased portrayals can reinforce existing prejudices, exacerbate tensions, and undermine efforts to promote understanding and reconciliation.
- **Prioritizing Profits over Responsible Reporting:** In a highly competitive media landscape, the pursuit of profits and audience attention can sometimes take precedence over responsible and ethical reporting practices. Media outlets may prioritize sensationalism, controversy, and conflict-driven narratives as a means of attracting viewers or readers, even if such coverage risks inflaming tensions or misrepresenting the complexities of a conflict situation (Shinar, 2007). This profit-driven approach can undermine the media's potential to serve as a constructive force in peacebuilding efforts.
- **Lack of Media Literacy and Critical Thinking:** The challenges posed by media bias and sensationalism are further compounded by the lack of media literacy and critical thinking skills among audiences. Without the ability to critically evaluate media content, audiences may be more susceptible to accepting biased or sensationalized narratives at face value, further entrenching polarization and undermining peacebuilding efforts (Mihailidis & Viotty, 2017). This highlights the importance of promoting media literacy education as a complementary strategy in leveraging media for peacebuilding.

4.2. Censorship, Media Control, and Freedom of Expression

- **Government-Imposed Censorship and Media Restrictions:** In many conflict-affected regions, media and communication channels are subject to government-imposed censorship and restrictions, limiting the free flow of information and hampering transparency and accountability (Hoffmann & Hawkins, 2015). Governments may censor or suppress media coverage that is perceived as threatening to their interests or narratives, effectively limiting the media's ability to serve as an impartial and objective observer in conflict situations.
- **Self-Censorship and Fear of Reprisals:** Even in the absence of direct government censorship, media professionals may engage in self-censorship due to fears of reprisals, threats, or intimidation from powerful actors involved in conflicts. This self-imposed censorship can result in a chilling effect on independent and critical reporting, further limiting the media's potential to contribute to peacebuilding efforts (Allport, G. W. 1954).
- **Implications for Transparency and Accountability:** Censorship, media control, and restrictions on freedom of expression have far-reaching implications for transparency and accountability in peacebuilding processes. Without a free and independent media, it becomes challenging to monitor human rights violations, hold parties accountable for their actions, and ensure that peacebuilding initiatives are inclusive and responsive to the needs of all stakeholders (Hoffmann & Hawkins, 2015).

4.3. Access and Digital Divides

- **Unequal Access to Media and Communication Technologies:** The digital divide, which refers to the unequal access to and use of information and communication technologies (ICTs), presents a significant challenge for leveraging media and communication in peacebuilding efforts (Norris, 2001). In many conflict-affected regions, limited access to technologies such as the internet, mobile devices, and social media platforms can hinder the dissemination of information, limit participation in online dialogues, and marginalize certain communities from engaging in peacebuilding initiatives.
 - **Marginalization of Underrepresented Voices and Perspectives:** The digital divide can exacerbate the marginalization of underrepresented voices and perspectives in peacebuilding processes. Communities with limited access to media and communication technologies may struggle to amplify their narratives, share their experiences, and engage in meaningful dialogue with other stakeholders (Brock, 2012). This can perpetuate existing power imbalances and undermine efforts to promote inclusive and participatory peacebuilding processes.
 - **Increasing Inequalities and Social Divides:** The digital divide is often closely linked to broader socioeconomic inequalities and social divides within societies. Unequal access to media and communication technologies can reinforce existing disparities, exacerbating marginalization and contributing to the very root causes of conflict that peacebuilding efforts aim to address (Norris, 2001). Addressing the digital divide is, therefore, a crucial step in leveraging media and communication for sustainable and inclusive peacebuilding.
-

5. Opportunities for media and communication in peacebuilding

5.1. Shaping narratives and promoting understanding

- **Challenging stereotypes and amplifying marginalized voices:** Media can play a pivotal role in challenging deeply entrenched stereotypes and amplifying the voices of marginalized communities, fostering greater understanding and empathy among diverse groups. By providing platforms for diverse perspectives, media can contribute to breaking down societal divisions and promoting inclusivity (Rodríguez, 2011).
- **Fostering intercultural dialogue and empathy:** Through storytelling, cultural exchange, and highlighting shared experiences, media can facilitate intercultural dialogue and foster empathy among different communities (Hackett, 2011). By highlighting common values and promoting tolerance, media can create spaces for meaningful connections and promote mutual understanding.
- **Highlighting successful peacebuilding initiatives:** Media can showcase successful peacebuilding initiatives, inspiring others and demonstrating the tangible benefits of conflict resolution (Howard, 2015). By highlighting positive examples, media can help shift public perceptions and encourage broader support for peacebuilding efforts.
- **Raising Awareness and Mobilizing Support:** Media can play a crucial role in raising awareness about conflict dynamics, human rights violations, and the need for peacebuilding efforts (Howard, 2015). By highlighting these issues, media can mobilize public support and influence policymakers to take action.
- **Empowering Local Voices and Grassroots Initiatives:** Community-based media and participatory approaches can empower local voices, amplify marginalized narratives, and promote grassroots peacebuilding initiatives (Rodríguez, 2011). This can foster a sense of ownership and contribute to sustainable conflict transformation.
- **Shaping Public Discourse and Influencing Attitudes:** Media has the power to shape public discourse and influence attitudes towards conflict and peace (Shinar, 2003). By promoting positive narratives, highlighting common ground, and emphasizing the benefits of peaceful coexistence, media can contribute to shifting societal mindsets and creating an enabling environment for peacebuilding.

5.2. Facilitating conflict resolution and reconciliation

- **Providing platforms for open and inclusive dialogue:** Media can serve as a neutral platform for open and inclusive dialogue, allowing conflicting parties to share their perspectives and engage in constructive discussions (Shinar, 2003). This can foster greater understanding, build trust, and pave the way for conflict resolution.
- **Promoting conflict-sensitive reporting and peace journalism:** By adopting principles of conflict-sensitive reporting and peace journalism, media outlets can contribute to de-escalating tensions and promoting nonviolent solutions (Galtung & Ruge, 1965). This approach emphasizes balanced and impartial reporting, focusing on the root causes of conflicts and highlighting peacebuilding efforts.

- **Disseminating information and raising awareness:** Media plays a crucial role in disseminating accurate and timely information, raising public awareness about conflict dynamics, and mobilizing support for peacebuilding initiatives (Bratic & Schirch, 2007). By providing reliable information, media can counter misinformation and promote informed decision-making.

5.3. Leveraging new media and digital technologies

- **Expanding reach and engagement through social media:** The rise of social media and digital platforms has created new opportunities for media to expand its reach and engage diverse audiences in peacebuilding efforts (Wolfsfeld et al., 2017). These platforms allow for real-time information sharing, crowd sourcing of ideas, and mobilization of grassroots movements.
- **Citizen journalism and participatory media platforms:** Citizen journalism and participatory media platforms empower individuals to share their stories, document human rights violations, and contribute to peacebuilding narratives (Rodríguez, 2011). These platforms can amplify marginalized voices and promote bottom-up approaches to conflict resolution.
- **Virtual reality and immersive storytelling for peacebuilding:** Emerging technologies such as virtual reality (VR) and immersive storytelling techniques offer new avenues for media to foster empathy and understanding. By allowing audiences to experience conflict situations firsthand, these technologies can create powerful emotional connections and promote greater engagement in peacebuilding efforts.

6. Strategies and best practices

To effectively harness the potential of media for peacebuilding and address the associated challenges, a comprehensive and multi-faceted approach is necessary. Several strategies and best practices that can enhance the role of media in conflict prevention, resolution, and sustainable peacebuilding efforts.

- **Media literacy and critical thinking education:** Media literacy education is crucial for empowering individuals and communities to navigate the complex media landscape critically. It involves equipping them with the skills to analyze media content, identify misinformation and propaganda, and develop a deeper understanding of media's influence on shaping narratives and perceptions (Aufderheide & Firestone, 1993). By promoting media literacy, individuals become more discerning consumers of information and better equipped to engage in constructive dialogue and peacebuilding initiatives.
- **Building capacity for conflict-sensitive reporting and peace journalism:** Journalists and media professionals play a vital role in shaping narratives and influencing public discourse. Building their capacity for conflict-sensitive reporting and peace journalism is essential for promoting balanced and impartial coverage of conflicts (Galtung & Ruge, 1965). This approach emphasizes exploring the root causes of conflicts, amplifying marginalized voices, and highlighting peacebuilding efforts. By adopting these principles, media outlets can contribute to de-escalating tensions and fostering an environment conducive to conflict resolution.
- **Fostering inclusive and participatory communication platforms:** Inclusive and participatory communication platforms empower local communities, amplify marginalized voices, and promote grassroots peacebuilding initiatives. By leveraging community media, citizen journalism, and participatory methodologies, diverse perspectives can be shared, fostering mutual understanding and ownership of peacebuilding processes (Rodríguez, 2011). These platforms also serve as spaces for open dialogue, facilitating conflict resolution and reconciliation efforts at the local level.
- **Leveraging strategic communication and public diplomacy:** Strategic communication and public diplomacy play a vital role in shaping narratives, promoting dialogue, and building support for peacebuilding initiatives. Through targeted communication campaigns, governments, international organizations, and civil society groups can raise awareness about conflict dynamics, highlight the benefits of peaceful coexistence, and mobilize public support for conflict resolution efforts (Gilboa, 2008). Effective strategic communication strategies can counter misinformation, promote intercultural understanding, and foster an enabling environment for sustainable peace.
- **Promoting media freedom and ethical journalism:** A free and independent media landscape is essential for facilitating open dialogue, holding authorities accountable, and promoting transparent and ethical journalism practices. By safeguarding media freedom and supporting ethical journalism, societies can foster a diverse and pluralistic media ecosystem that amplifies diverse voices, upholds principles of accuracy and impartiality, and contributes to conflict prevention and peacebuilding (Nordenstreng & Hannikainen, 1984). Strong legal frameworks, robust self-regulatory mechanisms, and capacity-building initiatives can help strengthen media professionalism and ensure responsible reporting.

7. Recommendations and future directions

While media can play a crucial role in peacebuilding efforts, there is a need for continuous improvement and adaptation to address emerging challenges and opportunities. This section outlines recommendations and future directions to strengthen the impact of media in conflict resolution and sustainable peace.

- **Strengthening media-peacebuilding collaborations:** Fostering collaboration between media professionals, peacebuilding organizations, and local communities is essential for leveraging the full potential of media in peacebuilding processes. By establishing strategic partnerships, diverse stakeholders can pool their resources, expertise, and networks to develop comprehensive and context-specific approaches (Shinar, 2007). These collaborations can facilitate the exchange of knowledge, promote capacity-building initiatives, and ensure that media interventions align with local peacebuilding priorities and cultural contexts. Furthermore, engaging media professionals in peacebuilding training and equipping peacebuilders with media literacy skills can enhance mutual understanding and create synergies. Such cross-sectoral collaborations can lead to the development of innovative media-based strategies for conflict prevention, resolution, and reconciliation efforts (Bratic & Schirch, 2007).
- **Addressing digital divides and access inequalities:** The digital divide and unequal access to media and communication technologies can exacerbate existing social and economic disparities, hindering inclusive and participatory peacebuilding processes (Norris, 2001). To address this challenge, concerted efforts are needed to bridge the digital divide and ensure equitable access to media and communication channels. This may involve investing in infrastructure development, providing affordable Internet and digital media access, and promoting digital literacy initiatives in marginalized communities. Additionally, supporting community-based media initiatives and leveraging low-cost technologies can empower local voices and facilitate grassroots peacebuilding efforts (Rodríguez, 2011).
- **Developing context-specific and culturally relevant approaches:** Peacebuilding efforts and media interventions must be tailored to the specific contexts and cultural nuances of the conflict-affected communities. A one-size-fits-all approach is unlikely to resonate with diverse audiences or address the unique challenges faced in different settings (Lederach, 1995). To develop context-specific and culturally relevant approaches, it is crucial to engage local stakeholders, understand their perspectives, and incorporate their insights into the design and implementation of media-based peacebuilding initiatives. This can involve conducting comprehensive conflict analyses, mapping communication landscapes, and collaborating with cultural experts and community leaders. Additionally, promoting media content in local languages, utilizing traditional storytelling techniques, and embracing indigenous communication methods can enhance the relevance and resonance of media interventions (Hackett, 2011).
- **Suggestions for future research and interdisciplinary collaborations:** As the media landscape continues to evolve rapidly, there is a need for ongoing research and interdisciplinary collaborations to deepen our understanding of the complex interplay between media and peacebuilding. Future research could explore the impact of emerging technologies, such as virtual reality and immersive storytelling, on fostering empathy and promoting conflict transformation. Additionally, interdisciplinary collaborations between media scholars, peacebuilding practitioners, policymakers, and technologists can facilitate the development of innovative tools and approaches that leverage the power of media for peacebuilding. Such collaborations can also contribute to the advancement of theoretical frameworks and evidence-based practices in the field. Furthermore, longitudinal studies and impact evaluations can provide valuable insights into the long-term effects of media interventions on peacebuilding processes, informing the design and implementation of future initiatives (Howard, 2015).

8. Conclusion

This article has explored the multifaceted role of media in peacebuilding processes, highlighting both the challenges and opportunities it presents. While the proliferation of misinformation, biased reporting, and limited access to media can exacerbate conflicts, media also holds immense potential for shaping narratives, fostering dialogue, and facilitating conflict resolution. The findings underscore the importance of media literacy education, capacity-building for conflict-sensitive reporting, and the promotion of inclusive and participatory communication platforms. Safeguarding media freedom and upholding ethical journalism principles are vital for ensuring a diverse and responsible media landscape that contributes to conflict prevention and sustainable peace. As a powerful tool for shaping narratives and influencing public discourse, media can play a pivotal role in transforming conflicts and fostering an environment conducive to peacebuilding. By amplifying marginalized voices, challenging stereotypes, and promoting empathy, media can bridge divides and create spaces for constructive dialogue. Furthermore, disseminating accurate information and raising

awareness about peacebuilding initiatives can mobilize public support and influence policymakers to prioritize conflict resolution efforts. Harnessing the full potential of media for peacebuilding requires sustained efforts and collaboration across sectors, including media professionals, peacebuilding organizations, policymakers, civil society, and local communities. By fostering strategic partnerships, addressing digital divides, and developing context-specific approaches, media interventions can be tailored to the unique needs and cultural contexts of conflict-affected regions. Continuous research, evaluation, and interdisciplinary collaborations are crucial for advancing theoretical frameworks, developing innovative tools, and informing evidence-based practices in the field of media and peacebuilding. As the media landscape continues to evolve, it is imperative to remain adaptable and proactive, leveraging emerging technologies and fostering a culture of ethical and responsible media practices. By doing so, we can unlock the transformative power of media and contribute to the realization of sustainable peace, reconciliation, and a more just and equitable world.

References

- [1] Allport, G. W. (1954). *The nature of prejudice*. Addison-Wesley.
- [2] Aufderheide, P., & Firestone, C. (1993). *Media literacy: A report of the national leadership conference on media literacy*. Aspen Institute, Communications and Society Program.
- [3] Bratic, V., & Schirch, L. (2007). *Why and when to use the media for conflict prevention and peacebuilding*. European Centre for Conflict Prevention.
- [4] Entman, R. M. (1993). Framing: Toward clarification of a fractured paradigm. *Journal of Communication*, 43(4), 51-58. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-2466.1993.tb01304.x>
- [5] Galtung, J. (1996). *Peace by peaceful means: Peace and conflict, development and civilization*. Sage Publications.
- [6] Galtung, J., & Ruge, M. H. (1965). The structure of foreign news: The presentation of the Congo, Cuba and Cyprus crises in four Norwegian newspapers. *Journal of Peace Research*, 2(1), 64-90.
- [7] Gilboa, E. (2008). Searching for a theory of public diplomacy. *The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, 616(1), 55-77.
- [8] Hackett, R. A. (2011). *New vistas for peace news: Towards a critical discourse theory*.
- [9] Hackett, R. A. (2011). *New vistas for peace news: Towards a critical discourse theory*. In I. S. Shaw, J. Lynch, & R. A. Hackett (Eds.), *Expanding peace journalism: Comparative and critical approaches* (pp. 77-97). Sydney University Press.
- [10] Hackett, R. A. (2011). Nurturing a new peace media: Advocacy journalism and conflict resolution. *Conflict Resolution Quarterly*, 28(4), 427-444.
- [11] Hoffmann, J., & Hawkins, V. (Eds.). (2015). *Communication and peace: Mapping an emerging field*. Routledge.
- [12] Howard, R. (2015). Promoting peace through the media: An examination of the role of the media in conflict resolution and peacebuilding. *Journal of Media and Religion*, 14(3), 179-198.
- [13] Lederach, J. P. (1995). *Preparing for peace: Conflict transformation across cultures*. Syracuse University Press.
- [14] Lederach, J. P. (1997). *Building peace: Sustainable reconciliation in divided societies*. United States Institute of Peace Press.
- [15] McCombs, M. E., & Shaw, D. L. (1972). The agenda-setting function of mass media. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 36(2), 176-187. <https://doi.org/10.1086/267990>
- [16] Mihailidis, P., & Viotty, S. (2017). Spreadable spectacle in digital culture: Civic expression, fake news, and the role of media literacies in "post-fact" society. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 61(4), 441-454. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0002764217701217>
- [17] Nordenstreng, K., & Hannikainen, L. (1984). *The mass media declaration of UNESCO*. Ablex Publishing Corporation.
- [18] Norris, P. (2001). *Digital divide: Civic engagement, information poverty, and the Internet worldwide*. Cambridge University Press.
- [19] Palau, A. M., & Howard, R. (2015). *Media and conflict: A practical guide for journalists*. Deutsche Welle Akademie.
- [20] Pearce, W. B., & Littlejohn, S. W. (1997). *Moral conflict: When social worlds collide*. Sage Publications.

- [21] Rodríguez, C. (2011). *Citizens' media against armed conflict: Disrupting violence in Colombia*. University of Minnesota Press.
- [22] Shinar, D. (2003). The peace process in cultural conflict: The role of the media. *Conflict & Communication Online*, 2(1).
- [23] Shinar, D. (2007). Epilogue: Peace journalism - The state of the art. *Conflict & Communication Online*, 6(1), 1-9.
- [24] Shinar, D. (2007). Epilogue: Peace journalism – The state of the art. In D. Shinar & W. Kempf (Eds.), *Peace journalism: The state of the art* (pp. 220-232). Regener.
- [25] Shinar, D. (2007). Epilogue: Peace journalism – The state of the art. In D. Shinar & W. Kempf (Eds.), *Peace journalism: The state of the art* (pp. 220-232). Regener.
- [26] Tajfel, H., & Turner, J. C. (1979). An integrative theory of intergroup conflict. In W. G. Austin & S. Worchel (Eds.), *The social psychology of intergroup relations* (pp. 33-47). Brooks/Cole.
- [27] Terzis, G. (2015). Introduction: Mapping the field. In G. Terzis (Ed.), *Mapping critical media literacy: Changing narratives and practices* (pp. 1-25). Common Ground Research Networks.
- [28] Wolfsfeld, G. (2004). *Media and the path to peace*. Cambridge University Press
- [29] Wolfsfeld, G., Allouche, J., & Aburaiya, I. (2017). Promoting values through entertainment: Examining the effects of media priming and media literacy on perceptions of media influence and reality in Israel and Palestine. *International Journal of Communication*, 11, 2285-2310.