



(REVIEW ARTICLE)



Equity and access in higher education: Legal perspectives and management strategies

Oyebola Olusola Ayeni ^{1,*} and Chima Abimbola Eden ²

¹ Lead City University, Ibadan, Nigeria.

² Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences, University of Strathclyde, UK.

International Journal of Science and Research Archive, 2024, 11(02), 199–206

Publication history: Received on 22 January 2024; revised on 04 February 2024; accepted on 06 February 2024

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30574/ijrsra.2024.11.2.0391>

Abstract

Ensuring equity and access in higher education has become a paramount concern for institutions, policymakers, and stakeholders worldwide. This review delves into the legal frameworks and management strategies essential for promoting equity and access within higher education systems. Firstly, legal perspectives provide a foundation for understanding the rights and responsibilities of both institutions and students. Various laws and regulations, including affirmative action policies, anti-discrimination statutes, and accessibility mandates, shape the legal landscape of higher education equity. Analyzing landmark court cases and legislative acts elucidates the evolving nature of these legal frameworks and their implications for fostering inclusive environments. Secondly, effective management strategies play a pivotal role in translating legal mandates into actionable initiatives. Institutional leaders must prioritize diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) efforts across all facets of academic and administrative operations. Implementing proactive recruitment and retention practices, establishing support services for underrepresented groups, and fostering a culture of inclusivity are among the key strategies employed to enhance accessibility and promote equity. Moreover, leveraging technology and data analytics can facilitate evidence-based decision-making and resource allocation, thereby addressing disparities in educational outcomes. Collaborative partnerships with community organizations, government agencies, and industry stakeholders also amplify the impact of equity initiatives and expand access to higher education opportunities. Navigating the complex intersection of legal requirements and management imperatives is essential for advancing equity and access in higher education. By embracing a holistic approach that combines legal compliance with strategic planning and proactive interventions, institutions can foster environments where all individuals have equitable opportunities to succeed and thrive academically.

Keywords: Management; Equity; Higher Education; Legal; Strategies; Review

1. Introduction

Equity and access in higher education stand as fundamental pillars in fostering a fair and inclusive academic environment (McNair *et al.*, 2020). The significance of ensuring equitable opportunities and accessibility cannot be overstated, as they are essential for promoting social justice, economic mobility, and national prosperity. In today's interconnected and rapidly evolving world, higher education serves as a catalyst for individual advancement and societal progress (Shishakly *et al.*, 2024). However, persistent disparities based on race, ethnicity, socioeconomic status, disability, and other factors continue to hinder the realization of true equity and access within higher education systems (Halabieh *et al.*, 2022).

To address these challenges, it is imperative to navigate the intricate intersection of legal frameworks and management strategies aimed at promoting equity and access. Legal perspectives provide the foundational framework for understanding the rights and responsibilities of both educational institutions and students (Brown and Klein, 2020). These perspectives encompass a myriad of laws, regulations, and court decisions that shape the landscape of higher

* Corresponding author: Oyebola Olusola Ayeni

education equity. From affirmative action policies to anti-discrimination statutes and accessibility mandates, the legal framework governing higher education is complex and multifaceted (Gururaj *et al.*, 2021).

Simultaneously, effective management strategies play a pivotal role in translating legal mandates into actionable initiatives (Tuohy *et al.*, 2023). These strategies encompass a broad spectrum of approaches aimed at fostering diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) across all aspects of academic and administrative operations. From proactive recruitment and retention practices to the establishment of support services for underrepresented groups, institutions must adopt comprehensive strategies to promote equity and access (Griffin *et al.*, 2020).

In this context, this paper explores the importance of equity and access in higher education, examining the legal perspectives that underpin these principles and the management strategies employed to realize them. By elucidating the interplay between legal requirements and management imperatives, this paper seeks to provide insights into fostering environments where all individuals have equitable opportunities to succeed and thrive academically (Alam and Mohanty, 2023).

2. Legal Perspectives on Equity and Access

Equity and access in higher education are not just moral imperatives; they are also enshrined in a complex web of legal frameworks designed to ensure fairness and inclusivity (Oguejiofor *et al.*, 2023). This section explores the legal perspectives underpinning equity and access, focusing on affirmative action policies, anti-discrimination statutes, accessibility mandates for students with disabilities, and landmark court cases shaping higher education equity.

Affirmative action policies are perhaps one of the most debated and litigated aspects of higher education law (Carter and Lippard, 2020). Originating in the United States in the 1960s, these policies were designed to address historical and systemic discrimination by promoting the admission of underrepresented minority groups, such as African Americans, Hispanics, and Native Americans, in educational institutions and workplaces. However, affirmative action has faced numerous legal challenges over the years. The landmark case of *Regents of the University of California v. Bakke* (1978) marked the first significant Supreme Court decision on affirmative action in higher education (Huang, 2022). In a fragmented decision, the Court ruled that while the use of race as a factor in admissions decisions was permissible, strict quotas were unconstitutional. This decision laid the foundation for the "holistic review" approach, wherein race could be considered as one of many factors in the admissions process (Nehemiah *et al.*, 2021).

Subsequent cases, such as *Grutter v. Bollinger* (2003) and *Fisher v. University of Texas at Austin* (2016), further clarified the legality of affirmative action. In *Grutter*, the Court upheld the University of Michigan Law School's affirmative action policy, emphasizing the compelling interest in promoting diversity (Carter and Lippard, 2020). Similarly, in *Fisher*, the Court reaffirmed the use of race as a factor in admissions decisions, provided that it was narrowly tailored to achieve diversity goals. Despite these legal precedents, affirmative action remains a contentious issue, with ongoing challenges and debates regarding its constitutionality and efficacy (Oyetunde *et al.*, 2016). The evolving legal landscape underscores the complexity of balancing diversity goals with the principles of equal treatment and non-discrimination. Anti-discrimination statutes serve as foundational pillars of higher education law, prohibiting discrimination based on race, color, national origin, sex, religion, disability, and other protected characteristics. Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color, or national origin in programs and activities receiving federal financial assistance (Shannon and Hunter Jr, 2020). Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 prohibits sex discrimination in educational programs and activities receiving federal funding.

These statutes not only mandate equal treatment but also require institutions to take proactive steps to address discrimination and harassment. Compliance with these statutes involves implementing policies and procedures for reporting and investigating complaints, providing training on diversity and inclusion, and fostering a campus culture that values equity and respect for all individuals (Ninduwezuor-Ehiobu *et al.*, 2023). Furthermore, the enforcement of anti-discrimination statutes extends beyond individual complaints to systemic patterns of discrimination. Regulatory agencies such as the U.S. Department of Education's Office for Civil Rights (OCR) conduct investigations and audits to ensure compliance with Title VI, Title IX, and other civil rights laws (Princilus, 2023).

Accessibility mandates play a crucial role in ensuring that students with disabilities have equal access to educational opportunities. The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) of 1990 and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 prohibit discrimination against individuals with disabilities and require educational institutions to provide reasonable accommodations and modifications to ensure accessibility (Murphy, 2020). Under these mandates, colleges and universities are required to provide accommodations such as accessible facilities, auxiliary aids and services, and academic adjustments for students with disabilities. This may include providing sign language interpreters, extended

time for exams, accessible course materials, and assistive technology (Genc and Kocdar, 2020). The legal landscape regarding accessibility has evolved with advancements in technology and changes in educational practices. The emergence of online learning platforms has raised questions about digital accessibility and the obligation of institutions to ensure equal access for students with disabilities in online courses and digital content (Ogunjobi *et al.*, 2023).

Landmark court cases have played a pivotal role in shaping higher education equity by establishing legal precedents and clarifying the rights and responsibilities of educational institutions and students (Gidiagba *et al.*, 2023). Cases such as *Brown v. Board of Education* (1954), which declared racial segregation in public schools unconstitutional, set the stage for broader efforts to promote equity and inclusivity in education (Geier, 2023; Alleyne, 2021). Similarly, cases like *Plyler v. Doe* (1982), which affirmed the right of undocumented immigrant children to access public education, have expanded the scope of educational equity to encompass marginalized and vulnerable populations. Moreover, recent cases like *Students for Fair Admissions v. Harvard* (2019) have reignited debates about affirmative action and the role of race in admissions decisions. These cases highlight the ongoing tensions between promoting diversity and addressing claims of reverse discrimination.

In conclusion, legal perspectives on equity and access in higher education are multifaceted and continually evolving. Affirmative action policies, anti-discrimination statutes, accessibility mandates, and landmark court cases all contribute to shaping the legal landscape of higher education equity (Ihemereze *et al.*, 2023). By understanding these legal frameworks and their implications, educational institutions can navigate complex issues and work towards fostering environments that promote fairness, inclusivity, and equal opportunity for all students.

3. Management Strategies for Promoting Equity and Access

In the pursuit of equity and access in higher education, institutions must adopt comprehensive management strategies that prioritize diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) efforts, implement proactive recruitment and retention practices, establish support services for underrepresented groups, and foster a culture of inclusivity across academic and administrative operations (Hill *et al.*, 2023).

Prioritizing DEI efforts involves embedding principles of diversity, equity, and inclusion into the core mission, vision, and values of the institution (Tula *et al.*, 2023). This requires leadership commitment, strategic planning, and allocation of resources to advance equity and access. Institutions can establish diversity offices or committees tasked with developing and implementing DEI initiatives (Daraojimba *et al.*, 2023). These initiatives may include training programs to raise awareness of unconscious biases, creating affinity groups and support networks for underrepresented faculty, staff, and students, and fostering partnerships with external organizations focused on diversity and inclusion (Griffin *et al.*, 2020).

Furthermore, incorporating diversity metrics into performance evaluations and reward systems can incentivize faculty and staff to actively contribute to DEI efforts (Castillo-Montoya *et al.*, 2023). By making diversity a strategic priority, institutions can create a more inclusive environment where all members of the community feel valued and supported.

Proactive recruitment and retention practices are essential for attracting and retaining a diverse student body, faculty, and staff (Griffin *et al.*, 2020). This involves implementing targeted outreach efforts to attract applicants from underrepresented groups, providing support services to facilitate their transition to campus life, and fostering a sense of belonging and community. Recruitment efforts may include partnering with high schools, community colleges, and community-based organizations to identify and recruit talented students from diverse backgrounds (Myran *et al.*, 2023). Additionally, targeted advertising campaigns and scholarship programs can help attract applicants from underrepresented groups. Once students are enrolled, institutions must provide comprehensive support services to ensure their success (Ohalete *et al.*, 2023). This may include academic advising, mentoring programs, tutoring services, and financial aid assistance tailored to the needs of underrepresented students. Similarly, proactive recruitment and retention practices are critical for diversifying the faculty and staff. Institutions can implement inclusive hiring practices, such as using diverse search committees, conducting implicit bias training for hiring committees, and offering competitive salaries and benefits to attract diverse candidates (Aderibigbe *et al.*, 2023).

Establishing support services for underrepresented groups is essential for addressing the unique challenges they may face in higher education (Adelekan *et al.*, 2024). These support services may include academic advising, counseling services, career development programs, and affinity groups. For example, institutions can establish multicultural centers or diversity offices to provide a central hub for resources and support for underrepresented students. These centers may offer programming focused on cultural awareness, identity development, and social justice advocacy. Similarly, academic support services such as tutoring centers, writing labs, and supplemental instruction programs can

provide targeted support to underrepresented students to help them succeed academically (Kezar and Holcombe, 2020).

Fostering a culture of inclusivity requires intentional efforts to create an environment where all members of the community feel respected, valued, and included. This involves promoting diversity in curriculum and pedagogy, fostering inclusive classroom environments, and implementing policies and practices that promote equity and fairness (Killen and Rutland, 2022). Inclusive teaching practices may include incorporating diverse perspectives and voices into course materials, using inclusive language and examples, and creating opportunities for student engagement and participation (Segun *et al.*, 2021). Furthermore, institutions can implement policies and practices to address discrimination and harassment, such as establishing clear reporting procedures, providing training on diversity and inclusion, and holding individuals accountable for discriminatory behavior (Carter *et al.*, 2020).

In addition to academic operations, fostering a culture of inclusivity across administrative operations is equally important. This may include implementing diversity training for staff, creating opportunities for staff to participate in DEI initiatives, and ensuring that policies and procedures are equitable and inclusive (Akindejoye and Ilugbusi, 2019).

4. Utilizing Technology and Data Analytics

Technology and data analytics play a crucial role in promoting equity and access in higher education by enhancing accessibility, supporting evidence-based decision-making, and identifying areas for improvement (Maiya and Aithal, 2023).

Technology can significantly enhance accessibility for students with disabilities by providing alternative formats for course materials, facilitating communication and collaboration, and improving the accessibility of digital resources (Olubusola *et al.*, 2024). For example, institutions can utilize assistive technologies such as screen readers, speech recognition software, and captioning tools to make course materials accessible to students with visual, auditory, or motor impairments. Similarly, online learning platforms and virtual classroom technologies can provide flexible learning options for students who may have difficulty attending traditional in-person classes due to physical disabilities, scheduling conflicts, or other barriers. Furthermore, technology can facilitate communication and collaboration among students and faculty, enabling individuals to participate in academic activities regardless of their physical location or mobility (Odeyemi *et al.*, 2024). By leveraging technology to enhance accessibility, institutions can ensure that all students have equal opportunities to participate fully in the educational experience.

Data analytics can provide valuable insights into student outcomes, identify areas of inequity, and inform evidence-based decision-making to improve equity and access (Mandinach and Schildkamp, 2021). Institutions can utilize data analytics to track retention and graduation rates, identify disparities in academic achievement among different student populations, and monitor the effectiveness of support services and interventions. Furthermore, predictive analytics can help institutions identify students who may be at risk of dropping out or falling behind academically, allowing for targeted interventions to support their success (McMahon and Sembante, 2020).

Additionally, data analytics can inform admissions decisions by identifying factors that contribute to student success and identifying barriers to access for underrepresented groups. By leveraging data analytics, institutions can identify areas for improvement, allocate resources more effectively, and implement targeted interventions to promote equity and access for all students (Mbada *et al.*, 2017).

In conclusion, management strategies such as prioritizing DEI efforts, implementing proactive recruitment and retention practices, establishing support services for underrepresented groups, and fostering a culture of inclusivity are essential for promoting equity and access in higher education (Atadoga *et al.*, 2024). Furthermore, technology and data analytics can enhance accessibility, support evidence-based decision-making, and identify areas for improvement. By implementing these strategies and leveraging technology and data effectively, institutions can create more equitable and inclusive learning environments where all students have the opportunity to succeed.

5. Collaborative Partnerships for Equity

Collaborative partnerships play a crucial role in advancing equity and access in higher education by leveraging resources, expertise, and networks to address systemic barriers and promote inclusive practices (Wright *et al.*, 2022).

Partnerships with community organizations provide valuable opportunities for institutions to engage with local communities, identify community needs, and develop initiatives that promote equity and access. Community-based organizations often have deep roots in the community and can provide insights into the unique challenges faced by underrepresented groups (Mbada *et al.*, 20217). By partnering with community organizations, institutions can develop outreach programs, provide educational resources and support services, and foster connections between the campus and the broader community. These partnerships can help build trust, enhance community engagement, and create pathways for collaboration and mutual support.

Engagement with government agencies and policymakers is essential for influencing policy decisions, advocating for resources, and shaping legislation that promotes equity and access in higher education (Orphan *et al.*, 2021). Government agencies, such as the U.S. Department of Education and state education departments, play a critical role in administering federal and state funding, enforcing civil rights laws, and setting policy priorities. By engaging with government agencies and policymakers, institutions can advocate for policies and initiatives that support equity and access, such as increased funding for financial aid, expansion of support services for underrepresented students, and implementation of diversity and inclusion initiatives (de Jesus Gonzalez *et al.*, 2021). Additionally, institutions can collaborate with policymakers to address systemic barriers to equity, such as inequitable funding formulas, inadequate support for marginalized populations, and discriminatory practices.

Collaboration with industry stakeholders is essential for preparing students for success in the workforce and ensuring that higher education remains relevant and responsive to the needs of employers and industries (Cheng *et al.*, 2022). Industry partners can provide valuable insights into workforce trends, skill requirements, and emerging job opportunities, helping institutions tailor their programs and curriculum to meet the needs of the labor market. By collaborating with industry stakeholders, institutions can develop work-integrated learning opportunities, such as internships, co-op programs, and apprenticeships, that provide students with real-world experience and skills (Farayola *et al.*, 2023). Additionally, industry partnerships can facilitate research collaborations, technology transfer, and entrepreneurship initiatives, driving innovation and economic development.

Overall, collaborative partnerships with community organizations, government agencies, and industry stakeholders are essential for advancing equity and access in higher education (Wright *et al.*, 2022). By working together, institutions can leverage resources, expertise, and networks to address systemic barriers, promote inclusive practices, and create opportunities for all students to succeed.

6. Future Outlook

The future of equity and access in higher education is shaped by ongoing societal changes, technological advancements, and evolving policy landscapes. As demographics shift and globalization increases, institutions must remain vigilant in their efforts to promote diversity, equity, and inclusion (Garcia-Alonso *et al.*, 2020). Technology will continue to play a pivotal role in enhancing accessibility, supporting evidence-based decision-making, and fostering collaboration. Innovations such as online learning platforms, virtual reality, and artificial intelligence have the potential to expand educational opportunities, reach underserved populations, and personalize learning experiences.

Furthermore, the growing emphasis on diversity, equity, and inclusion in higher education reflects broader societal trends towards social justice and equity (Williamson and Kizilcec, 2022). Institutions must embrace a culture of continuous improvement, accountability, and transparency to address systemic inequities and create environments where all individuals have the opportunity to thrive.

7. Recommendation and Conclusion

In conclusion, fostering equity and access in higher education requires a multifaceted approach that integrates legal requirements with management imperatives and emphasizes holistic strategies for promoting inclusion. By prioritizing diversity, implementing proactive recruitment and retention practices, establishing support services, fostering a culture of inclusivity, leveraging technology and data analytics, and collaborating with stakeholders, institutions can create environments where all students have the opportunity to succeed.

Moving forward, it is essential for institutions to continue to advocate for policies and initiatives that support equity and access, engage with diverse communities, and foster partnerships that drive innovation and social change. By working together, institutions, government agencies, industry stakeholders, and community organizations can create a future where higher education is truly equitable, accessible, and inclusive for all.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

- [1] Adelekan, O.A., Ilugbusi, B.S., Adisa, O., Obi, O.C., Awonuga, K.F., Asuzu, O.F. and Ndubuisi, N.L., 2024. Energy Transition Policies: A Global Review Of Shifts Towards Renewable Sources. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, 5(2), pp.272-287.
- [2] Aderibigbe, A.O., Ohenhen, P.E., Nwaobia, N.K., Gidiagba, J.O. and Ani, E.C., 2023. Advanced sensing techniques in electro-mechanical systems: surveying the rise of smart sensors and their implications for system robustness. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, 4(6), pp.323-340.
- [3] Akindejoye, J.A. and Ilugbusi, S.B., 2019. Compliance of Selected Firms Listed on Nigeria Stock Exchange with Requirements of International Accounting Standard 16. *Nigerian Studies in Economics and Management Sciences*, 2(2), pp.1-10.
- [4] Alam, A. and Mohanty, A., 2023. Cultural beliefs and equity in educational institutions: exploring the social and philosophical notions of ability groupings in teaching and learning of mathematics. *International Journal of Adolescence and Youth*, 28(1), p.2270662.
- [5] Alleyne, A.S., 2021. Counternarratives from Delaware: The impact of Brown v. Board of Education of Topeka (1954) on social identity development and resource allocation for Black students (Doctoral dissertation, University of Delaware).
- [6] Atadoga, A., Farayola, O.A., Ayinla, B.S., Amoo, O.O., Abrahams, T.O. and Osasona, F., 2024. A Comparative Review Of Data Encryption Methods In The Usa And Europe. *Computer Science & IT Research Journal*, 5(2), pp.447-460.
- [7] Brown, M. and Klein, C., 2020. Whose data? Which rights? Whose power? A policy discourse analysis of student privacy policy documents. *The Journal of Higher Education*, 91(7), pp.1149-1178.
- [8] Carter, E.R., Onyeador, I.N. and Lewis Jr, N.A., 2020. Developing & delivering effective anti-bias training: Challenges & recommendations. *Behavioral Science & Policy*, 6(1), pp.57-70.
- [9] Carter, J.S. and Lippard, C.D., 2020. Affirmative Action and Higher Education. In *The Death of Affirmative Action?* (pp. 19-40). Bristol University Press.
- [10] Carter, J.S. and Lippard, C.D., 2020. Case Study 1: The Gratz and Grutter Supreme Court Cases against the University of Michigan. In *The Death of Affirmative Action?* (pp. 115-154). Bristol University Press.
- [11] Castillo-Montoya, M., Bolitzer, L.A. and Sotto-Santiago, S., 2023. Reimagining faculty development: Activating faculty learning for diversity, equity, and inclusion. In *Higher Education: Handbook of Theory and Research: Volume 38* (pp. 415-481). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- [12] Cheng, M., Adekola, O., Albia, J. and Cai, S., 2022. Employability in higher education: a review of key stakeholders' perspectives. *Higher Education Evaluation and Development*, 16(1), pp.16-31.
- [13] Daraojimba, C., Eyo-Udo, N.L., Egbokhaebho, B.A., Ofonagoro, K.A., Ogunjobi, O.A., Tula, O.A. and Bansa, A.A., 2023. Mapping International Research Cooperation and Intellectual Property Management in the Field of Materials Science: an Exploration of Strategies, Agreements, and Hurdles. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, 4(3), pp.29-48.
- [14] de Jesus Gonzalez, Á., Burgos-López, L., Felix, E.R. and Nienhusser, H.K., 2021. Policy implementation as a tool for advancing equity in community college. *Education Policy Analysis Archives*, 29(January-July), pp.25-25.
- [15] Farayola, O.A., Abdul, A.A., Irabor, B.O. and Okeleke, E.C., 2023. Innovative Business Models Driven By AI Technologies: A Review. *Computer Science & IT Research Journal*, 4(2), pp.85-110.
- [16] Garcia-Alonso, J., Krentz, M., Lovich, D. and Mingardon, S., 2020. Diversity, equity, and inclusion still matter in a pandemic. Boston Consulting Group.
- [17] Geier, B.A., 2023. Racial Discrimination: A Slow and Steady Eroding of Brown v. Board of Education. In *The Roberts Court and Public Schools* (pp. 83-105). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.

- [18] Genc, H. and Kocdar, S., 2020. Supporting learners with special needs in open and distance learning. In *Managing and designing online courses in ubiquitous learning environments* (pp. 128-151). IGI Global.
- [19] Gidiagba, J.O., Daraojimba, C., Ofonagoro, K.A., Eyo-Udo, N.L., Egbokhaebho, B.A., Ogunjobi, O.A. and Bansa, A.A., 2023. Economic Impacts And Innovations In Materials Science: A Holistic Exploration Of Nanotechnology And Advanced Materials. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, 4(3), pp.84-100.
- [20] Griffin, K., Bennett, J. and York, T., 2020. Leveraging promising practices: Improving the recruitment, hiring, and retention of diverse & inclusive faculty.
- [21] Griffin, K., Bennett, J. and York, T., 2020. Leveraging promising practices: Improving the recruitment, hiring, and retention of diverse & inclusive faculty.
- [22] Griffin, K., Bennett, J. and York, T., 2020. Leveraging promising practices: Improving the recruitment, hiring, and retention of diverse & inclusive faculty.
- [23] Gururaj, S., Somers, P., Fry, J., Watson, D., Cicero, F., Morosini, M. and Zamora, J., 2021. Affirmative action policy: Inclusion, exclusion, and the global public good. *Policy Futures in Education*, 19(1), pp.63-83.
- [24] Halabieh, H., Hawkins, S., Bernstein, A.E., Lewkowict, S., Unaldi Kamel, B., Fleming, L. and Levitin, D., 2022. The future of higher education: Identifying current educational problems and proposed solutions. *Education Sciences*, 12(12), p.888.
- [25] Hill, D., Keller, K.M., Rico, M., Shostak, M. and Matthews, M., 2023. Talent Management and Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion in Private-Sector Organizations: A Qualitative Review of Promising Practices (p. 21). RAND.
- [26] Huang, S., 2022. Affirmative Action in Higher Education: What Role does Whiteness Ideology Play?.
- [27] Ihemereze, K.C., Eyo-Udo, N.L., Egbokhaebho, B.A., Daraojimba, C., Ikwue, U. and Nwankwo, E.E., 2023. Impact Of Monetary Incentives On Employee Performance In The NIGERIAN Automotive Sector: A Case Study. *International Journal of Advanced Economics*, 5(7), pp.162-186.
- [28] Kezar, A. and Holcombe, E., 2020. The role of collaboration in integrated programs aimed at supporting underrepresented student success in STEM. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 64(3), pp.325-348.
- [29] Killen, M. and Rutland, A., 2022. Promoting fair and just school environments: Developing inclusive youth. *Policy insights from the behavioral and brain sciences*, 9(1), pp.81-89.
- [30] Maiya, A.K. and Aithal, P.S., 2023. A Review-based Research Topic Identification on How to Improve the Quality Services of Higher Education Institutions in Academic, Administrative, and Research Areas. Maiya, AK, & Aithal, PS,(2023). A Review based Research Topic Identification on How to Improve the Quality Services of Higher Education Institutions in Academic, Administrative, and Research Areas. *International Journal of Management, Technology, and Social Sciences (IJMTS)*, 8(3), pp.103-153.
- [31] Mandinach, E.B. and Schildkamp, K., 2021. Misconceptions about data-based decision making in education: An exploration of the literature. *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, 69, p.100842.
- [32] Mbada, C.E., Osifeso, T.A., Johnson, O.E., Okonji, A.M. and Odeyemi, E.A., 2017. Self-reported physical activity versus physical function capacity: alternatives for energy expenditure estimation. *Rehabilitacja Medyczna*, 20(4), pp.4-12.
- [33] Mbada, C.E., Osifeso, T.A., Johnson, O.E., Okonji, A.M. and Odeyemi, E.A., 2017. Self-reported physical activity versus physical function capacity: alternatives for energy expenditure estimation. *Rehabilitacja Medyczna*, 20(4), pp.4-12.
- [34] McMahan, B.M. and Sembiente, S.F., 2020. Re-envisioning the purpose of early warning systems: Shifting the mindset from student identification to meaningful prediction and intervention. *Review of Education*, 8(1), pp.266-301.
- [35] McNair, T.B., Bensimon, E.M. and Malcom-Piqueux, L., 2020. From equity talk to equity walk: Expanding practitioner knowledge for racial justice in higher education. John Wiley & Sons.
- [36] Murphy, K.L., 2020. Civil Rights Laws: Americans With Disabilities Act of 1990 and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973: IA v. Seguin Indep. Sch. Dist. 881 F. Supp. 2d 770. *Journal of Physical Education, Recreation & Dance*, 92(1), pp.57-59.
- [37] Myran, S., Sylvester, P., Williams, M.R. and Myran, G., 2023. Four promising practices from a workforce development partnership. *Community College Journal of Research and Practice*, 47(1), pp.38-52.

- [38] Nehemiah, A., Roberts, S.E., Song, Y., Kelz, R.R., Butler, P.D., Morris, J.B. and Aarons, C.B., 2021. Looking beyond the numbers: increasing diversity and inclusion through holistic review in general surgery recruitment. *Journal of Surgical Education*, 78(3), pp.763-769.
- [39] Ninduwezuor-Ehiobu, N., Tula, O.A., Daraojimba, C., Ofonagoro, K.A., Ogunjobi, O.A., Gidiagba, J.O., Egbokhaebho, B.A. and Banso, A.A., 2023. Exploring innovative material integration in modern manufacturing for advancing us competitiveness in sustainable global economy. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, 4(3), pp.140-168.
- [40] Odeyemi, O., Elufioye, O.A., Mhlongo, N.Z., Daraojimba, A.I., Olatoye, F.O. and Awonuga, K.F., 2024. AI in E-commerce: Reviewing developments in the USA and their global influence. *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*, 11(1), pp.1460-1468.
- [41] Oguejiofor, B.B., Omotosho, A., Abioye, K.M., Alabi, A.M., Oguntoyinbo, F.N., Daraojimba, A.I. and Daraojimba, C., 2023. A review on data-driven regulatory compliance in Nigeria. *International Journal of applied research in social sciences*, 5(8), pp.231-243.
- [42] Ogunjobi, O.A., Eyo-Udo, N.L., Egbokhaebho, B.A., Daraojimba, C., Ikwue, U. and Banso, A.A., 2023. Analyzing historical trade dynamics and contemporary impacts of emerging materials technologies on international exchange and us strategy. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, 4(3), pp.101-119.
- [43] Ohalete, N.C., Aderibigbe, A.O., Ani, E.C., Ohenhen, P.E. and Akinoso, A.E., 2023. Data Science In Energy Consumption Analysis: A Review Of Ai Techniques In Identifying Patterns And Efficiency OpportunitieS. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, 4(6), pp.357-380.
- [44] Olubusola, O., Falaiye, T., Ajayi-Nifise, A.O., Daraojimba, O.H. and Mhlongo, N.Z., 2024. Sustainable IT practices in Nigerian banking: Environmental perspectives review.
- [45] Orphan, C.M., Laderman, S. and Gildersleeve, R.E., 2021. Advocates or honest information brokers? Examining the higher education public policy agenda-setting processes of intermediary organizations. *The Review of Higher Education*, 44(3), pp.325-355.
- [46] Oyetunde, O.A., Oluwafemi, O.K. and Bisola, A.M., 2016. Impact of vocational and entrepreneurship education on the economic growth of Ogun State, Nigeria. *Makerere Journal of Higher Education*, 8(1), pp.25-33.
- [47] Princilus, M., 2023. A Content Analysis of Title IX Sexual Misconduct Violations Using the Office for Civil Rights Investigative Findings for Higher Education in New Jersey.
- [48] Segun, I.B., Olusegun, I.F., Akindutire, Y.T. and Thomas, O.A., 2021. Capital Structure and Financial Performance: Evidence from Listed Firms in the Oil and Gas Sector in Nigeria.
- [49] Shannon, J.H. and Hunter Jr, R.J., 2020. The Civil Rights Act of 1964: Beyond Race to Employment Discrimination Based on Sex: The 'Three Letter Word' That Has Continued to Vex Society and The United States Supreme Court. *Journal of Social and Political Sciences*, 3(3).
- [50] Shishakly, R., Almaiah, M., Lutfi, A. and Alrawad, M., 2024. The influence of using smart technologies for sustainable development in higher education institutions. *International Journal of Data and Network Science*, 8(1), pp.77-90.
- [51] Tula, O.A., Daraojimba, C., Eyo-Udo, N.L., Egbokhaebho, B.A., Ofonagoro, K.A., Ogunjobi, O.A., Gidiagba, J.O. and Banso, A.A., 2023. Analyzing global evolution of materials research funding and its influence on innovation landscape: a case study of us investment strategies. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, 4(3), pp.120-139.
- [52] Tuohy, P., Cvitanovic, C., Shellock, R.J., Karcher, D.B., Duggan, J. and Cooke, S.J., 2023. Considerations for research funders and managers to facilitate the translation of scientific knowledge into practice. *Environmental Management*, pp.1-15.
- [53] Williamson, K. and Kizilcec, R., 2022, March. A review of learning analytics dashboard research in higher education: Implications for justice, equity, diversity, and inclusion. In *LAK22: 12th international learning analytics and knowledge conference* (pp. 260-270).
- [54] Wright, C., Ritter, L.J. and Wisse Gonzales, C., 2022. Cultivating a collaborative culture for ensuring sustainable development goals in higher education: An integrative case study. *Sustainability*, 14(3), p.1273.
- [55] Wright, C., Ritter, L.J. and Wisse Gonzales, C., 2022. Cultivating a collaborative culture for ensuring sustainable development goals in higher education: An integrative case study. *Sustainability*, 14(3), p.1273