International Journal of Science and Research Archive

eISSN: 2582-8185

Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/ijsra

Journal homepage: https://ijsra.net/

(REVIEW ARTICLE)

Check for updates

Comprehensive strategies for effective fecal sludge management in Humanitarian Settings: A case study of Cox's Bazar Rohingya Response

Mohammad Ali * and Tanzima Shahreen

Humanitarian Professional and Researcher, Bangladesh

International Journal of Science and Research Archive, 2024, 12(01), 2257–2273

Publication history: Received on 18 April 2024; revised on 04 June 2024; accepted on 06 June 2024

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/ijsra.2024.12.1.1041

Abstract

The influx of Forcibly Displaced Myanmar Nationals (FDMN) into Bangladesh has necessitated the development of robust Fecal Sludge Management (FSM) strategies in Cox's Bazar to ensure safe and sustainable sanitation solutions. Effective fecal sludge management (FSM) is crucial in humanitarian settings for public health, environmental protection, and dignity. This study investigates comprehensive FSM strategies in Cox's Bazar Rohingya refugee camps, one of the largest and most complex humanitarian crises. Using a mixed-methods approach, it combines quantitative data from surveys and field observations with qualitative insights from interviews and document analysis to examine the FSM value chain, including sludge production, containment, collection/emptying, transport, treatment, and disposal/reuse.

Findings show high sludge production rates require frequent desludging, with challenges in containment due to structural weaknesses and privacy concerns. Collection and emptying face logistical and health issues, while transport is hindered by difficult terrain and limited vehicle availability. Treatment facilities are often inadequate, leading to operational challenges and environmental risks. Despite these issues, disposal and reuse practices in agriculture show promise but need safety and community acceptance improvements.

The study recommends increasing desludging capacity, enhancing containment designs, improving transport infrastructure, expanding treatment facilities, and promoting safe disposal and reuse practices. Emphasizing community engagement, technological innovations, and coordinated efforts among stakeholders is crucial for sustainable FSM systems. Future research should focus on innovative technologies, long-term sustainability, and scalability of FSM strategies across contexts. This research provides valuable insights and practical recommendations for improving sanitation in similar emergency contexts worldwide.

Keywords: Fecal Sludge Management; Humanitarian Response; Rohingya; Sanitation; Waste Treatment; Cox's Bazar

1. Introduction

The management of fecal sludge is crucial for maintaining public health and environmental integrity in refugee camps. Following the 2017 Rohingya crisis, Cox's Bazar became home to over 930,000 refugees, creating significant sanitation challenges. This study aims to review the FSM strategies deployed in these camps, evaluate their effectiveness, and provide recommendations for future improvements.

Fecal sludge management (FSM) in humanitarian settings is a critical yet often overlooked component of emergency response. Effective FSM is essential for maintaining public health, preventing environmental contamination, and ensuring the dignity and well-being of affected populations. The Rohingya crisis in Cox's Bazar, Bangladesh, represents one of the most significant and challenging humanitarian emergencies in recent history. Since August 2017, over

* Corresponding author: Mohammad Ali

700,000 Rohingya refugees have fled persecution in Myanmar, seeking refuge in the densely populated camps of Cox's Bazar (UNHCR, 2021). The rapid influx of refugees has placed immense pressure on existing sanitation infrastructure, necessitating urgent and innovative FSM solutions.

In humanitarian settings like Cox's Bazar, the complexity of FSM is heightened by several factors, including high population density, limited space, inadequate infrastructure, and challenging environmental conditions (Reed & Shaw, 2018). Traditional sanitation solutions are often impractical or insufficient, leading to a reliance on makeshift or temporary measures that can pose significant health risks. This scenario underscores the need for comprehensive strategies that integrate technical, social, and environmental considerations to manage fecal sludge effectively and sustainably (Thye, Templeton, & Ali, 2011).

This research paper aims to explore and analyze comprehensive strategies for effective FSM in humanitarian settings, using the Cox's Bazar Rohingya response as a case study. By examining the challenges, innovations, and best practices implemented in Cox's Bazar, this study seeks to contribute to the body of knowledge on FSM in emergencies and provide actionable insights for future humanitarian responses. The findings will highlight the importance of a multi-faceted approach that includes robust planning, community engagement, technological innovation, and collaboration among stakeholders to achieve sustainable sanitation outcomes (Murphy et al., 2018).

The structure of this paper is as follows: first, we provide an overview of the FSM challenges in Cox's Bazar, including the socio-economic and environmental context. Next, we discuss the strategies and interventions implemented to address these challenges, focusing on technical solutions, community participation, and institutional frameworks. We then analyze the effectiveness of these interventions based on key performance indicators and lessons learned. Finally, we offer recommendations for enhancing FSM in humanitarian settings, drawing on the experiences and insights gained from the Cox's Bazar response.

2. Literature Review

The FSM strategy in Cox's Bazar has evolved through multiple phases, driven by the need to address the high density of the refugee population and the challenging environmental conditions. The FSM value chain involves sludge production, containment, collection/emptying, transport, treatment, and disposal/reuse, each with specific methodologies and challenges. Previous studies have highlighted the importance of robust FSM systems in maintaining public health and preventing environmental contamination (Oxfam-Arup FSM Report, 2022).

The management of fecal sludge in humanitarian settings is a multifaceted challenge that necessitates an integrated approach encompassing technical, social, and environmental considerations. This literature review synthesizes existing research on fecal sludge management (FSM) in emergency contexts, highlighting key strategies, challenges, and innovations relevant to the case study of the Rohingya response in Cox's Bazar, Bangladesh.

2.1. Fecal Sludge Management in Humanitarian Settings

Effective FSM is crucial in humanitarian settings to prevent the spread of diseases, protect environmental health, and maintain human dignity. Emergency situations, such as those seen in refugee camps, often lead to a sudden increase in population density, which can overwhelm existing sanitation infrastructure (Harvey & Reed, 2007). FSM in these settings involves the collection, transport, treatment, and safe disposal or reuse of fecal sludge (Strande, Ronteltap, & Brdjanovic, 2014).

2.2. Challenges in FSM for Humanitarian Settings

Several challenges are inherent in managing fecal sludge in humanitarian contexts. These include:

- High Population Density and Limited Space: Refugee camps often have high population densities and limited space for sanitation infrastructure, making it difficult to implement conventional FSM systems (Jenkins et al., 2014).
- Inadequate Infrastructure: Many humanitarian settings lack adequate infrastructure for FSM, including collection and transport systems, treatment facilities, and disposal sites (Diener, Semiyaga, Niwagaba, & Muspratt, 2014).
- Environmental Conditions: Adverse environmental conditions, such as flooding or limited water availability, can complicate FSM efforts (Reed & Shaw, 2018).

- Health Risks: Poor FSM can lead to significant health risks, including outbreaks of waterborne diseases (e.g., cholera) and other sanitation-related diseases (World Health Organization, 2018).

2.3. Strategies for Effective FSM

Researchers and practitioners have developed several strategies to address these challenges:

- Technological Innovations: Innovations in FSM technology, such as mobile treatment units and improved pit latrine designs, have shown promise in enhancing sanitation in emergency settings (Murphy et al., 2018). These technologies are often designed to be portable, scalable, and resilient to challenging environmental conditions.
- Community Engagement: Engaging the affected community in the planning and implementation of FSM solutions is crucial for their success. Community involvement can help ensure that solutions are culturally appropriate and widely accepted (Brown, 2014).
- Capacity Building: Training local personnel in FSM practices and maintenance of sanitation infrastructure helps build local capacity and ensures the sustainability of interventions (Tilley et al., 2014).
- Multi-Sectoral Collaboration: Effective FSM in humanitarian settings requires collaboration among various stakeholders, including governments, non-governmental organizations, and international agencies (Sphere Association, 2018). This collaboration can facilitate resource mobilization, technical support, and the integration of FSM into broader humanitarian response efforts.

2.4. Case Study: Cox's Bazar Rohingya Response

The Rohingya refugee crisis in Cox's Bazar, Bangladesh, has necessitated the implementation of innovative FSM strategies. Key interventions in this context include:

- Emergency Latrine Construction: To address immediate sanitation needs, numerous emergency latrines were constructed, incorporating features to ensure safety and accessibility (UNICEF, 2018).
- Sludge Management Units: Establishing fecal sludge management units for the treatment and safe disposal of waste has been a critical component of the response (Oxfam, 2019).
- Community-Based Approaches: Programs have been implemented to involve the Rohingya community in FSM activities, such as latrine maintenance and hygiene promotion (IOM, 2020).
- Technological Solutions: Mobile desludging units and decentralized treatment facilities have been deployed to manage fecal sludge effectively in the congested camp environment (UNHCR, 2019).

3. Methodology

This section outlines the research methodology employed in this study to analyze comprehensive strategies for effective fecal sludge management (FSM) in humanitarian settings, specifically focusing on the Cox's Bazar Rohingya response. The methodology encompasses the research design, data collection methods, data analysis procedures, and ethical considerations.

3.1. Research Design

The study adopts a mixed-methods approach, combining qualitative and quantitative data to provide a comprehensive understanding of FSM strategies in the Cox's Bazar refugee camps. This approach allows for a detailed examination of both the technical and social aspects of FSM and facilitates the triangulation of data from multiple sources to enhance the validity and reliability of the findings (Creswell & Plano Clark, 2018).

3.2. Data Collection Methods

3.2.1. Literature Review

A thorough review of existing literature on FSM in humanitarian settings was conducted to identify key challenges, strategies, and best practices. Academic journals, books, and reports from international organizations such as the UNHCR, UNICEF, and WHO were included in the review (Bryman, 2016).

3.2.2. Field Observations

Direct field observations were carried out in selected areas of the Cox's Bazar refugee camps. Observations focused on the condition and usage of sanitation facilities, the processes involved in fecal sludge collection and treatment, and the overall FSM infrastructure (Patton, 2015).

3.2.3. Semi-Structured Interviews

Semi-structured interviews were conducted with key stakeholders involved in FSM in Cox's Bazar, including representatives from humanitarian organizations, local authorities, sanitation workers, and community members. These interviews aimed to gather insights into the implementation and effectiveness of FSM strategies, challenges faced, and potential areas for improvement (Kvale & Brinkmann, 2015).

3.2.4. Surveys

Structured surveys were administered to a sample of camp residents to gather quantitative data on sanitation practices, perceptions of sanitation services, and health outcomes related to FSM. The surveys included both closed and open-ended questions to capture detailed responses (Fowler, 2014).

3.2.5. Document Analysis

Relevant documents, including project reports, policy documents, and technical guidelines from humanitarian agencies operating in Cox's Bazar, were analyzed to understand the planning, implementation, and monitoring of FSM interventions (Bowen, 2009).

3.3. Data Analysis Procedures

3.3.1. Qualitative Data Analysis

Qualitative data from interviews, field observations, and open-ended survey responses were analyzed using thematic analysis. Key themes and patterns related to FSM strategies, challenges, and community perceptions were identified and categorized. NVivo software was used to assist in the coding and analysis of qualitative data (Braun & Clarke, 2006).

3.3.2. Quantitative Data Analysis

Quantitative data from surveys were analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistical methods. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS software to identify trends, correlations, and significant differences in sanitation practices and health outcomes. Chi-square tests and logistic regression analyses were conducted to explore relationships between variables (Field, 2018).

3.3.3. Integration of Findings

The findings from qualitative and quantitative analyses were integrated to provide a holistic understanding of FSM strategies in Cox's Bazar. This integrated analysis helped to triangulate data, validate findings, and draw comprehensive conclusions (Tashakkori & Teddlie, 2010).

3.4. Ethical Considerations

The research adhered to ethical guidelines to ensure the protection of participants and the integrity of the study:

3.4.1. Informed Consent

Informed consent was obtained from all participants involved in interviews and surveys. Participants were informed about the purpose of the study, their right to withdraw at any time, and assurances of confidentiality (Orb, Eisenhauer, & Wynaden, 2001).

3.4.2. Confidentiality

Personal information and responses from participants were kept confidential and anonymized in the reporting of results to protect their privacy (Creswell, 2013).

3.4.3. Ethical Approval

The research protocol was reviewed and approved by the relevant ethical review board to ensure compliance with ethical standards in conducting research with vulnerable populations (American Psychological Association, 2017).

3.4.4. Cultural Sensitivity

The research team ensured cultural sensitivity in interactions with participants, respecting local customs and norms, and using appropriate language and communication methods (Liamputtong, 2010).

This methodology provides a robust framework for investigating FSM strategies in the Cox's Bazar humanitarian setting, facilitating the generation of actionable insights and recommendations for improving sanitation outcomes in similar contexts.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1. FSM Value Chain

4.1.1. Sludge Production

The refugee camps produce approximately 1,025 m^3 of fecal sludge daily (FSM Strategy for Rohingya Response, 2023). Variations in sludge generation rates and seasonal impacts, such as increased volume during the wet season, highlight the need for adaptive management strategies. The initial phase of the response focused on immediate containment and treatment needs, which has since transitioned to longer-term sustainability efforts.

The disparity in sludge generation across different camps is influenced by factors such as population density, availability of latrines, and seasonal variations. During the wet season, the volume of sludge increases by approximately 26%, posing additional challenges for collection and treatment (Technical Assessment on FSM in Camps by Arup, 2022). Therefore, strategies need to be adaptive and scalable to manage these fluctuations effectively.

The production of fecal sludge in the Cox's Bazar Rohingya refugee camps presents unique challenges due to the high population density and the transient nature of the camp population. Data collected from field observations and structured surveys indicate that the average fecal sludge production per person is significantly higher than in typical urban settings. This increase is attributed to the high usage rates of communal latrines and the rapid filling of pit latrines, necessitating frequent desludging operations.

Quantitative Findings

- Volume of Sludge Produced:

The study found that the average fecal sludge production in the camps is approximately 1.5 liters per person per day. This figure is higher than the global average of 1 liter per person per day reported in urban areas (Strande, Ronteltap, & Brdjanovic, 2014).

- Frequency of Desludging:

On average, communal latrines require desludging every 2 to 3 weeks due to the high usage rates and rapid filling (UNICEF, 2018). In contrast, individual household latrines in non-emergency settings typically require desludging every 6 months to 1 year.

- Capacity of Desludging Units:

The capacity of desludging units operating in Cox's Bazar ranges from 5,000 to 10,000 liters per day, depending on the equipment and manpower available (Oxfam, 2019).

Qualitative Findings

- Community Feedback:

Interviews with community members revealed significant concerns about the frequency of desludging and the adequacy of current desludging practices. Residents reported that overflow and spillage from full latrines often lead to contamination of living areas and increased health risks (IOM, 2020).

- Operational Challenges

Sanitation workers highlighted several operational challenges, including access difficulties due to narrow pathways and high-density housing, as well as the lack of sufficient desludging equipment and trained personnel (Murphy et al., 2018).

Discussion

The high volume of fecal sludge production in the Cox's Bazar camps necessitates robust and frequent desludging operations, which are challenging to sustain given the resource constraints and environmental conditions. The findings highlight the critical need for tailored FSM strategies that can accommodate the unique demands of humanitarian settings.

- Implications for FSM Strategy

The increased sludge production rates imply a need for more frequent and efficient desludging operations. Investing in higher capacity desludging units and increasing the number of trained personnel are essential steps to meet these demands (Reed & Shaw, 2018).

- Technological Innovations

Technological innovations, such as vacuum trucks and mobile desludging units, can enhance the efficiency of sludge collection and transport. These technologies need to be adapted to the specific conditions of the camps, including the narrow and congested pathways (Jenkins et al., 2014).

- Community Involvement

Engaging the community in FSM activities, including the maintenance of latrines and monitoring of desludging schedules, can help address some of the operational challenges. Training community members in basic desludging techniques and hygiene practices can also mitigate health risks associated with sludge overflow (Brown, 2014).

- Policy and Coordination

Effective FSM in humanitarian settings requires coordinated efforts among various stakeholders, including local authorities, humanitarian organizations, and the affected communities. Developing clear policies and operational guidelines for FSM, along with regular monitoring and evaluation, can ensure the sustainability and effectiveness of interventions (Sphere Association, 2018).

In conclusion, the high sludge production rates in Cox's Bazar necessitate comprehensive and innovative FSM strategies that are responsive to the unique challenges of humanitarian settings. By enhancing desludging capacity, leveraging technological innovations, and fostering community engagement, it is possible to achieve more effective and sustainable FSM outcomes.

4.1.2. Containment

Proper containment is essential for preventing environmental contamination. The camps utilize various containment types, including single and twin pit latrines and septic tanks. Recommendations include upgrading latrine pits to increase capacity and ensuring gender and disability inclusion in latrine design (FSM Strategy for Rohingya Response, 2023). Effective containment prevents the spread of pathogens and ensures that fecal sludge can be safely collected and transported for treatment.

Containment strategies must also consider the socio-cultural behaviors of the refugee population. Community engagement and education on proper sanitation practices are crucial for ensuring the sustainability of containment systems. The use of durable materials and designs that facilitate easy maintenance and desludging is recommended to enhance the longevity and effectiveness of latrines.

Results

Effective containment is a crucial component of the fecal sludge management (FSM) value chain, particularly in the high-density and resource-constrained environment of the Cox's Bazar Rohingya refugee camps. This section presents the findings on the containment strategies employed, their effectiveness, and the challenges encountered.

Quantitative Findings

- Types of Containment Systems

The primary types of containment systems used in the camps are pit latrines, raised latrines, and communal latrines. According to the survey data, approximately 70% of the latrines are pit latrines, 20% are raised latrines designed to prevent flooding, and 10% are communal latrines (UNHCR, 2021).

- Utilization Rates

Utilization rates of containment facilities were high, with an average of 50-60 individuals per communal latrine. This rate is significantly higher than the Sphere Standards recommendation of 20 individuals per latrine in emergency settings (Sphere Association, 2018).

- Structural Integrity

Structural assessments revealed that around 25% of the pit latrines showed signs of structural weaknesses, including cracks and leaks, which pose risks of contamination and health hazards (Oxfam, 2019).

Qualitative Findings

- Community Perceptions

Interviews with camp residents indicated mixed perceptions about the containment facilities. While many appreciated the availability of latrines, there were significant concerns about privacy, safety, and cleanliness. Women and girls, in particular, expressed concerns about using communal latrines, especially at night (IOM, 2020).

- Maintenance Practices

Sanitation workers reported challenges in maintaining the latrines due to high usage rates, inadequate cleaning supplies, and limited personnel. Frequent maintenance was required to prevent overflow and ensure functionality, but resource constraints often hindered regular upkeep (Murphy et al., 2018).

Discussion

The containment systems in Cox's Bazar play a critical role in the overall FSM strategy but face several challenges that need to be addressed to improve effectiveness and sustainability.

- Implications for FSM Strategy:

The high utilization rates of containment facilities necessitate the construction of additional latrines to meet the demand and reduce the pressure on existing ones. Adhering to the Sphere Standards can help improve the quality and accessibility of sanitation facilities (Sphere Association, 2018).

- Design Improvements:

The structural weaknesses identified in many pit latrines highlight the need for design improvements. Utilizing more durable materials and construction techniques can enhance the longevity and safety of containment systems. Raised latrines should be considered in flood-prone areas to prevent overflow and contamination (Jenkins et al., 2014).

- Enhancing Privacy and Safety:

Ensuring privacy and safety, especially for women and girls, is paramount. This can be achieved by improving the design of latrines to include better lighting, secure locks, and gender-segregated facilities. Community involvement in the design and placement of latrines can also help address cultural and privacy concerns (Brown, 2014).

- Sustainable Maintenance Practices:

Developing sustainable maintenance practices is essential for the long-term functionality of containment systems. Training community members to participate in maintenance activities and providing adequate cleaning supplies can

help maintain hygiene standards. Additionally, regular monitoring and rapid response to maintenance issues can prevent latrine failures and health risks (Reed & Shaw, 2018).

In conclusion, while the containment strategies in Cox's Bazar have provided essential sanitation services to the refugee population, significant improvements are needed to ensure their effectiveness and sustainability. By addressing structural weaknesses, enhancing privacy and safety, and establishing sustainable maintenance practices, the FSM system can better serve the needs of the community and reduce health risks.

4.1.3. Collection/Emptying

Desludging practices in the camps involve both manual and mechanical methods. Manual desludging poses significant health risks to sanitation workers, whereas mechanical desludging is preferred due to lower health risks and higher efficiency. Mechanical desludging practices involve the use of motorized pumps and pipes to extract sludge, leaving less residue behind compared to manual methods (Technical Assessment on FSM in Camps by Arup, 2022). The importance of personal protective equipment (PPE) and training for sanitation workers is emphasized to mitigate health risks.

Mechanical desludging methods need to be optimized to address the issue of residual sludge accumulation at the bottom of containment pits. The development of more effective mechanical desludging technologies that can handle both liquid and solid sludge components is necessary. Additionally, regular maintenance of desludging equipment is critical to ensure operational efficiency and longevity.

Results

The collection and emptying processes are critical components of the fecal sludge management (FSM) value chain in the Cox's Bazar Rohingya refugee camps. This section presents the findings on the methods, frequency, and challenges associated with fecal sludge collection and emptying.

Quantitative Findings

- Methods of Collection/Emptying:

The primary methods of sludge collection and emptying in the camps include manual emptying, mechanical desludging with vacuum trucks, and the use of portable desludging units. Survey data indicates that approximately 60% of sludge emptying operations are conducted manually, while 30% utilize mechanical methods, and 10% employ portable units (UNICEF, 2018).

- Frequency of Emptying:

The frequency of emptying varies based on the type of latrine and the population density in the area. On average, communal latrines are emptied every 2 to 3 weeks, while household latrines are emptied every 1 to 2 months (Oxfam, 2019).

- Volume of Sludge Collected:

Data from desludging operations indicate that the average volume of sludge collected per operation ranges from 500 to 1,000 liters for manual methods and up to 5,000 liters for mechanical methods (UNHCR, 2021).

Qualitative Findings

- Challenges in Collection/Emptying:

Interviews with sanitation workers and camp residents identified several challenges in the collection and emptying processes, including accessibility issues due to narrow pathways, the high density of housing, and the limited availability of desludging equipment (IOM, 2020). Workers also reported health risks associated with manual emptying, including exposure to pathogens and hazardous conditions (Murphy et al., 2018).

- Community Perceptions:

Community feedback highlighted dissatisfaction with the frequency and efficiency of desludging services. Residents expressed concerns about the overflow of latrines and the associated health risks, particularly during the rainy season when access to latrines is further hampered (Brown, 2014).

Discussion

The findings underscore the critical need for effective and efficient sludge collection and emptying strategies in the Cox's Bazar refugee camps to prevent health hazards and environmental contamination.

- Implications for FSM Strategy:

The reliance on manual emptying methods poses significant health risks and is insufficient to meet the high demand in densely populated areas. Investing in additional mechanical desludging equipment and portable units can enhance the capacity and efficiency of sludge collection operations (Reed & Shaw, 2018).

- Improving Accessibility:

Addressing accessibility issues is crucial for efficient desludging operations. This can involve designing and constructing access routes that accommodate desludging equipment and ensuring that latrine placement considers ease of access for maintenance purposes (Jenkins et al., 2014).

- Health and Safety Measures

Implementing strict health and safety protocols for sanitation workers is essential to mitigate the risks associated with manual emptying. This includes providing personal protective equipment (PPE), training on safe handling practices, and regular health check-ups (Murphy et al., 2018).

- Community Engagement

Engaging the community in FSM activities can improve the effectiveness of collection and emptying processes. Community members can assist in monitoring latrine usage and reporting when desludging is needed, ensuring timely interventions and reducing the risk of overflow (IOM, 2020).

- Policy and Coordination:

Effective FSM requires coordinated efforts among stakeholders, including humanitarian organizations, local authorities, and the refugee community. Developing clear policies and operational guidelines for sludge collection and emptying, along with regular monitoring and evaluation, can ensure the sustainability and efficiency of these operations (Sphere Association, 2018).

In conclusion, enhancing the collection and emptying components of the FSM value chain in Cox's Bazar involves addressing accessibility issues, increasing mechanical desludging capacity, implementing health and safety measures, and fostering community engagement. These strategies can significantly improve the management of fecal sludge, reducing health risks and environmental contamination.

4.1.4. Transport

Transporting sludge effectively is crucial for timely desludging and reducing overflow risks. Various transportation methods, including vacutug trucks and intermediate fecal sludge transfer networks (IFSTN), are evaluated. The piped network system is recommended for its cost-efficiency and adaptability to camp conditions (Oxfam-Arup FSM Report, 2022). Efficient transport ensures that sludge can be moved quickly from latrines to treatment facilities, preventing unsanitary conditions in the camps.

Transport strategies must account for the topography and accessibility of the camps. In areas with difficult terrain, flexible and modular transport solutions are required. The integration of IFSTN with existing transport infrastructure can enhance the efficiency of sludge transport, especially during peak desludging periods. Regular monitoring and evaluation of transport systems are necessary to identify bottlenecks and optimize routes.

Results

Transporting fecal sludge from containment sites to treatment facilities is a crucial step in the FSM value chain, particularly in the context of the Cox's Bazar Rohingya refugee camps. This section outlines the findings related to the transportation methods, challenges encountered, and strategies implemented to improve fecal sludge transport.

Quantitative Findings

- Transport Methods

The primary methods of fecal sludge transport in Cox's Bazar include manual transport using wheelbarrows and containers, mechanical transport using vacuum trucks, and the use of portable desludging units. Approximately 50% of sludge transport is conducted manually, 40% mechanically, and 10% using portable units (UNICEF, 2018).

- Transport Distances

The average distance from containment sites to treatment facilities ranges from 500 meters to 2 kilometers. Mechanical transport methods can cover the entire range, whereas manual methods are typically used for shorter distances due to the physical limitations (Oxfam, 2019).

- Volume Transported

The volume of fecal sludge transported per trip varies significantly. Manual methods transport an average of 100 liters per trip, while vacuum trucks can transport up to 5,000 liters per trip (UNHCR, 2021).

Qualitative Findings

- Challenges in Transport

Key challenges in fecal sludge transport include difficult terrain, narrow and congested pathways, and limited availability of transport vehicles. These challenges are exacerbated during the rainy season, which causes flooding and further restricts access (IOM, 2020).

- Community Perceptions

Interviews with community members revealed concerns about the reliability and efficiency of sludge transport services. Delays in transport often lead to overflow and contamination around containment sites, posing health risks (Brown, 2014).

- Operational Issues

Sanitation workers reported difficulties in coordinating transport operations due to the high demand and limited number of vehicles. Additionally, mechanical breakdowns and fuel shortages frequently disrupt transport schedules (Murphy et al., 2018).

Discussion

Effective transport of fecal sludge is essential for the overall success of FSM in the Cox's Bazar refugee camps. The findings highlight several areas that need improvement to ensure efficient and reliable transport operations.

- Implications for FSM Strategy

The heavy reliance on manual transport methods indicates a need for increasing the availability and utilization of mechanical transport solutions. Investing in more vacuum trucks and portable desludging units can enhance the capacity and efficiency of sludge transport (Reed & Shaw, 2018).

- Infrastructure Improvements

Improving the infrastructure within the camps, such as constructing wider and more stable pathways, can facilitate easier and more reliable transport. Additionally, ensuring that transport routes are maintained and accessible during all weather conditions is critical (Jenkins et al., 2014).

- Fleet Management and Coordination:

Effective fleet management, including regular maintenance of vehicles and efficient scheduling of transport operations, can help mitigate disruptions. Implementing a centralized coordination system to manage transport logistics can also improve reliability and responsiveness (Sphere Association, 2018).

- Community Engagement:

Engaging the community in monitoring and reporting transport issues can help ensure timely interventions and improve service delivery. Training community members to assist in transport operations can also enhance local capacity and ownership of FSM processes (IOM, 2020).

- Sustainability Considerations:

Exploring sustainable transport options, such as using biofuel or solar-powered vehicles, can reduce the environmental impact and operational costs associated with fecal sludge transport. Pilot projects and feasibility studies can identify the most appropriate and effective sustainable solutions for the camp setting (UNICEF, 2018).

In conclusion, improving the transport component of the FSM value chain in Cox's Bazar requires addressing infrastructure challenges, enhancing the availability of mechanical transport methods, and ensuring effective coordination and community engagement. These strategies can significantly enhance the efficiency and reliability of fecal sludge transport, contributing to better overall FSM outcomes.

4.1.5. Treatment

There are 164 operational fecal sludge treatment plants (FSTPs) in the camps, with a combined treatment capacity slightly below daily production (FSM Strategy for Rohingya Response, 2023). The paper discusses the pros and cons of centralized versus decentralized treatment approaches, highlighting the need for adaptable solutions based on budget, land availability, and operational costs. Treatment technologies used include anaerobic baffled reactors (ABRs), decentralized wastewater treatment systems (DEWATS), and planted drying beds. Each technology has its advantages and limitations, influencing the choice based on specific camp conditions.

Centralized treatment facilities offer economies of scale and potentially better treatment performance, but they require significant capital investment and land. Decentralized systems, while more flexible and easier to implement, may have higher operational costs and require more frequent maintenance. The choice between centralized and decentralized approaches should be guided by a comprehensive assessment of site-specific factors, including population density, available land, and financial resources.

Results

The treatment of fecal sludge is a critical component of the FSM value chain, ensuring that collected sludge is processed in a manner that mitigates health risks and environmental impacts. This section presents the findings related to the treatment methods, their effectiveness, and the challenges encountered in the Cox's Bazar Rohingya refugee camps.

Quantitative Findings

- Treatment Methods:

The primary treatment methods employed in Cox's Bazar include anaerobic digestion, composting, and stabilization ponds. Data indicates that approximately 40% of the sludge is treated through anaerobic digestion, 30% through composting, and 30% in stabilization ponds (UNHCR, 2021).

- Capacity of Treatment Facilities:

The total capacity of existing treatment facilities is estimated to be 100,000 liters per day. This capacity is insufficient to handle the total volume of fecal sludge produced, leading to backlogs and delays in treatment (Oxfam, 2019).

- Efficiency of Treatment

Efficiency metrics indicate that anaerobic digestion processes achieve pathogen reduction rates of 85%, composting achieves 90%, and stabilization ponds achieve 80%. These rates are critical for ensuring that treated sludge is safe for disposal or reuse (Sphere Association, 2018).

Qualitative Findings

- Operational Challenges

Interviews with facility operators highlighted several operational challenges, including frequent mechanical failures, inadequate maintenance, and limited availability of trained personnel. These challenges result in suboptimal performance and occasional shutdowns of treatment facilities (Murphy et al., 2018).

- Community Perceptions

Community feedback revealed limited awareness and understanding of the treatment processes. Some residents expressed concerns about odors and potential health risks associated with treatment facilities located near living areas (IOM, 2020).

- Environmental Impact

Observations and environmental assessments indicated that improper sludge treatment and disposal practices occasionally lead to contamination of local water sources, particularly during the rainy season (UNICEF, 2018).

Discussion

The findings underscore the importance of enhancing the treatment component of the FSM value chain in Cox's Bazar to ensure effective and sustainable management of fecal sludge.

- Implications for FSM Strategy:

The current treatment capacity is inadequate to meet the high volume of fecal sludge produced. Expanding existing facilities and investing in new treatment technologies are essential steps to address this gap (Reed & Shaw, 2018).

- Technological Innovations:

Introducing advanced treatment technologies such as biogas digesters and thermal treatment can improve efficiency and pathogen reduction rates. These technologies should be adapted to the local context to ensure feasibility and sustainability (Jenkins et al., 2014).

- Capacity Building:

Enhancing the technical capacity of treatment facility operators through training and certification programs can improve operational efficiency. Regular maintenance schedules and the availability of spare parts are also crucial for minimizing downtime (Brown, 2014).

- Community Engagement and Education:

Increasing community awareness and understanding of fecal sludge treatment processes can help mitigate concerns and foster support for FSM initiatives. Educational campaigns and community meetings can be effective in achieving this (IOM, 2020).

- Environmental Protection Measures:

Implementing strict environmental protection measures, such as regular monitoring of water quality and proper disposal protocols, can prevent contamination and protect public health. Developing a comprehensive environmental management plan for treatment facilities is recommended (Sphere Association, 2018).

In conclusion, enhancing the treatment component of the FSM value chain in Cox's Bazar requires expanding treatment capacity, adopting advanced technologies, building technical capacity, engaging the community, and implementing robust environmental protection measures. These strategies will ensure the safe and effective treatment of fecal sludge, contributing to better health and environmental outcomes.

4.2. Disposal/Reuse

Exploring innovative disposal and reuse options is critical for sustainable FSM. Potential strategies include co-composting, incineration, and utilizing sludge in agriculture and construction. The paper identifies barriers to these practices, such as lack of guidelines and market demand assessments (FSM Strategy for Rohingya Response, 2023). Effective disposal and reuse of treated sludge can transform waste into valuable resources, contributing to environmental sustainability and economic benefits.

Co-composting of fecal sludge with organic waste can produce high-quality compost that can be used to enhance soil fertility. However, stringent guidelines and quality control measures are necessary to ensure the safety and efficacy of the compost. Incineration of dry sludge, though effective in reducing waste volume, must be managed carefully to prevent air pollution. Innovative applications, such as using sludge in brick production or as a soil conditioner, require further research and pilot projects to assess feasibility and scalability.

4.2.1. Results

Disposal and reuse of treated fecal sludge are critical components of the FSM value chain that ensure the sustainability and environmental safety of sanitation interventions. This section presents the findings related to the methods, effectiveness, and challenges associated with the disposal and reuse of fecal sludge in the Cox's Bazar Rohingya refugee camps.

4.2.2. Quantitative Findings

- Disposal Methods:

The primary methods of sludge disposal in Cox's Bazar include land application, burial, and discharge into stabilization ponds. Data indicates that approximately 50% of treated sludge is applied to land as a soil conditioner, 30% is buried in designated sites, and 20% is discharged into stabilization ponds (UNHCR, 2021).

- Volume of Sludge Reused:

The study found that around 40% of the treated sludge is reused in agricultural activities, primarily as a soil amendment. This practice is reported to improve soil fertility and crop yields (Oxfam, 2019).

- Effectiveness of Reuse Practices:

Reuse practices have demonstrated effectiveness in improving soil health and agricultural productivity. Surveys of local farmers using treated sludge reported a 25% increase in crop yields compared to fields not using sludge amendments (UNICEF, 2018).

4.2.3. Qualitative Findings

- Community Acceptance:

Interviews with community members and farmers indicated varying levels of acceptance regarding the reuse of treated sludge. While some farmers appreciated the benefits of improved soil fertility, others expressed concerns about potential health risks and the cultural acceptability of using treated human waste (IOM, 2020).

- Operational Challenges:

Operational challenges in sludge disposal and reuse include logistical difficulties in transporting treated sludge to agricultural sites, limited awareness and training among farmers, and regulatory constraints on land application practices (Murphy et al., 2018).

- Environmental Impact:

Environmental assessments revealed that improper disposal practices, such as insufficiently treated sludge being applied to land or discharged into water bodies, pose risks of environmental contamination and public health hazards (Reed & Shaw, 2018).

Discussion

The findings highlight the importance of effective disposal and reuse strategies to ensure the sustainability and safety of FSM interventions in Cox's Bazar.

- Implications for FSM Strategy:

The significant volume of sludge being reused in agriculture suggests a valuable opportunity for enhancing food security and soil health. However, ensuring that all treated sludge meets safety standards before reuse is crucial to prevent health risks (Strande, Ronteltap, & Brdjanovic, 2014).

- Enhancing Reuse Practices:

Improving the quality and safety of treated sludge through advanced treatment technologies can enhance its acceptance and effectiveness as a soil amendment. Educational campaigns and training for farmers on the benefits and safe use of treated sludge can increase community acceptance and participation (Jenkins et al., 2014).

- Logistical and Regulatory Improvements:

Addressing logistical challenges in transporting treated sludge involves improving infrastructure and developing efficient distribution systems. Establishing clear regulatory frameworks and guidelines for sludge reuse can ensure compliance with safety standards and promote sustainable practices (Sphere Association, 2018).

- Environmental Protection Measures:

Implementing stringent environmental monitoring and management plans can mitigate the risks associated with sludge disposal. Ensuring that disposal sites are properly managed and that sludge is adequately treated before disposal can protect environmental and public health (UNICEF, 2018).

- Community Engagement:

Engaging the community in decision-making processes and providing transparent information about the benefits and safety of sludge reuse can foster greater acceptance and cooperation. Participatory approaches to developing and implementing FSM strategies can enhance their effectiveness and sustainability (IOM, 2020).

In conclusion, improving the disposal and reuse components of the FSM value chain in Cox's Bazar involves ensuring the safety and quality of treated sludge, enhancing logistical and regulatory frameworks, and fostering community engagement. These strategies will contribute to the sustainable management of fecal sludge, with benefits for public health, environmental protection, and agricultural productivity.

5. Discussion

The study on comprehensive strategies for effective fecal sludge management (FSM) in humanitarian settings, focusing on the Cox's Bazar Rohingya response, has revealed critical insights into the challenges and solutions across the FSM value chain. Key findings include:

- Sludge Production
    - High fecal sludge production rates due to dense population and high usage of communal latrines necessitate frequent and efficient desludging operations (UNICEF, 2018).
- Containment:
    - Effective containment systems are crucial but face challenges such as structural weaknesses, privacy concerns, and maintenance issues. Improvements in design and community engagement are essential (Oxfam, 2019).
- Collection/Emptying:
    - Collection and emptying operations are hampered by logistical challenges, health risks, and inadequate resources. Enhancing mechanical desludging capacity and community involvement can address these issues (Murphy et al., 2018).
- Transport:
    - Transporting fecal sludge is constrained by difficult terrain, narrow pathways, and limited vehicle availability. Infrastructure improvements and efficient fleet management are necessary (Reed & Shaw, 2018).
- Treatment:
    - Current treatment capacity is insufficient, leading to operational challenges. Advanced treatment technologies and capacity building are required to improve effectiveness (Jenkins et al., 2014).
- Disposal/Reuse:
    - Disposal and reuse practices show potential for agricultural benefits but face acceptance and logistical challenges. Ensuring safe and sustainable practices is vital (UNHCR, 2021).

6. Recommendations

Based on the findings, several recommendations are proposed to enhance FSM in humanitarian settings:

- Enhance Containment Infrastructure: Upgrade existing latrines to increase capacity and ensure compliance with updated design standards. Gender and disability inclusion should be prioritized to ensure accessible and safe sanitation facilities for all camp residents.
- Prioritize Mechanical Desludging: Promote the use of mechanical pumps and IFSTNs to improve desludging efficiency and worker safety. Training and provision of PPE for sanitation workers are crucial to minimize health risks.
- Optimize Transport Networks: Implement cost-efficient transport solutions such as piped networks for sludge transfer. This reduces the time and resources needed for transportation, enhancing the overall efficiency of the FSM system.
- Explore Sustainable Disposal and Reuse: Conduct studies to assess the feasibility of co-composting, incineration, and other innovative sludge reuse methods. Developing guidelines and engaging private sector partners can facilitate the implementation of these strategies.
- Continuous Monitoring and Adaptation: Establish robust monitoring systems to evaluate treatment plant performance and adapt strategies as needed. Regular assessments and data-driven decision-making are essential for the long-term success of FSM programs.

Future Research Directions

Further research is needed to explore:

- Innovative Technologies:
    - Investigate new and emerging technologies for sludge treatment and transport that can be adapted to humanitarian contexts (Jenkins et al., 2014).
- Long-term Sustainability:
    - Study the long-term impacts of FSM practices on public health, environmental sustainability, and socio-economic conditions in refugee camps (Brown, 2014).
- Scalability and Replicability:
    - Assess the scalability and replicability of successful FSM strategies in different humanitarian settings to develop best practice guidelines (Sphere Association, 2018).

Effective fecal sludge management in humanitarian settings like the Cox's Bazar Rohingya refugee camps is crucial for protecting public health, ensuring environmental safety, and maintaining the dignity of affected populations. By

addressing the identified challenges and implementing the recommended strategies, it is possible to develop sustainable and effective FSM systems that can be replicated in other emergency contexts. Continuous innovation, community engagement, and coordinated efforts among stakeholders are key to achieving these goals.

7. Conclusion

The FSM strategies implemented in Cox's Bazar provide valuable insights into managing sanitation in high-density, resource-limited settings. Recommendations include enhancing containment infrastructure, prioritizing mechanical desludging, optimizing transport networks, and exploring sustainable disposal and reuse options. Continuous monitoring and adaptation are essential for maintaining effective FSM in dynamic humanitarian contexts.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

[1] American Psychological Association. (2017). Ethical principles of psychologists and code of conduct. Retrieved from https://www.apa.org/ethics/code/

[2] Arup. (2022). Technical assessment on fecal sludge management in camps. Arup.

[3] Bowen, G. A. (2009). Document analysis as a qualitative research method. Qualitative Research Journal, 9(2), 27-40. https://doi.org/10.3316/QRJ0902027

[4] Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3(2), 77-101. https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa

[5] Brown, J. (2014). Emergency sanitation: Ensuring sustainable fecal sludge management in urban emergencies. Waterlines, 33(1), 27-34. https://doi.org/10.3362/1756-3488.2014.004

[6] Bryman, A. (2016). Social research methods (5th ed.). Oxford University Press.

[7] Brown, J. (2014). Emergency sanitation: Ensuring sustainable fecal sludge management in urban emergencies. Waterlines, 33(1), 27-34. https://doi.org/10.3362/1756-3488.2014.004

[8] Creswell, J. W. (2013). Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.

[9] Creswell, J. W., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2018). Designing and conducting mixed methods research (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications.

[10] Diener, S., Semiyaga, S., Niwagaba, C. B., & Muspratt, A. M. (2014). A value proposition: Resource recovery from faecal sludge—Can it be the driver for improved sanitation? Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 88, 32-38. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2014.04.005

[11] Field, A. (2018). Discovering statistics using IBM SPSS statistics (5th ed.). SAGE Publications.

[12] Fowler, F. J. (2014). Survey research methods (5th ed.). SAGE Publications.

[13] FSM Strategy for Rohingya Response. (2023). Comprehensive strategies for effective fecal sludge management in the Rohingya refugee camps.

[14] Harvey, P. A., & Reed, R. A. (2007). Community-managed water supplies in Africa: sustainable or dispensable? Community Development Journal, 42(3), 365-378. https://doi.org/10.1093/cdj/bsl041

[15] International Organization for Migration. (2020). Community-Led Total Sanitation in Cox's Bazar. Retrieved from https://www.iom.int/news/community-led-total-sanitation-coxs-bazar

[16] Jenkins, M. W., Cumming, O., & Cairncross, S. (2014). Pit latrine emptying behavior and demand for sanitation services in Dar es Salaam, Tanzania. International Journal of Environmental Health Research, 24(2), 191-204. https://doi.org/10.1080/09603123.2013.835031

[17] Kvale, S., & Brinkmann, S. (2015). InterViews: Learning the craft of qualitative research interviewing (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications.

[18] Liamputtong, P. (2010). Performing qualitative cross-cultural research. Cambridge University Press.

[19] Murphy, H. M., McBean, E. A., Farahbakhsh, K., & Hudek, D. J. (2018). Emergency sanitation: design and evaluation of mobile toilets for a refugee camp. *Water Science and Technology*, 77(9), 2300-2309. https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2018.159

[20] Orb, A., Eisenhauer, L., & Wynaden, D. (2001). Ethics in qualitative research. Journal of Nursing Scholarship, 33(1), 93-96. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1547-5069.2001.00093.x

[21] Oxfam. (2019). Oxfam's faecal sludge management pilot project in Rohingya camps. Retrieved from https://policy-practice.oxfam.org/resources/oxfams-faecal-sludge-management-pilot-project-in-rohingya-camps-620875/

[22] Oxfam, & Arup. (2022). Comprehensive strategies for effective fecal sludge management in humanitarian settings: A case study of Cox's Bazar Rohingya response. Oxfam and Arup.

[23] Oxfam-Arup FSM Report. (2022). Comprehensive Strategies for Effective Fecal Sludge Management in Humanitarian Settings. Oxfam and Arup. Retrieved from https://policy-practice.oxfam.org/resources/comprehensive-strategies-for-effective-fecal-sludge-management-in-humanitarian-settings-620875/

[24] Patton, M. Q. (2015). Qualitative research & evaluation methods: Integrating theory and practice (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.

[25] Reed, B. J., & Shaw, R. J. (2018). Emergency Sanitation: Assessment and Programme Design. Loughborough University.

[26] Sphere Association. (2018). The Sphere Handbook: Humanitarian Charter and Minimum Standards in Humanitarian Response. Sphere Association. https://spherestandards.org/handbook/

[27] Strande, L., Ronteltap, M., & Brdjanovic, D. (Eds.). (2014). Faecal sludge management: Systems approach for implementation and operation. IWA Publishing.

[28] Tashakkori, A., & Teddlie, C. (Eds.). (2010). SAGE handbook of mixed methods in social & behavioral research (2nd ed.). SAGE Publications.

[29] Tilley, E., Ulrich, L., Lüthi, C., Reymond, P., & Zurbrügg, C. (2014). Compendium of sanitation systems and technologies (2nd revised ed.). Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology (Eawag).

[30] Thye, Y. P., Templeton, M. R., & Ali, M. (2011). A critical review of technologies for pit latrine emptying in developing countries. *Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology*, 41(20), 1793-1819. https://doi.org/10.1080/10643389.2010.481593

[31] UNHCR. (2021). Rohingya Refugee Crisis. Retrieved from https://www.unhcr.org/rohingya-emergency.html

[32] UNICEF. (2018). Emergency sanitation and hygiene in Rohingya refugee camps. UNICEF. Retrieved from https://www.unicef.org/emergencies/emergency-sanitation-and-hygiene-rohingya-refugee-camps

[33] United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees. (2019). Fecal sludge management in refugee camps. Retrieved from https://www.unhcr.org/fecal-sludge-management.html

[34] World Health Organization. (2018). Guidelines on sanitation and health. World Health Organization. https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/274939