

eISSN: 2582-8185 Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/ijsra Journal homepage: https://ijsra.net/



(RESEARCH ARTICLE)

Check for updates

The use of triple antibiotic prophylaxis during total knee replacement surgeries in Awka, Nigeria

A.C Nwachukwu *

Department of Surgery, Chukwuemeka Odumegwu Ojukwu University Awka, Nigeria.

International Journal of Science and Research Archive, 2024, 11(02), 1484–1491

Publication history: Received on 07 March 2024; revised on 14 April 2024; accepted on 16 April 2024

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/ijsra.2024.11.2.0658

Abstract

Introduction: Total knee arthroplasty periprosthetic joint infection (PJI) poses a significant challenge in orthopaedic surgery, often resulting in early failure and associated with high morbidity and mortality rates. Various treatment strategies exist, including debridement, antibiotic therapy, and prosthesis retention, with preventive measures such as antibiotic-impregnated cement and prophylactic antibiotics administration recommended by the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS).

Methodology: This prospective cohort study was conducted in an orthopaedic hospital in Awka, Southeast Nigeria, spanning seven years (2014-2021). A total of 134 patients who underwent primary and revision knee arthroplasty were included. The hospital's antibiotic prophylaxis protocol for implant surgeries, including arthroplasties, involved a triple combination of ceftriaxone, ciprofloxacin, and metronidazole administered pre-, intra-, and postoperatively for a minimum of 7 days.

Results: The study population had a mean age of 63.6 years, predominantly female (77.7%), and of Christian faith (100%). Most participants were of Igbo ethnicity (99.3%), married (90.7%), and engaged in business (37.7%). Severe osteoarthritis was the primary diagnosis in 97.0% of cases, while rheumatoid arthritis accounted for 3.0%. The triple antibiotic prophylaxis regimen resulted in only one case of superficial infection, with no instances of deep infection recorded.

Conclusion: Triple antibiotics prophylaxis, comprising ceftriaxone, ciprofloxacin, and metronidazole, demonstrated effectiveness in preventing infections associated with total knee arthroplasty. This regimen maintained surgical site infection prevalence within acceptable limits for clean surgeries, without observable antibiotic resistance in the study population.

Keywords: Total knee arthroplasty; Periprosthetic joint infection; Antibiotic prophylaxis; Infection prevention

1. Introduction

Total knee arthroplasty periprosthetic joint infection (PJI) is a severe complication in orthopaedic surgery, often leading to early failure. Treatment carries high morbidity and mortality rates, surpassing those of several cancers. Debridement, antibiotic therapy, and prosthesis retention are utilized for specific TKA PJI cases to salvage implants and minimize invasive procedures, though success rates vary widely. Preventive measures include antibiotic-impregnated cement, laminar flow, and timely administration of prophylactic antibiotics, notably cefazolin or cefuroxime, recommended by the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS), with consideration of local MRSA incidence. [1,6,20]

^{*} Corresponding author: A.C Nwachukwu

Copyright © 2024 Author(s) retain the copyright of this article. This article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Liscense 4.0.

In 1970, the National Nosocomial Infectious Surveillance Survey was launched with the aim of pinpointing factors contributing to postoperative wound infections. This landmark initiative laid the groundwork for the formulation of guidelines aimed at preventing such infections. The culmination of these efforts came to fruition in 1985 with the release of the Center for Disease Control's (CDC) seminal guidelines for the prevention of postoperative wound infections,[2]

A cornerstone of these guidelines was the provision of updated estimations regarding the rates of surgical site infections (SSI) across various surgical contexts. These estimations delineated SSI rates as ranging from 1% to 5% for clean surgeries, 3% to 11% for clean/contaminated procedures, 10% to 17% for contaminated surgeries, and exceeding 27% for procedures classified as dirty. Such delineations provided crucial benchmarks for assessing the risk landscape associated with different surgical scenarios. [3,4]

Recently, the CDC classified incisional surgical site infections (SSIs) into two categories: superficial and deep. According to the CDC, a superficial SSI is defined as an infection occurring within 30 days of the operation, involving only the skin or subcutaneous tissue of the incision, and exhibiting at least one of the following criteria. They are purulent drainage from the superficial incision, positive culture from the superficial incision, clinical symptoms such as pain, tenderness, swelling, redness, or warmth along with an opening of the incision by a surgeon, unless the culture is positive, diagnosis of superficial incisional SSI by the surgeon or attending physician. On the other hand, a deep SSI, according to the CDC, involves the deep soft tissues of the incision and presents with at least one of the following criteria: purulent drainage from the deep incision. Spontaneous dehiscence of the deep incision or opening by the surgeon when the patient exhibits clinical symptoms of infection, including fever, localized pain and/or tenderness, or an abscess. Other evidence of deep infection is found on direct examination, during reoperation, or by histopathologic or radiologic examination. [3,5,6,7,13]

In 2016, the CDC modified the time frame for defining deep SSIs associated with implants from within 1 year of the index surgery to within 90 days. However, the time frame remains within 30 days of the operation if no implant is left. This change aims to provide more precise criteria for identifying deep SSIs and align with clinical practice and research needs.[13][14]

The 2017 guidelines from the U.S. CDC did recommend against the continuation of antibiotics postoperatively after total joint arthroplasty. They did emphasize the judicious use of antibiotics, including the timing and duration of prophylactic antibiotic administration. [5,6,14]

The CDC guidelines recommend that antibiotics should be administered within 60 minutes before incision for most procedures, and the duration of prophylactic antibiotics should not exceed 24 hours after surgery unless there are special circumstances. Prolonged use of antibiotics can increase the risk of antibiotic resistance and other complications. [6, 17,19]

In the meticulous preparation preceding surgery, the timing of interventions emerges as a critical determinant of patient outcomes. Within this framework, the administration of antibiotics assumes pivotal significance, guided by the imperative to commence infusion within sixty minutes of incision initiation or tourniquet application, whichever comes first. This strategic temporal alignment seeks to optimize antibiotic concentrations precisely as the surgical procedure unfolds, thereby bolstering the defence against potential postoperative infections. [1,8,9]

Nevertheless, the pharmacokinetic idiosyncrasies inherent to distinct antibiotic agents introduce nuances in their administration protocols. Vancomycin is given over sixty to one hundred and twenty minutes. This deliberate modulation mitigates the risk of adverse reactions, notably histamine release, which can precipitate hypotension and the potentially severe red man syndrome. [2,10]

Further complexity emerges upon consideration of the differential tissue penetration rates characterizing various antibiotics. While certain agents, such as cefazolin, demonstrate rapid infiltration into bone, synovium, and soft tissue, others traverse a more protracted trajectory. Vancomycin, characterized by its comparatively slower tissue permeation, necessitates early administration to ensure adequate concentrations are attained at the surgical site. [3,11]

The correlation between Staphylococcus aureus (S aureus) colonization and the risk of periprosthetic joint infection (PJI) is gaining prominence in the orthopaedic literature. Research indicates that patients preparing for orthopaedic surgery exhibit S aureus colonization rates akin to those observed in the broader population. Notably, among individuals undergoing total joint arthroplasty (TJA), nearly 20% are carriers of S aureus. This prevalence underscores the significance of S aureus carriage as a potential predisposing factor for PJI development. [17,18,19,20,21]

This study aims to test the outcome of different antibiotic regimens in a developing country with poor capacity for topclass arthroplasty theatres and the risks of fake and substandard drugs.

2. Methodology

This study was conducted in Princeton Orthopaedic Hospital, Awka, Southeast Nigeria.

It was a prospective cohort study that included other studies conceptualized in an encompassing questionnaire and recorded over a 7-year period.

It included 130 patients who underwent primary and revision knee arthroplasty in Awka, Nigeria, between 2014 and 2021. Our centre has an antibiotic prophylaxis protocol for all implant surgeries, including arthroplasties.

This protocol involves using a triple combination of antibiotics in the pre-, intra-, and postoperative period up to a minimum of seven days after the operation.

The three antibiotics include ceftriaxone 1g, ciprofloxacin 200mg, and metronidazole 500mg.

Ceftriaxone was given one hour before the commencement of surgery, while metronidazole and ciprofloxacin were given in the immediate postoperative period.

Ceftriaxone was given 1g daily, metronidazole was given 500mg 8hourly and ciprofloxacin was given 200mg 12hourly. They were given up to 7days post operation before the discharge of the patient from hospital.

The theatre setting was a normal room closed off from external air and occasionally fumigated.

Surgeries were carried out under aseptic conditions. The surgeon and two assistants used sterile impervious gowns, normal face masks, and caps, and no exhaust head gear.

The skin preparation was done with savlon, spirit and 10% povidone iodine.

Sterile impervious extremity drapes were used for all the patients to drape the knee to be operated on. Povidone-impregnated ioban was used to cover the knee to condone off the skin in the immediate vicinity of the incision.

The knee was implanted with gentamycin-impregnated bone cement. All the surgeries were performed under a pneumatic tourniquet, which was deflated after the wound had been dressed.

All the infected primary knee arthroplasties who presented during this study period were excluded from this study.

One surgeon performed all the one hundred and thirty surgeries.

All the knees were drained after surgery and drains were removed in 48 hours.

The wounds were dressed with povidone iodine-soaked gauze, and the dressings were opened for the first time after 7 days. The patients were discharged on the 8^{th} day.

3. Results

The demographic profile of the participants undergoing knee injury treatment is summarized in Table 1. The mean age of the subjects was 63.6 years, with a standard deviation of 9.29 years, ranging from 27 to 87 years. The majority of the participants were females (77.7%), and the predominant religion was Christianity (100%). Most participants belonged to the Igbo tribe (99.3%), and the majority were married (90.7%). In terms of occupation, the highest proportion was engaged in business (37.7%), followed by unemployed individuals (36.9%), civil servants (20.0%), and retired individuals (5.4%).

Table 1 Demographic Characteristics

Variable	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Mean Age (years)	63.6 ± 9.29	27-87
Gender		
Male	29	22.3
Female	101	77.7
Christian	130	100
Tribe		
Igbo	129	99.3
Others	1	0.7
Marital Status		
Married	118	90.7
Widowed	6	4.6
Single	6	4.6
Occupation		
Business	49	37.7
Unemployed	48	36.9
Civil Servants	26	20.0
Retired	7	5.4

3.1. Diagnosis

Severe Osteoarthritis is the most prevalent diagnosis, constituting the majority of cases at 97.0%. This indicates a high prevalence of severe osteoarthritis among patients requiring knee-related medical care. Rheumatoid Arthritis accounts for a smaller proportion of cases at 3.0%. While less common than osteoarthritis, it remains a significant contributor to knee-related diagnoses.

3.1.1. Antibiotics Regimen

Triple antibiotics prophylaxis, involving the use of ceftriaxone, a third generation cephalosporin, ciprofloxacin, a fluoroquinolone, and metronidazole, a nitroimidazole played a crucial role in preventing infections and promoting recovery.

There was one incidence of superficial infection, but no incidence of deep infection was recorded.

Table 2 Medical details

Patient hypertensive		
No	49	37.0
Yes	81	62.3
Diabetic patient		
No	107	82.3
Yes	23	17.7

Table 3 Surgery details

Surgery Details		
Knee infection after surgery/		
Infection from referred cases		
No	129	99.2
Yes	1	0.77
Deep /organ	0	
Space infection		0.0
Superficial infection	1	
Antibiotics used in Centre of study		0.77
Ceftriaxone, Metronidazole,	130	100
and Ciprofloxacin		

4. Discussion

Prosthetic joint infections, though uncommon, are a significant concern because they can lead to multiple surgeries, difficulty in fully clearing the infection, lifelong disability, significant financial costs, and emotional strain. Treating these infections is complicated and requires both surgical and medical interventions.[1] Patients may need long-term courses of antibiotics. It is worse when these surgeries are carried out in a resource-poor environments where health insurance coverage for arthroplasties is almost non-existent. Therefore, any form of post-arthroplasty infection in this environment becomes disastrous and may eventually lead to severe incapacitation, sepsis and eventual mortality due to a lack of resources to pursue needed salvage procedures. [6,37]

Considering the above, any practising surgeon in this environment would seriously reconsider following the CDC guideline for prophylaxis in his arthroplasty patients.

The Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) classified surgical wound as clean, clean contaminated, contaminated, dirty wo und.[3] They released a new guideline in 2017 regarding the prevention of surgical site infections. One of their recommendations suggests giving patients a single dose of antibiotics before surgery, without any additional doses afterward, including for total joint replacement surgeries. [4,13]

In a developing country like ours, where the burden of malnutrition, fake and substandard drugs and disease burden is high, adhering to a regimen of one antibiotic dose preoperatively and no more may create more problems than it intends to solve.

In the treatment of infections when it has occurred, some scholars have given antibiotics to these patients up to one year. [35] If antibiotics are given so freely for one year after the complication has happened, why not give antibiotic to up to 1 week for prevention rather giving it for prevention.

The American Association of Hip and Knee Surgeons (AAHKS) disagrees with the CDC recommendation also, particularly regarding total joint replacements, as it goes against current international standards of care and lacks sufficient evidence and research. AAHKS still suggests giving antibiotics after surgery and calls for further studies to clarify the best approach.[3,6] Perhaps, the AAHKS may look into this study, despite the small sample size, to conduct a bigger study based on the triple regimen.

With the prevalence of severe osteoarthritis in this environment and the increasing need for knee arthroplasty, waiting for western-type theatres and all the details will leave patients suffering for a long time waiting for surgery. Therefore, many of the arthroplasties done in this environment in theatres without lamina flow devices are done with normal theatre caps, facemasks and gowns. Therefore, pre-, intra-, and post-op antibiotic regimen becomes a decision left for the surgeons practising in this milieu to determine based on clinical findings, and common cultures and sensitivities of antibiotics. My centre protocol was based on successes recorded with antibiotics used for other surgeries like open

reduction and internal fixation and external fixation of open fractures using culture results from minor surgical wounds and presenting infected surgeries.

So, the use of a third-generation cephalosporin like ceftriaxone, which is bactericidal and effective against gram-positive and gram-negative organisms, a quinolone like ciprofloxacin, also a bacteriocidal drug, and metronidazole working against anaerobes was considered an option to cover for any possibility of infection, including hospital-acquired ones.

The reason for the proposed one shot of cefazolin pre-op by CDC was to prevent antibiotics resistance but however, weighing the benefits against the risk, this study clearly shows that for a period of 7years doing primary knee arthroplasty and revision surgery in 134 patients with severe osteoarthritis and others with aseptic loosening, only one superficial infection with redness, swelling and serous discharge requiring re-admission in other to have intravenous antibiotics therapy, was diagnosed. Despite the the fact that lamina flow theatre was not used, our finding/prevalence of 0.7% is comparable to what is obtained in any part of the developed world where all the procedures and protocols are followed, and at variance with most of the obtained prevalences in similar environments in Nigeria[23-29]. Single prophylaxis were found to have higher rate of SSIs but dual prophylaxis was found more beneficial.[5] None has tried triple prophylaxis. These patient have been followed up to minimum of 3 years to 10 years now without presenting with illnesses resistant to these three medications.

Most surgeons in developing countries follow aseptic procedures meticulously, like in any other part of the world. Infact, some of the cases seen in this environment may never be seen in any of the developed world. The type of deformities seen in patients presenting with severe arthritis in Nigeria may become a spectacle in the western world. The pictures may make it to the museum. These are people who have waited for years to save money for their surgeries, as is the case with many of our patients, and to finally present for surgery with that level of deformity requiring bone grafts and prolonged theatre sessions may be so much risk to take to stop at one dose of pre-operative antibiotics. Despite the fact that some studies have found that subsequent use of post-operative antibiotics after the initial preoperative dose may not give additional benefit.[1,10, 11,14,15,19] However, some other studies have noted that post-operative doses after the initial pre-operative dose have an advantage over preoperative-only doses.[3,35,38]

So, if we ignore confounding factors like the expertise of the operating surgeon, the operating time, and patient comorbidities, what made the difference between the prevalence of 0.77% of SSIs in our centre and that of other similar centres operating under almost the same conditions? Could it be due to the triple regimen of antibiotic prophylaxis?

In Ibadan, Nigeria, the work done by Ifesanya and Alonge on open fractures, showed the use of triple antibiotics in the aggressive treatment of open fractures with farm contamination. Their result showed an infection rate of 12.9%, comparable with some centres abroad.[22] Though my study is not about open fractures, looking at the outcome from Ifesanya et al., which kept their infection rate to an acceptable limit, the use of triple antibiotics looked promising, considering the environment where this study was conducted and the late health-seeking behaviour of our people and the use of alternative medicine.[30]

5. Conclusion

Triple antibiotic prophylaxis involving the use of ceftriaxone, a third-generation cephalosporin, ciprofloxacin, a fluoroquinolone, and metronidazole, a nitroimidazole, is very effective in prophylaxis for Total knee arthroplasty. It keeps the prevalence of SSIs within the limit of the generally accepted prevalence for clean surgeries without noticeable antibiotic resistance within the study population.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

Statement of informed consent

Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

References

- [1] Zastrow, R. K., Huang, H. H., Galatz, L. M., Saunders-Hao, P., Poeran, J., & Moucha, C. S. (2020). Characteristics of antibiotic prophylaxis and risk of surgical site infections in primary total hip and knee arthroplasty. *The Journal of Arthroplasty*, *35*(9), 2581-2589.
- [2] Ortega, G., Rhee, D. S., Papandria, D. J., Yang, J., Ibrahim, A. M., Shore, A. D., ... & Abdullah, F. (2012). An evaluation of surgical site infections by wound classification system using the ACS-NSQIP. *Journal of Surgical Research*, *174*(1), 33-38.
- [3] Onyekwelu, I., Yakkanti, R., Protzer, L., Pinkston, C. M., Tucker, C., & Seligson, D. (2017). Surgical wound classification and surgical site infections in the orthopaedic patient. *JAAOS Global Research & Reviews*, 1(3), e022.
- [4] Berríos-Torres, S. I., Umscheid, C. A., Bratzler, D. W., Leas, B., Stone, E. C., Kelz, R. R., ... & Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee. (2017). Centers for disease control and prevention guideline for the prevention of surgical site infection, 2017. *JAMA surgery*, *152*(8), 784-791.
- [5] Sewick, A., Makani, A., Wu, C., O'Donnell, J., Baldwin, K. D., & Lee, G. C. (2012). Does dual antibiotic prophylaxis better prevent surgical site infections in total joint arthroplasty?. *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research* (\$\overline{8}\$, 470(10), 2702-2707. SSI prevalence was 0.21%
- [6] Daines, B. K., Dennis, D. A., & Amann, S. (2015). Infection prevention in total knee arthroplasty. *JAAOS-Journal of the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons*, *23*(6), 356-364.
- [7] Segawa, H., Tsukayama, D. T., Kyle, R. F., Becker, D. A., & Gustilo, R. B. (1999). Infection after total knee arthroplasty. A retrospective study of the treatment of eighty-one infections. *JBJS*, *81*(10), 1434-45.
- [8] Hawn, M. T., Richman, J. S., Vick, C. C., Deierhoi, R. J., Graham, L. A., Henderson, W. G., & Itani, K. M. (2013). Timing of surgical antibiotic prophylaxis and the risk of surgical site infection. *JAMA surgery*, *148*(7), 649-657.
- [9] Wu, C. T., Chen, I. L., Wang, J. W., Ko, J. Y., Wang, C. J., & Lee, C. H. (2016). Surgical site infection after total knee arthroplasty: risk factors in patients with timely administration of systemic prophylactic antibiotics. *The Journal of arthroplasty*, *31*(7), 1568-1573.
- [10] Spangehl, M. (2022). Preoperative prophylactic antibiotics in total hip and knee arthroplasty: what, when, and how. *The Journal of Arthroplasty*, *37*(8), 1432-1434.
- [11] Badge, H., Churches, T., Xuan, W., Naylor, J. M., & Harris, I. A. (2022). Timing and duration of antibiotic prophylaxis is associated with the risk of infection after hip and knee arthroplasty. *Bone & Joint Open*, *3*(3), 252-260.
- [12] Seidelman, J. L., Smith, B., Wood, B., Adcock, L., Shelton, B., Huslage, K., ... & Lewis, S. S. (2019). Shifting surgical site infection denominators and implications for National Health Safety Network reporting. *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology*, 40(11), 1316-1317.
- [13] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2021). National healthcare safety network patient safety component manual chapter 9: surgical site infection (SSI) event.
- [14] Yates Jr, A. J., of Hip, A. A., & Knee Surgeons Evidence-Based Medicine Committee. (2018). Postoperative prophylactic antibiotics in total joint arthroplasty. *Arthroplasty Today*, *4*(1), 130-131.
- [15] Horan, T. C., Gaynes, R. P., Martone, W. J., Jarvis, W. R., & Emori, T. G. (1992). CDC definitions of nosocomial surgical site infections, 1992: a modification of CDC definitions of surgical wound infections. *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology*, 13(10), 606-608.
- [16] Goyal, R., Sandhu, H. P. S., Kumar, A., Kosey, S., & Mehra, N. (2015). Surveillance method for surgical site infection. *Indian Journal of Pharmacy Practice*, 8(2).
- [17] Bosco, J. A., Bookman, J., Slover, J., Edusei, E., & Levine, B. (2015). Principles of antibiotic prophylaxis in total joint arthroplasty: current concepts. *JAAOS-Journal of the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons*, *23*(8), e27-e35.
- [18] Wise, B. T., Connelly, D., Rocca, M., Mascarenhas, D., Huang, Y., Maceroli, M. A., ... & O'Toole, R. V. (2022). Are deep infections that present before and after 90 days from orthopaedic trauma different? An analysis of the validity of the recent change in CDC criteria for infections. *Injury*, *53*(3), 912-918.
- [19] Siddiqi, A., Forte, S. A., Docter, S., Bryant, D., Sheth, N. P., & Chen, A. F. (2019). Perioperative antibiotic prophylaxis in total joint arthroplasty: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JBJS*, *101*(9), 828-842.

- [20] Thomas, A. M., & Simmons, M. J. (2018). The effectiveness of ultra-clean air operating theatres in the prevention of deep infection in joint arthroplasty surgery. *The bone & joint journal*, *100*(10), 1264-1269.
- [21] Zahar, A., & Sarungi, M. (2021). Diagnosis and management of the infected total knee replacement: a practical surgical guide. *Journal of Experimental Orthopaedics*, *8*, 1-8.
- [22] Ifesanya, A. O., & Alonge, T. O. (2012). Operative stabilization of open long bone fractures: A tropical tertiary hospital experience. *Nigerian Medical Journal: Journal of the Nigeria Medical Association*, *53*(1), 16.
- [23] Amoran, O. E., Sogebi, A. O., & Fatugase, O. M. (2013). Rates and risk factors associated with surgical site infections in a tertiary care center in South-Western Nigeria. *International Journal of Tropical Disease & Health*, *3*(1), 25-36.
- [24] Nwankwo, E. O., Ibeh, I., & Enabulele, O. I. (2012). Incidence and risk factors of surgical site infection in a tertiary health institution in Kano, Northwestern Nigeria. *International Journal of Infection Control*, 8(4). 30% deep infection
- [25] Olowo-Okere, A., Ibrahim, Y. K. E., Sani, A. S., & Olayinka, B. O. (2018). Occurrence of surgical site infections at a tertiary healthcare facility in Abuja, Nigeria: a prospective observational study. *Medical Sciences*, 6(3), 60.27% average
- [26] Nwankwo, E., & Edino, S. (2014). Seasonal variation and risk factors associated with surgical site infection rate in Kano, Nigeria. *Turkish journal of medical sciences*, 44(4), 674-680. 25.2%
- [27] Brisibe, S. F. A., Ordinioha, B., & Gbeneolol, P. K. (2015). The effect of hospital infection control policy on the prevalence of surgical site infection in a tertiary hospital in South-South Nigeria. *Nigerian Medical Journal*, 56(3), 194-198.
- [28] Madu, K. A., & Katchy, A. U. (2011). Surgical site infection following primary joint replacement at the National Orthopaedic Hospital, Enugu. *Nigerian Journal of Orthopaedics and Trauma*, *10*(1), 16-18.
- [29] Edomwonyi, E. O., & Enemudo, R. E. T. (2019). Early postoperative wound infections in hemiarthroplasties in South-South, Nigeria: A multicenter-based study. *Nigerian Journal of Surgical Sciences*, *29*(1), 1-5.
- [30] Ige, O. K., & Nwachukwu, C. C. (2009). Health care seeking behaviour among market traders in Ibarapa Central Local Government, Nigeria. *The Internet Journal of Health*, 9(2).
- [31] Amaradeep, G., Prakah, S., & Manjappa, C. N. (2017). Surgical site infections in orthopedic implant surgery and its risk factors: A prospective study in teaching hospital. *Int J Orthop Sci*, *3*(3), 169-172
- [32] Saeedinia, S., Nouri, M., Azarhomayoun, A., Hanif, H., Mortazavi, A., Bahramian, P., ... & Amirjamshidi, A. (2015). The incidence and risk factors for surgical site infection after clean spinal operations: a prospective cohort study and review of the literature. *Surgical Neurology International*, 6. 2,7%
- [33] Hijas-Gómez, A. I., Lucas, W. C., Checa-García, A., Martínez-Martín, J., Fahandezh-Saddi, H., Gil-de-Miguel, Á., ... & Rodríguez-Caravaca, G. (2018). Surgical site infection incidence and risk factors in knee arthroplasty: A 9-year prospective cohort study at a university teaching hospital in Spain. *American journal of infection control*, 46(12), 1335-1340.
- [34] Namba, R. S., Inacio, M. C., & Paxton, E. W. (2013). Risk factors associated with deep surgical site infections after primary total knee arthroplasty: an analysis of 56,216 knees. *JBJS*, *95*(9), 775-782.
- [35] Shah, N. B., Hersh, B. L., Kreger, A., Sayeed, A., Bullock, A. G., Rothenberger, S. D., ... & Urish, K. L. (2020). Benefits and adverse events associated with extended antibiotic use in total knee arthroplasty periprosthetic joint infection. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, *70*(4), 559-565.
- [36] Sheikh, M. A. (2022). *Prevalence, Risk Factors, and Microbiological Profile of Early Surgical Site Infection Following Orthopaedic Implant Surgery at Kenyatta National Hospital* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Nairobi).
- [37] Byarugaba, D. K. (2004). Antimicrobial resistance in developing countries and responsible risk factors. *International journal of antimicrobial agents*, *24*(2), 105-110.
- [38] Hong, C. S., Black, C. S., Ryan, S. P., & Seyler, T. M. (2020). Extended oral antibiotics and infection prophylaxis after a primary or revision total knee arthroplasty. *The Journal of Knee Surgery*, *33*(02), 111-118.