



(RESEARCH ARTICLE)



Decarbonization strategies in energy-intensive industries: Cases from Canada, USA, and Africa

Onyinyechukwu Chidolue ^{1,*}, Bright Ngozichukwu ², Kenneth Ifeanyi Ibekwe ³, Valentine Ikenna Illojiana ⁴, Adetomilola Victoria Fafure ⁵ and Cosmas Dominic Daudu ⁶

¹ Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Memorial University of Newfoundland, St Johns, Canada.

² Artie McFerrin Department of Chemical Engineering, Texas A&M University, USA.

³ Independent Researcher, UK.

⁴ Mechanical Engineering, The University of Alabama, USA.

⁵ Department of Physics, Kenyatta university, Nairobi, P. O. Box 43844-00100, Kenya.

⁶ Nigeria LNG Limited, Bonny Island, Nigeria.

International Journal of Science and Research Archive, 2024, 11(01), 361–370

Publication history: Received on 03 December 2023; revised on 15 January 2024; accepted on 18 January 2024

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30574/ijrsra.2024.11.1.0065>

Abstract

This research paper delves into "Decarbonization Strategies in Energy-Intensive Industries: Cases from Canada, the United States, and Africa." Against escalating global concerns about climate change, energy-intensive industries stand at the forefront of environmental impact, necessitating urgent and effective decarbonization measures. Through a comprehensive analysis, this study aims to unravel the diverse strategies employed in three distinct regions—Canada, the United States, and Africa—each marked by unique economic, social, and environmental contexts. The exploration begins with an in-depth examination of the current landscape of decarbonization strategies, encompassing technological innovations, policy and regulatory frameworks, and market-based approaches. A comparative analysis uncovers commonalities and distinct challenges across the selected regions, shedding light on the nuanced dynamics of sustainable industrial development. Barriers such as economic viability, technological adoption challenges, and socio-economic impacts are scrutinized alongside enablers like government leadership, technological innovation, and sustainable finance. The paper outlines prospects for decarbonization, envisioning advancements in green technologies, the integration of circular economy principles, and the evolution of resilient energy systems. Grounded in these prospects, strategic recommendations are proposed, emphasizing the need for holistic policy frameworks, public-private collaboration, incentivizing sustainable finance, investing in research and development, and embracing a just transition approach. In conclusion, this research contributes a holistic understanding of the complex interplay between strategies, challenges, and prospects in the pursuit of decarbonization in energy-intensive industries. The insights garnered provide a blueprint for policymakers, industry leaders, and stakeholders to navigate the intricate path toward a sustainable and resilient industrial future.

Keywords: Decarbonization; Energy-intensive industries; Sustainable industrial development; Comparative analysis

1. Introduction

The 21st century has ushered in a renewed global commitment to addressing the environmental challenges of climate change, with a particular emphasis on mitigating carbon emissions. Energy-intensive industries stand at the forefront among the sectors contributing significantly to greenhouse gas emissions (Espert et al., 2016; Wiertzema et al., 2020). Understanding and implementing effective decarbonization strategies within these industries have become imperative

* Corresponding author: Onyinyechukwu Chidolue

as the world strives to transition towards a sustainable and low-carbon future (Nurdiawati & Urban, 2021; Rissman et al., 2020; Wimbadi & Djalante, 2020).

This research paper explores the intricacies of decarbonization strategies in energy-intensive industries, focusing on case studies from three distinct regions: Canada, the United States, and Africa. The urgency of this investigation lies in the unique economic, social, and environmental contexts that characterize each of these regions. While industrialized nations like Canada and the United States face the challenge of overhauling well-established industrial infrastructures, African countries grapple with the dual challenge of industrialization and environmental sustainability (Naidu et al., 2010; Rogerson, 2018). In this context, decarbonization refers to reducing or eliminating carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gas emissions associated with industrial activities (Johnson et al., 2023). The study recognizes that the path to decarbonization is multifaceted and requires a nuanced understanding of the diverse approaches undertaken by different regions. By exploring the experiences and outcomes in Canada, the USA, and Africa, this research aims to contribute valuable insights into the effectiveness of various strategies, shedding light on the factors influencing success or encountering obstacles.

The complexity of decarbonization in energy-intensive industries demands a comprehensive examination that encompasses technological innovations, policy frameworks, regulatory landscapes, and the role of international collaboration. Additionally, understanding each region's unique challenges is crucial for tailoring strategies that align with local needs and capacities. The choice of these regions is deliberate, as Canada and the United States represent advanced industrial economies. At the same time, Africa symbolizes a part of economic development. Through a comparative analysis, this research seeks to uncover commonalities and differences and offer a nuanced understanding of the global dynamics of decarbonization.

2. Contextualizing Decarbonization in Canada, USA, and Africa

Energy-intensive industries play a pivotal role in the economic fabric of nations, providing the foundation for industrial development and economic growth. However, this crucial role comes at a cost – a substantial environmental toll marked by high levels of carbon emissions. Against the backdrop of global climate change imperatives, the need for comprehensive decarbonization strategies within these industries is paramount.

Canada: In Canada, a nation renowned for its abundant natural resources, including a significant presence in the mining, oil, and gas sectors, decarbonization efforts take on a unique character (Bataille et al.; Gunster et al., 2021; Muzzerall, 2022). As one of the world's largest energy exporters, Canada faces the dual challenge of aligning its economic prosperity with environmental sustainability (Bradshaw, 2013). The vast expanse of the country and its diverse industrial landscape necessitate a nuanced approach, with considerations for regional disparities and the imperative to transition to low-carbon technologies (Wittneben et al., 2012).

The Canadian government has embarked on an ambitious journey to reduce carbon emissions, incorporating stringent regulations, carbon pricing mechanisms, and investments in clean technologies. The symbiotic relationship between Canada's economic prosperity and environmental stewardship is a compelling case study in navigating the complexities of decarbonization (Bubna-Litic & Stoianoff, 2014; Yankey, 2021).

United States: The United States, with its diverse industrial base and technological prowess, stands as a global economic powerhouse (Brooks & Wohlforth, 2015; Pisano & Shih, 2012). However, its historical reliance on fossil fuels and energy-intensive manufacturing processes has contributed significantly to carbon emissions. Decarbonization in the U.S. involves navigating the intricate web of established industrial infrastructure, energy policies, and socio-political considerations (Avila, 2021).

Recent years have seen a notable shift in the U.S. towards embracing renewable energy sources, advancements in energy efficiency, and a commitment to transitioning away from fossil fuels. The decentralized nature of industries across different states adds complexity to the decarbonization landscape, making the U.S. a compelling case for exploring the interplay between federal and state-level policies, private sector initiatives, and technological innovations (Avila Calero, 2020; Tozer, 2019).

Africa: In contrast, the African continent presents a diverse set of challenges and opportunities in the pursuit of industrialization and decarbonization (Bouchene et al., 2021; Chukwuemeka et al., 2023; Palleschi, 2022). Many African nations are at the cusp of economic development, with burgeoning industrial sectors seeking to balance growth with environmental considerations (Adeniyi et al., 2020). The challenge lies in leapfrogging traditional, carbon-intensive

industrialization pathways and adopting sustainable practices from the outset (Devlin & Devlin, 2010; Naidoo, 2016; Naidu et al., 2010).

For Africa, decarbonization is inseparable from the broader inclusive and sustainable development goal. The need to build resilient and sustainable industries, often from the ground up, requires innovative solutions that address energy access, technology transfer, and the socio-economic dimensions of development. The continent's rich renewable energy potential and the imperative to avoid the pitfalls of carbon-intensive growth offer unique insights into the possibilities and challenges of decarbonization in emerging economies (Swilling, 2019).

In conclusion, contextualizing decarbonization in Canada, the United States, and Africa reveals a tapestry of challenges and opportunities shaped by regional specificities. By understanding the diverse contexts within which these nations grapple with decarbonization, policymakers, industry leaders, and researchers can formulate strategies that are effective and attuned to each region's unique socio-economic and environmental landscapes.

3. Decarbonization Strategies

The imperative to reduce carbon emissions in energy-intensive industries has spurred diverse strategies and approaches globally. Regional specificities, economic structures, and policy frameworks in Canada, the United States, and Africa shape these strategies.

3.1. Technological Innovations

Canada: Technological innovation is a cornerstone of Canada's decarbonization efforts, particularly in the resource-intensive sectors. Investments in carbon capture and storage (CCS) technologies, advancements in cleaner extraction processes in the oil sands, and the exploration of next-generation nuclear technologies exemplify the commitment to technological solutions (Bergero et al., 2022; Zitelman et al., 2018). Integrating artificial intelligence and automation in industrial processes is also gaining traction, optimizing efficiency and reducing energy consumption.

United States: In the United States, technological innovation is deeply embedded in the nation's ethos. The transition to renewable energy sources such as solar and wind and breakthroughs in battery storage technologies marks a paradigm shift. Advanced manufacturing processes, including 3D printing and intelligent manufacturing, increase energy efficiency. The embrace of digital technologies, such as the Industrial Internet of Things (IIoT), fosters data-driven decision-making for energy-intensive industries (Breyer et al., 2022; Garud & Karnøe, 2003).

Africa: For many African nations, technological leapfrogging offers a unique pathway to decarbonization. Embracing decentralized renewable energy solutions, such as off-grid solar and small-scale wind projects, addresses energy access challenges while minimizing carbon footprints (Mulugetta & Agbemabiese, 2019). The adoption of energy-efficient technologies in burgeoning industries, combined with the strategic deployment of digital infrastructure, positions technology as a catalyst for sustainable industrialization (Victor & Great C, 2021).

3.2. Policy and Regulatory Frameworks

Canada: A robust policy framework underscores Canada's commitment to decarbonization. Carbon pricing mechanisms, stringent emission standards, and incentives for clean technology adoption create a conducive environment for industries to align with low-carbon pathways. Sector-specific regulations, such as those addressing methane emissions in the oil and gas sector, exemplify targeted policy interventions (Hübner, 2018; Oyewunmi et al., 2020).

United States: In the United States, a mix of federal and state-level policies shapes the decarbonization landscape. Federal tax credits for renewable energy projects, fuel efficiency standards, and initiatives promoting energy conservation provide a regulatory foundation. At the state level, ambitious renewable portfolio standards and cap-and-trade programs further augment the regulatory framework, showcasing a multifaceted approach (Johnson-Potter, 2021).

Africa: The policy landscape in Africa reflects the delicate balance between industrialization imperatives and environmental stewardship. Many nations are formulating strategies to incentivize the adoption of clean technologies, renewable energy investments, and sustainable practices. The African Continental Free Trade Area (AfCFTA) allows harmonizing regional policies for sustainable industrial development (Apiko et al., 2020; Kuhlmann & Agutu, 2019).

3.3. Market-Based Approaches

Canada: Market mechanisms, such as emissions trading systems, play a role in Canada's decarbonization journey. Provinces like Quebec and British Columbia have implemented cap-and-trade procedures, fostering a market-driven approach to emission reductions. Incentives for green finance and investments in clean technologies further align market forces with environmental objectives (But, 2016; Peterson St-Laurent, 2018).

United States: Market dynamics in the U.S. are increasingly favoring clean energy. The rise of sustainable finance, impact investing, and integrating environmental, social, and governance (ESG) considerations into investment decisions reflect a growing awareness of the financial sector's role in advancing decarbonization. Public-private partnerships and government support for clean energy projects contribute to market-driven transitions (Feo, 2021; Matos, 2020).

Africa: Market-based approaches are evolving, focusing on attracting private investment for sustainable projects. Green bonds, renewable energy auctions, and initiatives connecting investors with green projects are emerging to align economic growth with low-carbon trajectories. The nascent but growing interest in sustainable finance signals a shift toward market-driven decarbonization strategies (Anbumozhi, 2021; Baietti et al., 2012).

In conclusion, the multifaceted nature of decarbonization strategies in energy-intensive industries across Canada, the United States, and Africa reflects the diverse pathways nations undertake on their journey toward sustainability. The interplay of technological innovation, policy frameworks, and market dynamics underscores the need for comprehensive and context-specific approaches to address the challenges of reducing carbon emissions in these pivotal sectors.

4. Comparative Analysis of Decarbonization Strategies in Canada, the United States, and Africa

Decarbonization efforts in energy-intensive industries unfold against diverse economic, social, and environmental landscapes, shaping distinct trajectories in Canada, the United States, and Africa. A comprehensive comparative analysis reveals commonalities and unique challenges, providing valuable insights into the global dynamics of sustainable industrial development.

4.1. Commonalities

Canada, the United States, and several African nations are committed to integrating policy frameworks as a cornerstone of their decarbonization strategies. Common elements include carbon pricing mechanisms, emissions standards, and incentives for clean technology adoption (Bernstein et al., 2008; Rissman et al., 2020). This convergence signals a global acknowledgement of the pivotal role that regulatory measures play in steering industries toward sustainable practices. Technological advancements, ranging from adopting renewable energy sources to integrating digital technologies, emerge as a shared theme. All three regions leverage innovation to enhance energy efficiency, reduce emissions, and promote sustainable industrial processes. The common pursuit of cutting-edge solutions underscores the universality of the imperative to embrace technological innovation for a low-carbon future. Market-based approaches, such as emissions trading systems, sustainable finance, and green investments, are gaining traction across Canada, the United States, and Africa. The alignment of economic interests with environmental objectives through market mechanisms reflects a global shift toward recognizing the role of financial incentives in driving sustainable industrial practices (Bond, 2012; Raufer et al., 2022).

4.2. Unique Challenges and Dynamics

The challenge lies in navigating established industrial infrastructures deeply reliant on fossil fuels in Canada and the United States. Decarbonization requires technological shifts and a careful balance to avoid disruptions to existing economic structures (Rosenbloom, 2019). In contrast, African nations face the delicate task of building sustainable industries from the ground up, with the added imperative of balancing economic growth with environmental stewardship. The socio-economic dimensions of decarbonization present distinctive challenges. In Canada and the United States, considerations of job displacement and community impacts require careful attention. In Africa, the focus is ensuring that decarbonization efforts contribute to inclusive development, addressing energy poverty, and creating employment opportunities (Finley-Brook & Holloman, 2016). While all regions recognize the importance of international collaboration in addressing global climate challenges, the nature of partnerships differs. Canada and the United States often engage in bilateral and multilateral agreements with other industrialized nations, fostering technology transfer and knowledge sharing. On the other hand, African countries seek collaborations that support sustainable development, often in the form of partnerships with international organizations and financial institutions (Kolk et al., 2008; Van Huijstee et al., 2007).

The comparative analysis highlights the need for a nuanced understanding of regional dynamics in formulating effective global decarbonization strategies. While common principles underpin sustainable practices, the diversity in challenges and approaches necessitates tailor-made solutions. International cooperation must recognize and accommodate the specific needs of regions at different stages of industrial development. In conclusion, the comparative analysis of decarbonization strategies in Canada, the United States, and Africa underscores the interconnected yet distinct nature of global efforts toward sustainable industrial development. By embracing shared principles while respecting regional nuances, the international community can forge a path toward a low-carbon future that is inclusive, resilient, and responsive to the complex challenges posed by energy-intensive industries.

5. Barriers and Enablers of Decarbonization in Energy-Intensive Industries

The journey towards decarbonization in energy-intensive industries is fraught with many challenges, yet opportunities abound to catalyze transformative change. This section explores the intricate interplay of barriers and enablers that shape the success or impediment of decarbonization strategies in Canada, the United States, and Africa.

5.1. Barriers

- **Economic Viability:** In established industrial economies like Canada and the United States, the economic viability of decarbonization initiatives poses a significant barrier. Industries entrenched in traditional, carbon-intensive processes may face increased costs when transitioning to cleaner technologies. The challenge is to balance economic growth and the imperative to reduce carbon emissions without compromising competitiveness (Gambhir et al., 2018; Stephens & Jiusto, 2010).
- **Technological Readiness and Adoption:** The pace of technological innovation and its adoption within industries can be a bottleneck for decarbonization efforts. Resistance to change, lack of awareness, and uncertainties about the performance of emerging technologies may impede progress. African nations, while enthusiastic about leapfrogging to sustainable technologies, often grapple with challenges related to technology access, adaptation, and financing (Hekkert & Negro, 2009; Karsh, 2004).
- **Policy and Regulatory Uncertainties:** Inconsistent or unclear policy frameworks and regulatory uncertainties present formidable barriers to effective decarbonization. Frequent political leadership changes or policy direction shifts can create an unstable environment for industries to make long-term investments in sustainable practices. Navigating the evolving policy landscape requires adaptability and a collaborative approach (Grin et al., 2010).
- **Infrastructure Challenges:** Aging infrastructure and the need for significant capital investments in new, sustainable technologies can be a deterrent. With their extensive industrial infrastructure, Canada and the United States face the challenge of retrofitting or replacing existing facilities. In contrast, African nations encounter the need to build new infrastructure while grappling with limited resources (Brown & Stigge, 2017).
- **Socio-Economic Impacts:** The potential for job displacement and adverse impacts on local communities are critical barriers in the decarbonization transition. The restructuring of industries may lead to job losses, particularly in regions heavily dependent on traditional energy-intensive activities. Ensuring a just transition that addresses socio-economic concerns becomes imperative for garnering support (Gambhir et al., 2018; Sovacool et al., 2021).

5.2. Enablers

- **Government Leadership and Policy Clarity:** Clear and consistent government leadership and well-defined policies serve as a primary enabler. In Canada and the United States, proactive government interventions, such as carbon pricing, tax incentives, and long-term renewable energy targets, provide the necessary clarity and incentives for industries to embark on decarbonization pathways. In Africa, supportive policies that encourage sustainable industrialization play a crucial role (Opoku & Yan, 2019).
- **Technological Innovation and Research Investment:** Robust investment in research and development and a culture of innovation act as a powerful enabler. In all regions, a commitment to fostering technological advancements, such as carbon capture and storage, renewable energy, and energy efficiency, creates a foundation for industries to embrace transformative solutions (Ockwell & Byrne, 2016).
- **International Collaboration and Knowledge Transfer:** Collaborative initiatives and knowledge-sharing at the international level play a pivotal role in overcoming barriers. Partnerships between nations, industry players, and research institutions facilitate the transfer of best practices, technologies, and financial resources. African countries, in particular, benefit from collaborations that support capacity building and technology transfer (Abu-Rumman, 2021; O'Dwyer et al., 2023).

- **Incentivizing Sustainable Finance:** Enabling financial mechanisms that incentivize sustainable practices is essential. The availability of green finance, such as green bonds and investments in sustainable projects, encourages industries to align economic interests with environmental objectives. This financial enabler is increasingly influential in the transition towards low-carbon practices (Bhatnagar & Sharma, 2022).
- **Public Awareness and Stakeholder Engagement:** A well-informed, engaged public and active stakeholder participation is a critical enabler. Creating awareness about decarbonization's environmental and social benefits builds public support and fosters collaboration. Inclusive decision-making processes that involve communities and industry stakeholders contribute to a smoother transition (Green & Gambhir, 2020).

Understanding the nuanced interplay between barriers and enablers is essential for crafting effective strategies that propel energy-intensive industries toward sustainability. Successful decarbonization requires a holistic approach that addresses economic, technological, regulatory, and societal dimensions, recognizing the dynamic nature of the challenges and opportunities. By navigating these complexities, industries and policymakers can chart a course toward a more sustainable and resilient future.

6. Future Prospects of Decarbonization in Energy-Intensive Industries

The decarbonization trajectory in energy-intensive industries holds immense promise for shaping a sustainable and resilient future. Several key prospects emerge as we peer into the horizon, each bearing profound implications for industry stakeholders, policymakers, and the global community.

The relentless march of technological innovation is poised to usher in transformative advancements in green technologies. Breakthroughs in carbon capture and storage, sustainable manufacturing processes, and energy-efficient technologies will likely redefine the decarbonization landscape. As these technologies become more sophisticated and economically viable, the transition towards low-carbon practices is expected to accelerate. A paradigm shift towards circular economy principles is on the horizon, emphasizing resource efficiency, waste reduction, and materials recycling. Industries are anticipated to embrace closed-loop systems, reducing dependency on finite resources and minimizing environmental impact. The circular economy framework aligns with decarbonization goals, fostering a holistic approach to sustainability.

The decentralization of energy systems and the rise of resilient and distributed energy networks is a notable prospect. Increased reliance on renewable energy sources, combined with advancements in energy storage technologies, is likely to render industries more self-sufficient and less vulnerable to centralized disruptions (Zhou, 2023). This decentralization trend aligns with the broader goals of sustainability and climate resilience. Anticipated shifts in global and regional regulatory frameworks will play a pivotal role in shaping the future of decarbonization. Governments will likely intensify efforts to strengthen carbon pricing mechanisms, tighten emission standards, and introduce incentives for sustainable practices. The convergence of regulatory approaches worldwide is expected to create a more level playing field for industries navigating the transition (Adil & Ko, 2016).

Integrating digital technologies, including AI and machine learning, holds transformative potential. Innovative manufacturing processes, data analytics for energy optimization, and AI-driven decision-making are poised to enhance efficiency and reduce energy consumption. The synergy between digitalization and decarbonization will likely redefine industrial processes in the coming years.

Recommendations for Sustainable Decarbonization Strategies

Drawing upon the insights from analyzing current trends and prospects, several recommendations emerge to guide the formulation and implementation of sustainable decarbonization strategies in energy-intensive industries.

- Policymakers should prioritize the development of holistic and stable policy frameworks that provide clarity and incentives for decarbonization. A comprehensive approach, encompassing carbon pricing, emissions standards, and support for green innovation, is essential to create an environment conducive to sustainable industrial development.
- Encouraging robust collaboration between the public and private sectors is imperative. Governments should foster partnerships with industry players, research institutions, and civil society to leverage collective expertise and resources. Collaborative initiatives can accelerate the adoption of innovative technologies and ensure that decarbonization strategies align with broader societal goals.
- Financial mechanisms that incentivize sustainable practices should be prioritized. Governments, financial institutions, and industry stakeholders should collaborate to create an ecosystem that rewards green

investments. This includes issuing green bonds, establishing sustainable finance incentives, and integrating environmental, social, and governance (ESG) considerations into investment decisions.

- A sustained commitment to research and development is essential to drive technological innovation. In collaboration with the private sector, governments should invest in R&D initiatives that focus on developing and scaling up green technologies. This includes support for research institutions, innovation hubs, and technology transfer programs.
- Recognizing the socio-economic implications of decarbonization, policymakers should adopt a just transition approach. This involves implementing measures to safeguard workers' livelihoods, providing reskilling and upskilling opportunities, and engaging in transparent communication with affected communities. A just transition ensures that the benefits of decarbonization are shared equitably across society.

7. Conclusions

In pursuing decarbonization within energy-intensive industries, our exploration of strategies, challenges, and prospects has unveiled a complex and dynamic landscape. As we conclude, it is evident that the imperative to reduce carbon emissions is not merely an environmental goal but a transformative journey that intertwines economic, social, and technological dimensions.

The comparative analysis across Canada, the United States, and Africa underscores the diversity of approaches and challenges, emphasizing the need for region-specific strategies. While commonalities in policy frameworks, technological innovation, and market-based solutions are apparent, each region's unique socio-economic contexts and infrastructural landscapes demand tailored interventions. Barriers, ranging from economic viability and technological adoption challenges to socio-economic impacts, highlight the intricacies of decarbonization. However, identifying these barriers is not a deterrent but a roadmap for targeted interventions. Enablers such as government leadership, technological innovation, international collaboration, and sustainable finance offer pathways to navigate these challenges successfully.

Looking ahead, the prospects of decarbonization paint a promising picture. Advancements in green technologies, the integration of circular economy principles, resilient energy systems, evolving regulatory frameworks, and the fusion of digitalization with decarbonization signal a transformative shift. These prospects, coupled with the recommendations for holistic policy frameworks, public-private collaboration, incentivizing sustainable finance, investing in research and development, and embracing a just transition, provide a blueprint for sustainable industrial development. In essence, the journey toward a sustainable industrial future is not just about mitigating environmental impact; it's about reshaping industries into resilient, innovative, and socially responsible entities. It calls for a collective commitment from governments, industries, and communities to embrace change, foster innovation, and ensure that the benefits of decarbonization are equitably distributed.

As we stand at the cusp of this transformative era, the key lies in translating knowledge into action. The challenges are formidable, but so too are the opportunities. Through collaborative efforts, visionary policies, and a steadfast commitment to sustainable practices, we can navigate the complexities of decarbonization, fostering a future where industries thrive in harmony with the planet. The journey toward a sustainable industrial end is not just a necessity but an imperative that beckons us to reimagine and build a world where progress coexists with environmental stewardship.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

- [1] Abu-Rumman, A. (2021). Effective Knowledge Sharing: A Guide to the Key Enablers and Inhibitors. In *Handbook of Research on Organizational Culture Strategies for Effective Knowledge Management and Performance* (pp. 133-156). IGI Global.
- [2] Adeniyi, O., Ngozichukwu, B., Adeniyi, M., Olutoye, M., Musa, U., & Ibrahim, M. (2020). Power generation from melon seed husk biochar using fuel cell. *Ghana Journal of Science*, 61(2), 38-44.

- [3] Adil, A. M., & Ko, Y. (2016). Socio-technical evolution of Decentralized Energy Systems: A critical review and implications for urban planning and policy. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 57, 1025-1037.
- [4] Anbumozhi, V. (2021). Mobilizing private finance for low-carbon energy transition. *Financing Clean Energy in Developing Asia*, 196.
- [5] Apiko, P., Woolfrey, S., & Byiers, B. (2020). The promise of the African Continental Free Trade Area (AfCFTA). *ECDPM Discussion Paper*, 287, 1-16.
- [6] Avila Calero, S. (2020). Decarbonizing the South. *Space, justice and politics at the renewable energy frontiers*.
- [7] Avila, S. (2021). Decarbonizing the South: space, justice and politics at the renewable energy frontiers.
- [8] Baietti, A., Shlyakhtenko, A., La Rocca, R., & Patel, U. D. (2012). *Green infrastructure finance: leading initiatives and research*. World Bank Publications.
- [9] Bataille, C., Melton, N., Stiebert, S., & Eng, P. The potential to decarbonize Canadian heavy industry.
- [10] Bergero, C., Binsted, M., Younis, O., Davies, E. G., Siddiqui, M.-S., Xing, R., Arbuckle, E. J., Chiappori, D. V., Fuhrman, J., & McJeon, H. (2022). Technology, technology, technology: An integrated assessment of deep decarbonization pathways for the Canadian oil sands. *Energy Strategy Reviews*, 41, 100804.
- [11] Bernstein, S., Brunnée, J., Duff, D., & Green, A. (2008). *A globally integrated climate policy for Canada*. University of Toronto Press.
- [12] Bhatnagar, S., & Sharma, D. (2022). Evolution of green finance and its enablers: A bibliometric analysis. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 162, 112405.
- [13] Bond, P. (2012). Emissions trading, new enclosures and eco-social contestation. *Antipode*, 44(3), 684-701.
- [14] Bouchene, L., Cassim, Z., Engel, H., Jayaram, K., & Kendall, A. (2021). Green Africa: A growth and resilience agenda for the continent. *McKinsey Sustainability*, 28, 28.
- [15] Bradshaw, M. (2013). Global energy dilemmas. *Polity*.
- [16] Breyer, C., Khalili, S., Bogdanov, D., Ram, M., Oyewo, A. S., Aghahosseini, A., Gulagi, A., Solomon, A., Keiner, D., & Lopez, G. (2022). On the history and future of 100% renewable energy systems research. *IEEE Access*, 10, 78176-78218.
- [17] Brooks, S. G., & Wohlforth, W. C. (2015). The rise and fall of the great powers in the twenty-first century: China's rise and the fate of America's global position. *International security*, 40(3), 7-53.
- [18] Brown, H., & Stigge, B. (2017). *Infrastructural ecologies: alternative development models for emerging economies*. MIT Press.
- [19] Bubna-Litic, K., & Stoianoff, N. P. (2014). Carbon pricing and renewable energy innovation: A comparison of Australian, British and Canadian carbon pricing policies. Bubna-Litic, Karen, Stoianoff, Natalie (2014)'Carbon Pricing and Renewable Energy Innovation: A Comparison of Australian, British, and Canadian Carbon Pricing Policies', *Environmental and Planning Law Journal*, 31(5), 368-384.
- [20] But, J. (2016). *Evaluation of Ontario's Cap and Trade Regulation*.
- [21] Chukwuemeka, N. S., Ugonna, A. P., Ugochukwu, O. B., Immaculata, E. N., Chiziterem, E. K., & Chukwubikem, O. P. (2023). The Challenges and Opportunities of Energy Transition across Africa. *International Journal of Environment and Climate Change*, 13(10), 4312-4339.
- [22] Devlin, J., & Devlin, J. C. (2010). *Challenges of economic development in the Middle East and North Africa region (Vol. 8)*. World Scientific.
- [23] Espert, V., Lechtenböhrer, S., Schneider, C., Vallentin, D., & Arnold, K. (2016). Platform climate protection and industry North-Rhine Westphalia: a multi stakeholder process for the advancement of energy efficiency and low-carbon technologies in energy intensive industries.
- [24] Feo, G. (2021). Does good ESG guarantee better financial performance? Sustainable finance evolution, challenges and investment perspectives: a quantitative analysis of the electric mobility sector.
- [25] Finley-Brook, M., & Holloman, E. L. (2016). Empowering energy justice. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 13(9), 926.

- [26] Gambhir, A., Green, F., & Pearson, P. J. (2018). Towards a just and equitable low-carbon energy transition. Grantham Institute Briefing Paper, 26.
- [27] Garud, R., & Karnøe, P. (2003). Bricolage versus breakthrough: distributed and embedded agency in technology entrepreneurship. *Research policy*, 32(2), 277-300.
- [28] Green, F., & Gambhir, A. (2020). Transitional assistance policies for just, equitable and smooth low-carbon transitions: who, what and how? *Climate Policy*, 20(8), 902-921.
- [29] Grin, J., Rotmans, J., & Schot, J. (2010). Transitions to sustainable development: new directions in the study of long term transformative change. Routledge.
- [30] Gunster, S., Fleet, D., & Neubauer, R. (2021). Challenging petro-nationalism: Another Canada is possible? *Journal of Canadian Studies*, 55(1), 57-87.
- [31] Hekkert, M. P., & Negro, S. O. (2009). Functions of innovation systems as a framework to understand sustainable technological change: Empirical evidence for earlier claims. *Technological forecasting and social change*, 76(4), 584-594.
- [32] Hübner, K. (2018). National pathways to low carbon emission economies: Innovation policies for decarbonizing and unlocking. Routledge.
- [33] Johnson-Potter, M. J. (2021). Congress at a Climate Crossroads: Legislative Pathways for Decarbonization in the United States.
- [34] Johnson, D., Pranada, E., Yoo, R., Uwadiunor, E., Ngozichukwu, B., & Djire, A. (2023). Review and Perspective on Transition Metal Electrocatalysts Toward Carbon-Neutral Energy. *Energy & Fuels*, 37(3), 1545-1576. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.energyfuels.2c03378>
- [35] Karsh, B. (2004). Beyond usability: designing effective technology implementation systems to promote patient safety. *Quality & safety in health care*, 13(5), 388.
- [36] Kolk, A., Van Tulder, R., & Kostwinder, E. (2008). Business and partnerships for development. *European Management Journal*, 26(4), 262-273.
- [37] Kuhlmann, K., & Agutu, A. L. (2019). The African Continental Free Trade Area: Toward a new legal model for trade and development. *Geo. J. Int'l L.*, 51, 753.
- [38] Matos, P. (2020). ESG and responsible institutional investing around the world: A critical review.
- [39] Mulugetta, Y., & Agbemabiese, L. (2019). Sustainable energy systems in Africa. *Transformational Infrastructure for Development of a Wellbeing Economy in Africa*, 14, 73.
- [40] Muzzerall, P. (2022). The cultural politics of a just transition in the Canadian Oil Sands University of British Columbia].
- [41] Naidoo, K. (2016). Green Economies and Environmental Markets. *The Green State in Africa*, 152.
- [42] Naidu, S., Haifang, L., Bhattacharya, S., Geda, A., Meskel, A. G., Draper, P., Disenyana, T., Modi, R., Axelsson, L., & Shaw, T. M. (2010). The rise of China and India in Africa: Challenges, opportunities and critical interventions.
- [43] Nurdiawati, A., & Urban, F. (2021). Towards deep decarbonisation of energy-intensive industries: A review of current status, technologies and policies. *Energies*, 14(9), 2408.
- [44] O'Dwyer, M., Filieri, R., & O'Malley, L. (2023). Establishing successful university–industry collaborations: barriers and enablers deconstructed. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, 48(3), 900-931.
- [45] Ockwell, D., & Byrne, R. (2016). Sustainable energy for all: Innovation, technology and pro-poor green transformations. Taylor & Francis.
- [46] Opoku, E. E. O., & Yan, I. K.-M. (2019). Industrialization as driver of sustainable economic growth in Africa. *The Journal of International Trade & Economic Development*, 28(1), 30-56.
- [47] Oyewunmi, T., Crossley, P., Sourgens, F. G., & Talus, K. (2020). Decarbonisation and the Energy Industry: Law, Policy and Regulation in Low-carbon Energy Markets. Bloomsbury Publishing.
- [48] Palleschi, C. (2022). Energy Security in a Decarbonized World: EU-Africa Cooperation for a Clean Resilient Future. In *Innovative Technologies and Renewed Policies for Achieving a Greener Defence* (pp. 69-83). Springer.

- [49] Peterson St-Laurent, G. (2018). Deliberating climate change mitigation options and policies in British Columbia's forests University of British Columbia].
- [50] Pisano, G. P., & Shih, W. C. (2012). Producing prosperity: Why America needs a manufacturing renaissance. Harvard Business Press.
- [51] Rauber, R., Coussy, P., & Freeman, C. (2022). Emissions trading. In Handbook of climate change mitigation and adaptation (pp. 3237-3294). Springer.
- [52] Rissman, J., Bataille, C., Masanet, E., Aden, N., Morrow III, W. R., Zhou, N., Elliott, N., Dell, R., Heeren, N., & Huckestein, B. (2020). Technologies and policies to decarbonize global industry: Review and assessment of mitigation drivers through 2070. *Applied energy*, 266, 114848.
- [53] Rogerson, C. (2018). *Local economic development in the changing world: The experience of Southern Africa*. Routledge.
- [54] Rosenbloom, D. (2019). *The Politics of Decarbonization Pathways: Responses, Conflicts, and the Transition to a Low-Carbon Energy Future* Carleton University].
- [55] Sovacool, B. K., Turnheim, B., Hook, A., Brock, A., & Martiskainen, M. (2021). Dispossessed by decarbonisation: Reducing vulnerability, injustice, and inequality in the lived experience of low-carbon pathways. *World Development*, 137, 105116.
- [56] Stephens, J. C., & Jiusto, S. (2010). Assessing innovation in emerging energy technologies: Socio-technical dynamics of carbon capture and storage (CCS) and enhanced geothermal systems (EGS) in the USA. *Energy Policy*, 38(4), 2020-2031.
- [57] Swilling, M. (2019). INCLUSIVE GOVERNANCE FOR SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT IN AFRICA. *DESTA MEBRATU & MARK SWILLING*, 261.
- [58] Tozer, L. (2019). The urban material politics of decarbonization in Stockholm, London and San Francisco. *Geoforum*, 102, 106-115.
- [59] Van Huijstee, M. M., Francken, M., & Leroy, P. (2007). Partnerships for sustainable development: A review of current literature. *Environmental sciences*, 4(2), 75-89.
- [60] Victor, E., & Great C, U. (2021). The Role of Alkaline/alkaline Earth Metal Oxides in CO2 Capture: A Concise Review. *Journal of Energy Research and Reviews*, 9(3), 46-64.
- [61] Wiertzema, H., Svensson, E., & Harvey, S. (2020). Bottom-up assessment framework for electrification options in energy-intensive process industries. *Frontiers in Energy Research*, 8, 192.
- [62] Wimbadi, R. W., & Djalante, R. (2020). From decarbonization to low carbon development and transition: A systematic literature review of the conceptualization of moving toward net-zero carbon dioxide emission (1995–2019). *Journal of cleaner production*, 256, 120307.
- [63] Wittneben, B. B., Okereke, C., Banerjee, S. B., & Levy, D. L. (2012). Climate change and the emergence of new organizational landscapes. *Organization Studies*, 33(11), 1431-1450.
- [64] Yankey, I. K. (2021). *The politics of carbon pricing in Canada: a case study of Ontario stakeholders participation and deliberation in Canada's federal carbon pricing policy process* Memorial University of Newfoundland].
- [65] Zhou, Y. (2023). Climate change adaptation with energy resilience in energy districts—A state-of-the-art review. *Energy and Buildings*, 279, 112649.
- [66] Zitelman, K., Ekmann, J., Huston, J., & Indrakanti, P. (2018). Carbon Capture, Utilization, and Sequestration: Technology and Policy Status and Opportunities.