

eISSN: 2582-8185 Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/ijsra Journal homepage: https://ijsra.net/



(REVIEW ARTICLE)

Check for updates

# Longitudinal study of change in CD4+ cell counts on HIV-Positive patients at Dalhatu Araf Specialist Hospital, Lafia

Shammah Emmanuel Chaku <sup>1,\*</sup>, Jibrin Owuna <sup>2</sup> and Bilkisu Maijamaá <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Statistics, Nasarawa State University, Keffi, Nigeria.

<sup>2</sup> Department of Microbiology, Nasarawa State University, Keffi, Nigeria.

International Journal of Science and Research Archive, 2024, 12(01), 713-726

Publication history: Received on 06 April 2024; revised on 12 May 2024; accepted on 15 May 2024

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/ijsra.2024.12.1.0857

# Abstract

The aim of this study was the Longitudinal Study of Change in CD4+ Cell Counts on HIV-Positive Patients at Dalhatu Araf Specialist Hospital, Lafia. The study deployed linear mixed effects models to check if the mean response CD4+ cell count varies with time. The study also attempted to test if the mean response varies in the two groups. An attempt to estimate the relationship between the response and observations according to gender. The study revealed that the trajectory of the mean response over time has a very high variability where we see that there is a general rise in the CD4+ cell counts at the initiation of ART. It was further seen that the CD4+ cell counts in male patients is observed to be higher with higher median value after the sixth observation. A linear mixed effect model was used and tested where it was noted that there is evidence of between-individual heterogeneity which further shows that the decision to choose a mixed effects model instead of an only fixed effects model was in order. The model summary showed that the mean CD4+ cell counts at the baseline (OBS1) was averagely good, but at the initiation of ART, there was a significant increase in the mean response over time is not flat. In modelling the group effects, we see the difference between the mean CD4 cell count of male versus female is -113.62 CD4 cell count (i. e. 95% CI= -290.64 to 63.39) lower than female, adjusting with time. Finally, the Wald test does not show any significant evidence of interaction between the observations and gender (p=0.2925) which suggests that the mean response based on gender may be parallel.

Keywords: CD4+ cell count; Longitudinal Analysis; Fixed Effects; Random Effects; R

# 1. Introduction

CD4+ T lymphocyte (CD4) cell counts are the primary laboratory markers used to study the progression from HIV to AIDS. However, it is a subject of debate among clinicians on the appropriate CD4 level to initiate HIV treatment. The 2013 WHO guideline recommends that HIV therapy be initiated when CD4+ cell counts is less than  $500 cells / \mu L$  which is a departure from previous guidelines which gives a threshold of  $350 cells / \mu L$ . The debate of when to initiate therapy is also fuelled by the lack of evidence from HIV treatment initiation randomized clinical trials due to ethical implications, (WHO, 2013).

HIV infection causes severe depletion of CD4 T cells in the gut-associated lymphoid tissue with subsequent reduced levels of circulating CD4 lymphocytes in the peripheral blood. The CD4 T cells are terribly reduced in acute HIV infections but are seen to rebound over several weeks. If a patient remains untreated, CD4 T cells declines over several years. Studies have shown that prior to seroconversion, CD4 count is measured at around  $1000 cells / mm^3$ ; it declines to an average of about  $780 cells / mm^3$  at six months post seroconversion and to  $670 cells / mm^3$  at one year of follow-

Copyright © 2024 Author(s) retain the copyright of this article. This article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Liscense 4.0.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: Chaku Shammah Emmanuel

up. Subsequently, the CD4 cell counts declines at a yearly average rate of approximately  $50cells / mm^3$ . Significant depletion of CD4 T cells can give access to opportunistic infections and mortality in patients not undergoing therapy.

In longitudinal studies, measurements are taken from the same individual repeatedly over time. The primary goal of a longitudinal study is to characterize the change in response over time and to discover the factors that influence the change of the response. In longitudinal studies, responses between subjects may be independent but the repeated measurements within subjects are very likely to be correlated. When modelling longitudinal data, the within-subject correlation must be taken into account. The observed usually contains missing data, dropouts, censoring, outliers and measurement errors and are often unbalanced since the subjects were measured at different times and on different number of times.

Parametric models such linear mixed model are popularly useful in modelling longitudinal especially change in CD4 cell count over time., because the mixed effect models are parsimonious and efficient when the models are specified correctly.

## 1.1. Problem statement

Clinicians have used different markers to evaluate the progress of HIV in patients, among these markers are the viral load and CD4+ cell count. Viral load is quite expensive to use in terms of cost and technology. As such, the CD4+ cell count is commonly used, where a means of predicting future CD4+ count becomes very necessary. Since CD4 is the most important factor in deciding whether to initiate antiretroviral therapy, we hope to use this work to understand the prediction of future CD4+ cell counts for better administration of ART.

## 1.2. Justification

CD4+ count response to antiretroviral therapy (ART) are important measures of the efficacy of ART in individual patients. In Nasarawa State, almost no study is seen on long-term CD4+ response to ART among patients receiving care in resource limited setting. Among those patients who are able to remain on ART, robust immunologic response can be maintained for long periods and the risk of serious morbidity and mortality may eventually diminish. Hence the need for tools to predict CD4 cell counts into future times.

#### Aim

The aim of this research is the Longitudinal Study of Change in CD4+ Cell Counts on HIV-Positive Patients Initiated on Antiretroviral Therapy.

#### **Objectives**

- To identify the trajectory of the mean response over time.
- To obtain the average difference between groups of individuals.
- To estimate the relationship between the response and time vary according to groups of individuals.

# 2. Literature review

Many studies have been done on the change in CD4+ cell counts of HIV patients on ART. Nearly all studies in the first instance attempted to identify and establish factors in addition to HIV, that contributes to the change in CD4+ cell counts in HIV patients already enrolled in the antiretroviral therapy system. Some of these factors are seen to be demographic, such as, age, sex, area of residence, WHO clinical stage, initial CD4+ cell count, etc (Kauffmann et al, 2003; Florence et al, 2003; Gea-Banacloche & Clifford, 1998; Ebonyi et al, 2014; Cheaney, 2000; Tadese et al, 2019). The ability of health professionals to identify factors which influence the level of CD4+ cell count other than HIV and ART helps both care givers and patients to facilitate necessary monitoring and management technique of care interventions. Also, it helps

check whether or not HIV patients who are enrolled in ART at low CD4 cell count baseline of  $\leq 200 \text{ cell} / mm^2$  get to recover. Other principal patient related factors associated with non-adherence included have been identified

Kulkarni et al (2011) and Xiuhong et al (2011) both used linear regression models to study some predictors of CD4+ cell counts recovery in HIV-1 positive patientsalready on ART beyond five (5) years of active ART. These studies majorly assumed that the change in CD4+ cell counts is linear, however, Adams & Luguterah (2013) suggested a longitudinal approach where they carried out a longitudinal analysis of change in CD4+ cell counts of HIV-1 patients on antiretroviral therapy (ART) in the Builsa district hospital. Secondary data sets were obtained from HIV/AIDS monitoring program at

the Builsa District Hospital, in which patients already enrolled into the ART were being monitored and thus generating repeated measurements of their CD4+ cell counts and other variables. The aim of the study was to investigate plausible determinants that affect change in CD4+ cell counts. Mixed effects modelling approach was deployed to model the CD4+ cell counts of different subjects. Results revealed that the correlation between CD4+ cell counts at different times had a first order autoregressive moving average variance-covariance structure. The CD4+ cell counts of subjects at initiation into ART, the duration of treatment and the drug type used in the treatment were seen to be predictor variables that determine the change in CD4+ cell counts. This study considered the changes in CD4+ cell count over the period of the study and the longitudinal approach was seen to be nonlinear and more advantageous.

Awoke, Principal and Zewotir (2017) looked at adherence and CD4 cell count change measure of the progression of the disease in HIV patients after the commencement of HAART. A retrospective longitudinal cohort study was conducted to examine the joint predictors of CD4 cell count change and adherence to HAART among HIV adult patients enrolled in the first ten months of the year 2009 and followed up till June, 20012. A joint model was deployed to detect determinants of two response variables. It was seen that joint model was more parsimonious than separate models as it reduces type-I error and subject-specific analysis improved its model fit. Also noted in the result is that as adherence increases, CD4 cell count also increased. Meaning that adherence to HAART boosts the immune system of subjects.

# 3. Methods and data analysis

# 3.1. Study Design

The study was a retrospective research that covered the duration 1<sup>st</sup> December, 2021 to 31<sup>st</sup> December, 2022. Data sets of fifty (50) patients already on ART was collected from Dalhatu Araf Specialist Hospital, Lafia, Nasarawa State. Gender, age at initiation of ART, Baseline weight, CD4+ cell count taken at the initiation of ART and thereafter every six-weekly CD4 cell count up to eight months, world health clinical staging at the initiation of ART and other factors were collected.

# 3.2. Sampling Procedure and Size

The sampling frame of this study was fifty (50) HIV-positive patients above 18 years started on ART between December 1<sup>st</sup>, 2021 and December 31<sup>st</sup>, 2022.

# 3.3. Selection Criteria

Data sets of fifty (50) patients above 18 years currently on ART who visited the Dalhatu Araf Specialist Hospital Lafia, Nasarawa State between the period December, 2021 to December, 2022 was used. The fifty patients were subjects whose records for six (6) observations were identified. Subject names were not used in this study.

# 3.4. Data Collection Criteria and Variables

The study used secondary data collected by well trained staff of the records unit of the health facility, where further orientation was given on the peculiar nature of the study. The data extraction template was designed and used to collect the data. The count variable of interest was the CD4+ cell count (*cells / mm*<sup>3</sup>) with repeated measurements every six (6) weeks, the gender, WHO clinical staging at initiation of ART, BMI from initiation of ART and age at start of study. Other variables of interests include water source, insect treated net, meals per day, food supplement, coping with medication, self reported adherence, educational level, occupational status, risk factors and drug allergies.

# 3.5. Ethical Consideration

The process of obtaining data sets for this study was based on permission received from prospective health facilities following guidelines stipulated by the Nasarawa State ministry of health. Data protection laws of Nigeria were strictly adhered to in carrying out this research. All facets of any other relevant ethics were adequately addressed.

# 3.6. Validity and Reliability

Content validity was based on the adequacy with which the items in an instrument measure the attributes of the study (Nunnally, 1978). This was ensured through constructive criticism from colleagues and those with extensive experience in research. Also, the reliability of the method was ensured through fitting of models to hypothetical data sets. Furthermore, the reliability and validity of results was obtained through member checks to help indicate whether the findings appeared to match with perceived authenticity. This was done in order to limit the distorting effects of random errors on the findings.

# 4. Data analysis and results obtained

### 4.1. Variable description

This analysis was conducted using data collected from fifty (50) patients at the Dalhatu Araf Specialist Hospital, Lafia, Nasarawa State. The data contains the variables as described below obtained from thirty-five (35) female and fifteen (15) male patients.

- PATID= Patients ID
- CD4COUNT= Measurement of CD4+ Cell Counts of patients, taken every 12 weeks for six times.
- GENDER= Sex of the participating patient
- OBSERVATION = Six observations of 12 weekly observations on patients
- AGE= Age of patient as at first introduction to ART and subsequent measurements.
- WEIGHT=Weight of patients as at first introduction to ART and subsequently.

## 4.2. Descriptive Plots



Figure 1 Box-plot of CD4+ Count Cell According to Gender and Observation

The first plot in Fig. 1 above shows that the female patients have a higher median CD4+ cell count than the male patients, although it is observed that they have the highest and lowest CD4+ cell count. This means that the female patients are observed to have a higher range of CD4+ cell count. The second plot in Fig. 1 also tells us same thing as the first except that based on the first observation, it further tells us that the female patients averagely came in with lower CD4+ cell count than the male. The observation (OBS2) shows that there is a rise in the CD4+ cell count after the commencement of ART with a slight drop in OBS3, rise in CD+ cell in OBS4 and OBS5, where we see that in the sixth observation, the CD4+ cell count is higher in male than female.



Figure 2Individual line plot of Patients based on Observation



Figure 3 Individual line plot of Patients based on Observation and Gender

The plots in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 shows the individual line plot of all participating patients where it was seen that the range of values in the female plot is wider and more variable than that of the male.

	2000	PAT1	'AT1(	'AT1'	PAT12	AT1	AT1	PAT1	PAT1						
	1000	<u>,                                    </u>	ç.	$c_{\rm A}$			,	e e e	- 	Pati	ent ID				
	2000	PAT1	AT18	AT19	PAT2	AT2	AT2	PAT22	PAT2:		PAT1	-	PAT25	-	PAT40
		-		$\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$		•	٠				PAT10	+	PAT26	+	PAT41
	1000	*****	*****		- 1	****	**			-	PAT11	+	PAT27	+	PAT42
		PAT2	AT2	AT26	PAT2	AT2	AT2	PAT3	PAT3		PAT12	+	PAT28	-	PAT43
	2000									-	PAT13	+	PAT29	-	PAT44
÷	1000		**	÷.,	يەر مەربى			а÷.	and the second		PAT14	-	PAT3		PAT45
uno		PAT3	AT32	AT3	PAT34	AT3	AT3	PAT3	AT3		PAT15	-	PAT30		PAT46
U U	2000	-									PAT16	-	PAT31		PAT47
ŭ	1000	-	2	,		÷.,		-1 <sub>-1-0</sub>	and a		PAT17	-	PAT32		PAT48
ð		PAT3	PAT4	AT4	PAT4	AT4:	AT4:	AT44	AT4		PAT18		PAT33		PAT49
Ŭ	2000	-									PAT19	-	PAT34		PAT5
	1000	The second		<b>.</b>				and the second			PAT2	-	PAT35		PAT50
					οΔτα	2 <b>∆</b> T5		ΡΔΤΑ	<b>⊃</b> Δ <b>Τ</b> 7		PAT20	-	PAT36	-	PAT6
	2000	_				,,,,,,	/110.	7.00			PAT21	-	PAT37		PAT7
	1000	1	- 14 1			1		20	20. 16		PAT22	-	PAT38		PAT8
			олт				111111 (333-33)		TIIII 1 X 16		PAT23		PAT39		PAT9
	2000	AIO	Alb							-	PAT24	-	PAT4		
	1000	<u>.</u> ,													
	Ø		11111	56											
	Observations of patients														

Figure 4 Plot of each patient data over time

#### 4.3. Mean Response Over Time

- > group by (DATANEW, AGE, GENDER) %>%
- + get summary stats(CD4COUNT, show=c("mean", "sd"))

```
# A tibble: 12 x 6
```

	GENDER	AGE	variable	n	mean	sd
	<chr></chr>	<int></int>	<fct></fct>	<ф1>	<ф1>	< <u>db1</u> >
1	Female	1	CD4COUNT	24	802.	472.
2	Male	1	CD4COUNT	24	480.	302.
3	Female	2	CD4COUNT	24	560	170.
4	Male	2	CD4COUNT	12	283	99.8
5	Female	3	CD4COUNT	102	690.	417.
6	Male	3	CD4COUNT	24	848.	453.
7	Female	4	CD4COUNT	48	832.	415.
8	Male	4	CD4COUNT	18	641.	410.
9	Female	5	CD4COUNT	6	692	276.
10	Male	5	CD4COUNT	6	674.	295.
11	Female	6	CD4COUNT	6	562.	280.
12	Male	6	CD4COUNT	6	550.	361.

The average responses for both male and female subjects based on age are seen to have a high variability with the least variability observed in the second observation for both male and female.

#### 4.4. Linear Mixed Models

In order to test for a subset of fixed effects, a linear mixed-effects models was fitted using the lmer function in the lme4 package in R. The first section of the model states the fixed effects part of the model, while the second that is put in parenthesis indicate the random effect components.

```
> LIN1=lmer(CD4COUNT~1+(1|PATID),data=DATANEW)
> summary(LIN1)
Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [
lmerModLmerTest]
Formula: CD4COUNT ~ 1 + (1 | PATID)
   Data: DATANEW
REML criterion at convergence: 4362.3
Scaled residuals:
             1<u>0</u> Median
    Min
                              30
                                     Max
-3.8572 -0.5945 -0.0567
                          0.4105
                                  3.9382
Random effects:
Groups
          Name
                       Variance Std.Dev.
 PATID
          (Intercept) 70977
                                266.4
Residual
                       94154
                                306.8
Number of obs: 300, groups:
                              PATID, 50
Fixed effects:
            Estimate Std. Error
                                     df t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept)
              681.17
                           41.63
                                  49.00
                                          16.36
                                                   <2e-16 ***
Signif. codes: 0 `***' 0.001 `**' 0.01 `*' 0.05 `.' 0.1 `_' 1
```

The estimated marginal mean of the CD4 Cell count is 681.17 cells/mm3. The estimated variance of the random-effect that reflects the between-subject variability is 70977 while the estimated variance of the error term which reflects the within-subject variability is calculated to be 94154. Hence, the correlation between any two repeated measures (ICC) is equal to 70977/(70977+94154)=0.43.

We now go ahead to test the components using an ANOVA like table obtained from the ranova function and lmer test in R.

The small p-value shows that there is evidence of between-individual heterogeneity which also shows that choosing a mixed-effects model instead of an only fixed effects model is in order.

#### 4.5. Mean Response with Time

Here, the indicator variables are now used to contrast the mean responses at different occasions. We have measurements of the response at different time intervals OBS1(baseline CD4 Cell Count), OBS2, OBS3, OBS4, OBS5 and OBS6.

 $E[Y_{ij}] = \beta_o + \beta_1 X_{ij1} + \beta_2 X_{ij2} + \beta_{ij3} + \beta_{ij4} + \beta_{ij6}$ 

Where  $X_{ij1}, X_{ij2}, X_{ij3}, X_{ij4}$  and  $X_{ij5}$  are indicator variables for the other five CD4 cell count observations after the baseline observations respectively. As such, the second measurement (OBS2) is the referent.

```
> LINOBS=lmer(CD4COUNT~OBSERVATION+(1|PATID), data=DATANEW)
> summary(LINOBS)
Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [
lmerModLmerTest]
Formula: CD4COUNT ~ OBSERVATION + (1 | PATID)
   Data: DATANEW
REML criterion at convergence: 4284.7
Scaled residuals:
    Min
             1<u>0 Median</u>
                             30
                                    Max
-3.5988 -0.4878 -0.1533 0.3451 4.2695
Random effects:
 Groups
          Name
                      Variance Std.Dev.
 PATID ____(Intercept) 72438
                               269.1
 Residual
                      85387
                               292.2
Number of obs: 300, groups: PATID, 50
Fixed effects:
                Estimate Std. Error
                                        df t value Pr(>|t|)
                              56.18 143.18 9.507 < 2e-16 ***
                  534.14
(Intercept)
OBSERVATIONOBS2
                  61.82
                              58.44 245.00 1.058 0.291189
OBSERVATIONOBS3
                  120.42
                              58.44 245.00
                                             2.060 0.040407 *
OBSERVATIONOBS4
                  217.56
                              58.44 245.00 3.723 0.000245 ***
OBSERVATIONOBS5
                  234.78
                              58.44 245.00 4.017 7.83e-05 ***
                              58.44 245.00 4.237 3.21e-05 ***
OBSERVATIONOBS6
                 247.60
___
Signif. codes: 0 `***' 0.001 `**' 0.01 `*' 0.05 `.' 0.1 `' 1
Correlation of Fixed Effects:
                (Intr) OBSERVATIONOBS2 OBSERVATIONOBS3 OBSERVATIONOBS4
OBSERVATIONOBS2 -0.520
OBSERVATIONOBS3 -0.520 0.500
OBSERVATIONOBS4 -0.520 0.500
                                        0.500
OBSERVATIONOBS5 -0.520 0.500
                                        0.500
                                                        0.500
OBSERVATIONOBS6 -0.520 0.500
                                        0.500
                                                        0.500
                OBSERVATIONOBS5
OBSERVATIONOBS2
OBSERVATIONOBS3
OBSERVATIONOBS4
OBSERVATIONOBS5
OBSERVATIONOBS6 0.500
```

The model summary above shows that the mean CD4+ cell count at the baseline (OBS1) is 534.14cell/mm<sup>3</sup> but at the initiation of ART, it was observed that the difference between the mean responses of OBS1 and OBS2 is

 $\beta_1 = 61.82 cell / mm^3$ . The difference between the mean responses of OBS3 and OBS1 is  $\beta_2 = 120.42 cell / mm^3$ . The difference between the mean responses and subsequent observations are as follows  $\beta_3 = 217.56 cell / mm^3$ ,  $\beta_4 = 234.78 cell / mm^3$  and  $\beta_5 = 247.60 cell / mm^3$ .

To test whether the mean response will be constant over time, we test the null hypothesis that all the regression coefficients used to model time are simultaneously equal to zero (*i.e.*  $H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_2 = ... = \beta_5 = 0$ ).

Our results from the Wald-test reveal that the pattern of the mean response over time is not flat. The estimated trajectory from the fitted model by using the predict function in R is shown below.



Figure 5 Fitted model plot

The trajectory of the fitted model shown in blue line where the points are the predicted mean response. It is seen to be slowly increasing.

#### 4.6. Group Effect

The next objective is to test if the mean response varies in the two groups of individual that is gender (male =1 and female=2).

$$E[Y_{ij}] = \beta_o + \beta_1 X_{ij1} + \beta_2 X_{ij2} + \beta_{ij3} + \beta_{ij4} + \beta_{ij5} + \beta_{ij6}$$

Where  $X_{ij1}, X_{ij2}, X_{ij3}, X_{ij4}$  and  $X_{ij5}$  are indicator variables for the other five CD4 cell count observations after the baseline observations respectively while  $X_{ij6}$  is the indicator variable for gender. The mean response for male and female is given as  $E[Y_{ij} | X_{ij6} = 1] - E[Y_{ij} | X_{ij} = 0] = \beta_6$ , that is adjusted for time.

```
> LINGGRP=lmer(CD4COUNT~OBSERVATION+GENDER+(1|PATID), data=DATANEW)
> summary(LINGGRP)
Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [
lmerModLmerTest]
Formula: CD4COUNT ~ OBSERVATION + GENDER + (1 | PATID)
   Data: DATANEW
REML criterion at convergence: 4272.3
Scaled residuals:
    Min
             10 Median
                              30
                                      Max
-3.6122 -0.5081 -0.1581 0.3770
                                   4.2494
Random effects:
 Groups
          Name
                       Variance Std.Dev.
 PATID
          (Intercept) 71419
                                267.2
Residual
                       85387
                                292.2
Number of obs: 300, groups:
                              PATID, 50
Fixed effects:
                Estimate Std. Error
                                           df t value Pr(>|t|)
                                       112.60
                                                9.134 3.16e-15 ***
(Intercept)
                   568.23
                                62.21
OBSERVATIONOBS2
                    61.82
                               58.44 245.00
                                                1.058 0.291189
                                                2.060 0.040407 *
OBSERVATIONOBS3
                   120.42
                               58.44 245.00
OBSERVATIONOBS4
                   217.56
                               58.44
                                       245.00
                                                3.723 0.000245 ***
OBSERVATIONOBS5
                   234.78
                                       245.00
                                                4.017 7.83e-05 ***
                               58.44
                               58.44
OBSERVATIONOBS6
                   247.60
                                       245.00
                                                4.237 3.21e-05 ***
                               90.32
                                        48.00 -1.258 0.214459
GENDERMale
                 -113.62
                0 `***' 0.001 `**' 0.01 `*' 0.05 `.' 0.1 <u>` '</u> 1
Signif. codes:
> ci,lin(LINGEFFECT)
                Estimate
                                                     Ρ
                                                              2.58
                          StdErr
                                         z
                                                                      97.58
                568.2271 62.21158 9.133784 6.614596e-20 446.294684 690.15960
(Intercept)
OBSERVATIONOBS2
                 61.8200 58.44215 1.057798 2.901474e-01 -52.724504 176.36450
OBSERVATIONOBS3 120.4200 58.44215 2.060499 3.935084e-02
                                                          5.875496 234.96450
OBSERVATIONOBS4 217.5600 58.44215 3.722656 1.971382e-04 103.015496 332.10450
OBSERVATIONOBS5 234.7800 58.44215 4.017306 5.886722e-05 120.235496 349.32450
OBSERVATIONOBS6 247.6000 58.44215 4.236668 2.268608e-05 133.055496 362.14450
               -113.6238 90.31726 -1.258052 2.083730e-01 -290.642387 63.39477
GENDERMale
>
```

The difference between the mean CD4 cell count of male versus female is -113.62 CD4 cell count (i. e. 95% CI= -290.64 to 63.39) lower than female, adjusting with time.

#### 4.7. Interaction between observations and groups

Here, we try to find out if the change of the mean response over time varies according to the group of individuals. A mixed interaction model was fitted and results below were obtained.

```
> LINOBSGENDER=<u>lmer(</u>CD4COUNT~OBS*GENDER+(1|PATID), data=DATANEW)
```

```
> <u>summary (</u>LINOBSGENDER)
```

```
Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [
```

```
lmerModLmerTest]
```

Formula: CD4COUNT ~ OBS \* GENDER + (1 | PATID)

Data: DATANEW

REML criterion at convergence: 4208.7

Scaled residuals:

Min	10	Median	ЗQ	Max
-3.5627	-0.4871	-0. <u>1064</u>	0.4150	4.3365

Random effects:

	Groups	8	Name			Varianc	Std.Dev		
	PATID		(Inte	ercept	t)	70885		266.3	2
	Residu	ıal				84595		290.	9
1	Number	of	obs:	300,	gı	coups:	PA	TID,	50

Fixed effects:

	Estimate	Std. Error	đť	t value	Pr(> t )	
(Intercept)	549.857	66.650	141.227	8.250	1.00e-13	***
OBSOBS2	79.429	69.527	240.000	1.142	0.254421	
OBSOBS3	173.486	69.527	240.000	2.495	0.013261	*
OBSOBS4	276.200	69.527	240.000	3.973	9.41e-05	***
OBSOBS5	225.486	69.527	240.000	3.243	0.001350	**
OBSOBS6	246.086	69.527	240.000	3.539	0.000481	***
GENDERMale	-42.390	121.687	141.227	-0.348	0.728090	
OBSOBS2:GENDERMale	-59.362	126.939	240.000	-0.468	0.640464	
OBSOBS3:GENDERMale	-186.886	126.939	240.000	-1.472	0.142263	
OBSOBS4:GENDERMale	-205.467	126.939	240.000	-1.619	0.106840	
OBSOBS <u>5:GENDERMale</u>	20.981	126.939	240.000	0.165	0.868859	
OBSOBS <u>6:GENDERMale</u>	-4.952	126.939	240.000	-0.039	0.968912	
Signif. codes: 0	`***′ 0.00	)1 `**′ 0.01	`*′ 0.05	۲.′ ٥.1	L <u>` ′</u> 1	

The mean response among female patients for the first observation 546cell/mm<sup>3</sup>. At the second observation, the mean response is noticed to be 79.4cell/mm<sup>3</sup> higher than the first observation but is seen to be insignificant. The mean response at the third observation is 173.5 cell/mm<sup>3</sup> and was observed to increase significantly up till the sixth observation at 246.1 cell/mm<sup>3</sup>. The mean response among male patients was observed to be insignificant. We get to see that the mean response among male and female depends on the time of observation but the change in the mean response over time does not depend on the gender of the patient.

#### 4.8. Testing the interaction between gender and observations

```
> Anova (LINOBSGENDER, type=3)
Analysis of Deviance Table (Type III Wald chisquare tests)
Response: CD4COUNT
              Chisq Df Pr(>Chisq)
                        < 2.2e-16 ***
(Intercept) 68.0602 1
OBS
            23.6653
                     5
                         0.0002517 ***
GENDER
             0.1214
                     1
                         0.7275714
OBS: GENDER
             6.1433
                     5
                         0.2925277
___
Signif. codes:
                0 `***' 0.001 `**' 0.01 `*' 0.05 `.' 0.1 `' 1
```

The Wald test does not show any significant evidence of interaction between the observations and gender (p=0.2925) which suggests that the mean response based on gender may be parallel.

#### > tidy (emmeans (LINOBSGENDER, c("OBS", "GENDER")), conf.int=TRUE)

```
# A tibble: 12 x 9
```

	OBS	GENDER	estimate	std.error	ďť	conf.low	conf.high	statistic	p.value
	< chr >	<chr></chr>	<dp1></dp1>	<ф1>	<db1></db1>	<dp1></dp1>	<ф1>	<db1></db1>	<ф1>
1	obs <u>1</u>	Female	550.	66.7	141.	418.	682.	8.25	1.00e-13
2	OBS2	Female	629.	66.7	141.	498.	761.	9.44	1.05e-16
3	OBS3	Female	723.	66.7	141.	592.	855.	10.9	2.48e-20
4	OBS4	Female	826.	66.7	141.	694.	958.	12.4	2.47e-24
5	OBS5	Female	775.	66.7	141.	644.	907.	11.6	2.34e-22
6	OBS <u>6</u>	Female	796.	66.7	141.	664.	928.	11.9	3.68e-23
7	OBS1	Male	507.	102.	141.	306.	709.	4.98	1.79e- 6
8	OBS2	Male	528.	102.	141.	326.	729.	5.18	7.45e- 7
9	OBS3	Male	494.	102.	141.	293.	695.	4.85	3.18e- 6
10	OBS4	Male	578.	102.	141.	377.	779.	5.68	7.43e- 8
11	obs <u>5</u>	Male	754.	102.	141.	553.	955.	7.41	1.08e-11
12	OBS6	Male	749.	102.	141.	547.	950.	7.35	1.44e-11



Figure 6 Fitted group model plot

The above plot confirms the Wald's test which suggested earlier that the mean response based on gender may be parallel.

# 5. Conclusion

A longitudinal study of the change in CD4+ cell count of fifty HIV-patients initiated on Antiretroviraltherapy at the Dalhatu Araf Specialist Hospital, Lafia, Nasarawa State was attempted in this study. The study deployed linear mixed effects models to check if the mean response CD4+ cell count varies with time. The study also attempted to test if the mean response varies in the two groups. An attempt to estimate the relationship between the response and observations according to gender.

The study revealed that the trajectory of the mean response over time has a very high variability where we see that there is a general rise in the CD4+ cell counts at the initiation of ART. It was further seen that the CD4+ cell counts in male patients is observed to be higher with higher median value after the sixth observation.

A linear mixed effect model was used and tested where it was noted that there is evidence of between-individual heterogeneity which further shows that the decision to choose a mixed effects model instead of an only fixed effects model was in order. The model summary showed that the mean CD4+ cell counts at the baseline (OBS1) was averagely good, but at the initiation of ART, there was a significant increase in the mean response where it was further revealed that the pattern of the mean response over time is not flat.

In modelling the group effects, we see the difference between the mean CD4+ cell count of male versus female is -113.62 CD4 cell count (i. e. 95% CI= -290.64 to 63.39) lower than female, adjusting with time.

Finally, the Wald test does not show any significant evidence of interaction between the observations and gender (p=0.2925) which suggests that the mean response based on gender may be parallel.

#### **Compliance with ethical standards**

#### Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

#### References

- [1] Adams M., Laguterah A. (2013). Longitudinal Analysis Of Change In Cd4+ Cell Counts of Hiv-1 Patients on Antiretroviral Therapy (Art) in The Builsa District Hospital. European Scientific Journal November 2013 edition vol.9, No.33 ISSN: 1857 – 7881 (Print) e - ISSN 1857- 7431.
- [2] Awoke, S., Principal, N., Zewotir T. (2017). Joint Longitudinal Data Analysis in detecting determinants of CD4 cell count and adherence to highly active antiretroviral therapy at Felege Hiwot Teaching and Specialized Hospital, Northwest Ethiopia. Aids Research and Therapy. DOI:10.1186/s12981-017-0141-3.
- [3] Cheaney, M. A. (2000). Factors affecting adherence to antiretroviral therapy. Clinical Infectious Diseases; 30 (Supplement 2): 5171 5176.
- [4] Ebonyi, A. O. Et al (2014). Factors associated with a low CD4 count among HIV-1 infected patients at enrolment into HAART in Jos, Nigeria. Br. J Med Med Res. 4 (13): 25 36.
- [5] Florence, E. Et al. (2003). Factors associated with a reduced CD4 lymphocyte count response to HAART despite full viral suppression in the EuroSIDA study. HIV Med. 4 (3); 255 262.
- [6] Gea-Banacloche, J. C. And Clifford, L. H. (1998). Immune reconstitution in HIV infection. AIDS; 13, 25 38.
- [7] Kauffman, G. R. (2003). CD4 T-lymphocyte recovery in individuals with advanced HIV-1 infection receiving potent antiretroviral therapy for 4 years: the Swiss HIV Cohort Study. Arch. Intern. Med. 163 (18): 2187 2195.
- [8] Kulkarni, H., Jason, F., Greg, G., Nancy, F., Michael, L., Braden, H., Glenn, W., Edmund, T., Michael, P., Mathew, D., Alan, R., Brian, K., Sunil, K. And Vincent, C. (2011). Early post-seroconversion CD4+ cell counts independently predict CD4+ cell count recovery in HIV-1- positive subjects receiving antiretroviral theraphy. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr; 57:387-395.
- [9] Tadese A. A., Alemayehu W., Yigzaw K., Khangelani Z., Adetayo K. and Ziv S. (2019) Model-based prediction of CD4 cells count in HIV-infected adults on antiretroviral therapy in Northwest Ethiopia: A flexible mixed effects approach. PLOS|ONE. Pg 1 – 20.
- [10] Xiuhong, L., Margolick, J. B., Jamieson, B. D., Rinaldo, C. R., John, P., Phair, J. P., Lisa, P. And Jacobson, L. P. (2011). CD4+ T-Cell Counts and Plasma HIV-1 RNA Levels Beyond 5 years of Highly Active Antiretroviral Therapy. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2011;57:421 – 428.
- [11] WHO (2013). The use of antiretroviral drugs for treating and preventing HIV infection.: Recommendations for public health approach.