International Journal of Science and Research Archive

eISSN: 2582-8185

Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/ijsra

Journal homepage: https://ijsra.net/

(Review Article)

Check for updates

A review on the innovative approaches to STEM education

Nancy Mohd Al Hamad [1], Ololade Elizabeth Adewusi [2], Chika Chioma Unachukwu [3], Blessing Osawaru [4] and Onyebuchi Nneamaka Chisom [5,*]

[1] *Bridge the Gap, Dubai UAE.*

[2] *Independent Researcher UK.*

[3] *Ministry of Education, Lagos.*

[4] *International School, Benin, Edo State, Nigeria.*

[5] *National Examinations Council, Nigeria.*

International Journal of Science and Research Archive, 2024, 11(01), 244–252

Publication history: Received on 01 December 2023; revised on 10 January 2024; accepted on 12 January 2024

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/ijsra.2024.11.1.0026

Abstract

This review delves into the dynamic terrain of STEM education, navigating classic techniques and novel pedagogies. It investigates the advantages and disadvantages of traditional approaches while highlighting new trends like project-based and inquiry-based learning, collaborative strategies, and technological integration. It stresses the importance of teacher professional development in enabling the implementation of these innovations. As STEM education grows, key future directions include incorporating emerging technology, inclusive practices, global collaboration, and ethical issues. Policymakers are advised to play a critical role in creating an environment that promotes innovation, equity, and lifelong learning. In essence, this review emphasizes STEM education's transformative journey, emphasizing its function in knowledge dissemination and in instilling vital skills for 21st-century issues.

Keywords: STEM Education; Innovative Pedagogies; Teacher Professional Development; Technology Integration; Future Directions

1. Introduction

In recent years, Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) education has emerged as a cornerstone in preparing individuals for the dynamic challenges of the 21st century (R. Elliott et al., 2022; Miller, 2015). The intertwining complexities of the modern world demand a workforce equipped with a deep understanding of STEM disciplines and the ability to think critically, solve problems creatively, and adapt swiftly to technological advancements. Traditional approaches to STEM education, while foundational, are being reexamined in light of the rapidly evolving educational landscape and the demands of a globally competitive society (N. R. Council, 2011; J. G. Wells, 2019).

The imperative to cultivate a STEM-literate populace is underscored by the realization that the solutions to many of the world's most pressing challenges, from climate change to public health crises, lie within the realms of science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (Collis, 2019; Shahidullah, 2016; Teeple, 2018). In response to this imperative, educators, policymakers, and researchers have increasingly turned their attention to innovative approaches that transcend the boundaries of conventional teaching methodologies.

This review aims to critically examine and synthesize the existing literature on innovative approaches to STEM education. By delving into the current discourse surrounding pedagogical advancements, technological integration, and interdisciplinary strategies, this paper aims to elucidate the transformative potential of these innovative methods. Through a comprehensive exploration of emerging trends, this review seeks to provide educators, researchers, and

* Corresponding author: Onyebuchi Nneamaka Chisom

policymakers with insights that can inform decision-making and foster continuous improvement in STEM education practices. The scope of this review encompasses a wide array of innovative approaches, ranging from project-based and inquiry-based learning to integrating technology and interdisciplinary teaching methods. By analyzing the strengths and weaknesses of traditional practices alongside the successes and challenges of emerging trends, we aim to paint a nuanced picture of the evolving landscape of STEM education.

Moreover, this review will explore the role of assessment methods, the importance of teacher professional development, and potential future directions to guide the ongoing evolution of STEM education. In navigating this comprehensive exploration, we strive to contribute to the discourse on effective STEM education, fostering a deeper understanding of how innovative approaches can shape students' learning experiences and better prepare them for the demands of an ever-changing world. Through this endeavor, we aim to provide a foundation for further research and policymaking, acknowledging the dynamic nature of education and the continual need for innovative solutions in STEM pedagogy.

Conventional methods of teaching STEM subjects have long been characterized by a didactic model, where instructors impart knowledge through lectures and textbooks, emphasizing rote memorization and standardized assessments (Martínez-Borreguero, Naranjo-Correa, & Mateos-Núñez, 2022; Morine-Dershimer & Kent, 1999). While these traditional approaches have provided a structured foundation, their limitations have become increasingly evident. Strengths lie in their ability to deliver content efficiently and uniformly across diverse student populations (Banks & Barlex, 2020). However, weaknesses include a lack of emphasis on critical thinking, hands-on application, and real-world problem-solving – crucial skills demanded by contemporary workplaces. The rigid compartmentalization of subjects within the STEM disciplines also impedes students' ability to recognize the interconnectedness of concepts (Asghar, Ellington, Rice, Johnson, & Prime, 2012; Caine & Caine, 1991).

Recent developments in STEM education have shifted towards more student-centered, experiential learning approaches (Keiler, 2018; Manduca et al., 2017). Project-based learning (PBL) has become a dynamic strategy, offering students opportunities to apply theoretical knowledge in real-world contexts (Kwietniewski, 2017; Li, Miller, & Krajcik, 2023). Inquiry-based learning (IBL) encourages curiosity-driven exploration, fostering a deeper understanding of STEM concepts through hands-on experimentation (Gaylor, 2017; Jacobides & Winterbottom, 2020). These approaches enhance content retention and cultivate critical thinking and problem-solving skills. Incorporating gamification, simulations, and interactive platforms has further enriched the learning experience, making it more engaging and relevant to students' lives.

Technology integration has revolutionized STEM instruction, transcending the confines of traditional teaching tools (Crippen & Archambault, 2012; Eugenijus, 2023). Digital tools, virtual labs, and online resources have democratized access to STEM education, breaking down geographical barriers and providing students with interactive, multimedia-rich learning experiences. Virtual simulations enable students to experiment in controlled environments, fostering a safe space for trial and error (Aldrich, 2009; Dede, 1995). However, challenges include the digital divide, where disparities in access to technology may exacerbate existing educational inequalities. Striking a balance between technological integration and equitable access becomes imperative for effective implementation (M. Chen, 2010; Magana, 2017).

Interdisciplinary approaches mark a departure from the siloed nature of traditional STEM education. Students are exposed to the interconnectedness of scientific principles, technological applications, engineering processes, and mathematical reasoning by integrating multiple disciplines. This holistic approach mirrors the collaborative nature of real-world problem-solving. It enhances students' ability to transfer knowledge across domains. Interdisciplinary projects, such as those combining biology and computer science or physics and environmental science, showcase the symbiotic relationships between STEM fields. However, challenges may arise regarding curriculum development, teacher collaboration, and the potential resistance to change within educational institutions (Chalmers, Carter, Cooper, & Nason, 2017; DeSutter & Stieff, 2017).

2. Pedagogical Innovations

2.1. Project-Based Learning (PBL)

PBL has emerged as a cornerstone in transforming STEM education by shifting the focus from passive knowledge acquisition to active, hands-on application. In PBL, students collaboratively engage in extended, real-world projects that require them to research, analyze, and synthesize information to solve complex problems. By contextualizing learning within authentic scenarios, PBL promotes a deeper understanding of STEM concepts and fosters critical thinking and problem-solving skills. The approach encourages autonomy and creativity as students take ownership of their learning journey, leading to increased motivation and a sense of accomplishment. However, challenges in implementing PBL include the need for substantial planning, coordination, and assessment strategies that align with project-based assessments (Elfarargy, 2016; France, 2015; Wang, Ratana-Olarn, & Sitthiworachart, 2023).

2.2. Inquiry-Based Learning (IBL)

IBL represents a paradigm shift in STEM education, emphasizing curiosity-driven exploration and problem-solving. Students are encouraged to ask questions, investigate phenomena, and construct their understanding of scientific principles. IBL fosters a spirit of inquiry, cultivating observation, experimentation, and analysis skills. This student-centric approach deepens conceptual understanding and nurtures a lifelong passion for learning. However, challenges may arise in balancing the structure needed for effective learning outcomes with the flexibility required to accommodate diverse learning styles. Successful implementation of IBL often involves skilled facilitation by educators, creating a supportive environment for students to explore and make meaningful connections between theoretical knowledge and real-world applications (Doorman & Winsløw, 2017; Gaylor, 2017; J. Wells, 2014).

2.3. Collaborative Learning

Collaborative learning emphasizes the importance of teamwork and peer interaction in STEM education. This approach recognizes that working effectively in a group is valuable in STEM fields and mirrors real-world collaborative practices. Group projects, problem-solving tasks, and interactive discussions are integral to collaborative learning. Students benefit from diverse perspectives and develop interpersonal skills, communication abilities, and an appreciation for collective problem-solving. However, challenges may arise in managing group dynamics, ensuring equitable participation, and assessing individual contributions. Effective collaborative learning requires a supportive learning environment, clear guidelines for teamwork, and facilitation strategies that promote meaningful engagement (Leopold & Smith, 2019; Pasani & Amelia, 2023; Scager, Boonstra, Peeters, Vulperhorst, & Wiegant, 2016).

3. Assessment Methods

3.1. Alternative Assessment Strategies

Traditional assessment methods like standardized tests may fall short in capturing the multifaceted skills developed through innovative pedagogies. Alternative assessment strategies, including portfolios, presentations, and performance-based assessments, offer a more comprehensive view of students' abilities. Portfolios, for example, allow students to showcase their projects, reflections, and creative works, providing a holistic representation of their learning journey. However, challenges lie in designing fair and reliable alternative assessments, ensuring alignment with learning objectives, and addressing potential subjectivity in evaluation. Integrating alternative assessments recognizes students' diverse talents and strengths, moving beyond a one-size-fits-all approach to evaluation (Y.-M. Chen, 2006; Maki, 2023).

3.2. Formative Assessment in STEM

Formative assessment is crucial in supporting ongoing learning and shaping instructional strategies. In STEM education, formative assessment involves continuous feedback, allowing educators to monitor student progress, identify misconceptions, and tailor instruction accordingly. Techniques such as quizzes, concept maps, and peer reviews provide real-time insights into student understanding. The iterative nature of formative assessment encourages a growth mindset, where students view mistakes as opportunities for learning and improvement. Challenges may arise in balancing the time required for formative assessments with content coverage and ensuring that feedback is timely and constructive. Successful implementation involves a responsive teaching approach, fostering a dynamic and adaptive learning environment (Clark, 2012; Furtak, Heredia, & Morrison, 2019; Moreno, 2018).

In summary, pedagogical innovations in STEM education reflect a shift towards learner-centered, experiential approaches that aim to cultivate subject-specific knowledge, critical thinking, collaboration, and adaptability. These innovative strategies recognize the multifaceted nature of STEM learning and seek to prepare students for the complex challenges of the future. As educators continue to explore and refine these pedagogical innovations, the potential for fostering a generation of STEM-literate individuals equipped with a holistic skill set becomes increasingly promising.

4. Teacher Professional Development

The success of innovative approaches to STEM education hinges on the expertise and adaptability of educators. Recognizing teachers' pivotal role in shaping students' learning experiences, there is an increasing emphasis on the importance of continuous professional development in STEM education. Teacher training goes beyond traditional methods and equips educators with the knowledge, skills, and pedagogical strategies to implement innovative approaches effectively. Professional development provides opportunities for educators to stay abreast of emerging trends, refine their instructional practices, and foster a growth mindset that embraces ongoing learning (Loucks-Horsley, Stiles, Mundry, Love, & Hewson, 2009; Zepeda, 2019).

While the importance of teacher professional development is evident, challenges exist in its implementation. Limited time, resources, and resistance to change are common barriers. Overcoming these challenges requires a multifaceted approach. First, recognizing and addressing the specific needs of educators is crucial. Tailored professional development programs that consider teachers' diverse backgrounds, experiences, and expertise can enhance their receptivity to new methodologies (Shernoff, Sinha, Bressler, & Ginsburg, 2017). Collaborative learning communities, where teachers share insights and best practices, create a supportive environment for ongoing development. Additionally, mentorship programs and peer observations foster a culture of continuous improvement (Antinluoma, Ilomäki, & Toom, 2021; Roberts & Pruitt, 2008).

Several models for ongoing teacher training have proven effective in the realm of STEM education:

4.1. Workshops and Seminars

- Short, targeted workshops and seminars provide teachers with focused training on specific aspects of innovative pedagogies or new technologies.
- Hands-on activities and collaborative sessions enable teachers to experience and practice the methods they employ in their classrooms (Keengwe & Georgina, 2012; Koehler, Mishra, & Yahya, 2007).

4.2. Online Courses and Webinars

- Web-based platforms offer flexibility for educators to engage in professional development at their own pace.
- Online courses and webinars provide access to a global community of educators, facilitating knowledge exchange and networking (M. Elliott, Rhoades, Jackson, & Mandernach, 2015; Pace, 2015).

4.3. Communities of Practice

- Establishing communities of practice within schools or districts encourages collaborative learning and shared problem-solving.
- Regular meetings, discussions, and collaborative projects allow teachers to learn from each other's experiences and expertise (Barab & Duffy, 2012; Trabona, Taylor, Klein, Munakata, & Rahman, 2019).

4.4. Action Research

- Encouraging teachers to conduct action research in their classrooms promotes a reflective and evidence-based approach to professional development.
- Teachers can explore the impact of innovative approaches on student learning, making adjustments based on their findings (Al-Mahdi, 2019; Colucci-Gray, Das, Gray, Robson, & Spratt, 2013; Strambler & McKown, 2013).

Effective teacher professional development directly correlates with positive outcomes in student learning. Research indicates that well-trained teachers are better equipped to implement innovative pedagogies, resulting in increased student engagement, improved academic performance, and the development of critical thinking skills (Luna Scott, 2015). The ripple effect of teacher professional development extends beyond individual classrooms, influencing school cultures and contributing to systemic improvements in STEM education. The need for responsive and dynamic teacher professional development becomes more pronounced as the STEM education landscape evolves. Future directions may involve integrating emerging technologies, such as virtual reality and artificial intelligence, into training programs. Additionally, fostering cross-disciplinary collaborations among educators from different STEM fields can enhance the sharing of effective practices and diverse perspectives. Continuous efforts to align professional development with evolving educational standards and research findings will be essential in preparing teachers for the challenges and opportunities that lie ahead.

In conclusion, teacher professional development stands at the forefront of fostering innovation in STEM education. By investing in the ongoing training and support of educators, educational institutions can ensure that innovative approaches are implemented effectively and have a lasting impact on student learning outcomes and the overall advancement of STEM education.

5. Future Directions

The future of STEM education is intricately linked to the integration of emerging technologies that have the potential to revolutionize the teaching and learning landscape. Virtual Reality (VR) and Augmented Reality (AR) offer immersive experiences that can transport students to inaccessible places, enabling them to explore complex concepts in unprecedented ways. Artificial Intelligence (AI) can personalize learning experiences, adapt to individual student needs, and provide targeted support. Using game elements in non-game contexts, gamification can enhance engagement and motivation. As these technologies continue to advance, their integration into STEM education promises to create dynamic, interactive, and personalized learning environments (AlGerafi, Zhou, Oubibi, & Wijaya, 2023; Ely, Shute, Baldwin, & Bazar, 2022; Papagiannis, 2017).

The future of STEM education is also profoundly intertwined with educational policies that shape curricula, funding, and the overall direction of educational institutions. Policymakers need to address the evolving needs of STEM education by fostering a supportive environment for innovation. This involves allocating resources for teacher professional development, updating curricula to reflect STEM fields' interdisciplinary and technological nature, and ensuring equitable access to cutting-edge resources. Policies should also encourage partnerships between educational institutions, industry, and research organizations to bridge the gap between academic learning and real-world applications (Bybee, 2013; Takeuchi, Sengupta, Shanahan, Adams, & Hachem, 2020; Taş, 2023).

Future directions in STEM education should prioritize inclusivity and equity. Efforts must be made to eliminate gender, socioeconomic, and racial disparities in STEM participation. This involves creating inclusive curricula highlighting diverse contributions to STEM fields, providing mentorship and role models, and addressing unconscious biases in educational materials. Technology can play a crucial role in democratizing access to STEM education. However, efforts are needed to ensure that all students, regardless of background, have equal opportunities to engage with and benefit from these resources.

The interconnected challenges facing the world require a global perspective in STEM education. Future directions should emphasize collaboration between educators, institutions, and students worldwide. Virtual collaborations, joint research projects, and international exchanges can expose students to diverse perspectives and approaches in STEM. Additionally, interdisciplinary learning should be further embedded in STEM education, reflecting the reality of contemporary problem-solving. This involves breaking down the traditional silos between science, technology, engineering, and mathematics to foster a holistic understanding of complex issues. The evolving nature of STEM fields demands a commitment to lifelong learning. Future directions in STEM education should emphasize developing adaptability, critical thinking, and continuous learning skills. Educational institutions and policymakers should support initiatives that provide opportunities for ongoing professional development for educators and encourage a culture of curiosity and exploration among students. Lifelong learning in STEM extends beyond formal education, encompassing informal learning opportunities, online courses, and industry certifications (Adelekea & Onyebuchib, 2023; Chidolue & Iqbal, 2023; T. A. Council & Council, 2014; Morgan-Klein & Osborne, 2007).

Given the increasing focus on environmental sustainability, future directions in STEM education should integrate ecological principles, sustainable practices, and environmental stewardship. This involves incorporating real-world environmental challenges into curricula to encourage students to develop solutions to climate change, pollution, and resource depletion. STEM education should empower students to become environmentally conscious citizens and professionals contributing to sustainable innovations. As technology advances, ethical considerations become paramount in STEM fields. Future directions in STEM education should strongly emphasize moral reasoning, responsible innovation, and the societal implications of technological advancements. Educators should foster a sense of ethical responsibility among students, guiding them to consider their work's social, cultural, and environmental impacts. Ethical considerations should be woven into the fabric of STEM curricula to ensure that future STEM professionals approach their work with a well-rounded understanding of its consequences (Elias, Zins, & Weissberg, 1997; Kim, 2023; Zeidler, Sadler, Simmons, & Howes, 2005).

6. Conclusion

In conclusion, the evolving landscape of STEM education reflects a transformative journey toward innovation, inclusivity, and adaptability. This review underscores the dynamic nature of STEM education through a comprehensive exploration of traditional and innovative pedagogies, technology integration, teacher professional development, and future directions. The conventional didactic model, while foundational, is being reshaped by project-based learning, inquiry-based learning, collaborative approaches, and the strategic infusion of technology. Interdisciplinary strategies have emerged as bridges connecting STEM disciplines, fostering a holistic understanding essential for real-world problem-solving.

Teacher professional development is a linchpin, ensuring educators can navigate this evolution and provide students with rich, experiential learning opportunities. Challenges in implementation, such as time constraints and resistance to change, must be met with targeted solutions, embracing tailored training models, collaborative communities, and mentorship programs. Looking ahead, the future of STEM education lies in the seamless integration of emerging technologies, global collaboration, environmental sustainability, and ethical considerations. Policymakers play a pivotal role in shaping an environment that supports innovation, equity, and lifelong learning. As STEM education evolves, it is not merely a conduit for knowledge transfer but a catalyst for cultivating critical thinking, adaptability, and a passion for exploration. By embracing these principles, we pave the way for a generation of STEM-literate individuals ready to address the complex challenges and opportunities that lie ahead in the ever-changing landscape of the 21st century.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

[1] Adelekea, I. J., & Onyebuchib, C. N. (2023). CHALLENGES TEACHERS EXPERIENCE IN TEACHING ENGLISH SECOND LANGUAGE IN SECONDARY SCHOOLS IN THE NORTHWEST PROVINCE.

[2] Al-Mahdi, O. (2019). Action research and teachers' professional development: Examples and reflections. *International Educational Research, 2*(3), p37-p37.

[3] Aldrich, C. (2009). *Learning online with games, simulations, and virtual worlds: Strategies for online instruction*: John Wiley & Sons.

[4] AlGerafi, M. A., Zhou, Y., Oubibi, M., & Wijaya, T. T. (2023). Unlocking the potential: A comprehensive evaluation of augmented reality and virtual reality in education. *Electronics, 12*(18), 3953.

[5] Antinluoma, M., Ilomäki, L., & Toom, A. (2021). *Practices of professional learning communities.* Paper presented at the Frontiers in education.

[6] Asghar, A., Ellington, R., Rice, E., Johnson, F., & Prime, G. M. (2012). Supporting STEM education in secondary science contexts. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-Based Learning, 6*(2), 4.

[7] Banks, F., & Barlex, D. (2020). *Teaching STEM in the secondary school: Helping teachers meet the challenge*: Routledge.

[8] Barab, S. A., & Duffy, T. (2012). From practice fields to communities of practice. In *Theoretical foundations of learning environments* (pp. 29-65): Routledge.

[9] Bybee, R. W. (2013). The case for STEM education: Challenges and opportunities.

[10] Caine, R. N., & Caine, G. (1991). Making connections: Teaching and the human brain.

[11] Chalmers, C., Carter, M., Cooper, T., & Nason, R. (2017). Implementing "big ideas" to advance the teaching and learning of science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM). *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education, 15*, 25-43.

[12] Chen, M. (2010). *Education nation: Six leading edges of innovation in our schools*: John Wiley & Sons.

[13] Chen, Y.-M. (2006). EFL instruction and assessment with portfolios: A case study in Taiwan. *Asian EFL Journal, 8*(1), 69-96.

[14] Chidolue, O., & Iqbal, M. T. (2023). Design and Performance Analysis of an Oil Pump Powered by Solar for a Remote Site in Nigeria. *European Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, 7*(1), 62-69.

[15] Clark, I. (2012). Formative assessment: Assessment is for self-regulated learning. *Educational psychology review, 24*, 205-249.

[16] Collis, N. (2019). *Understanding Stakeholders' Perspectives during a Pedagogical Cultural Transition to Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics Project-based Learning: A Qualitative Case Study.* Northcentral University,

[17] Colucci-Gray, L., Das, S., Gray, D., Robson, D., & Spratt, J. (2013). Evidence-based practice and teacher action-research: a reflection on the nature and direction of 'change'. *British Educational Research Journal, 39*(1), 126-147.

[18] Council, N. R. (2011). *Successful K-12 STEM education: Identifying effective approaches in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics*: National Academies Press.

[19] Council, T. A., & Council, N. R. (2014). *STEM learning is everywhere: Summary of a convocation on building learning systems*: National Academies Press.

[20] Crippen, K. J., & Archambault, L. (2012). Scaffolded inquiry-based instruction with technology: A signature pedagogy for STEM education. *Computers in the Schools, 29*(1-2), 157-173.

[21] Dede, C. (1995). The evolution of constructivist learning environments: Immersion in distributed, virtual worlds. *Educational technology, 35*(5), 46-52.

[22] DeSutter, D., & Stieff, M. (2017). Teaching students to think spatially through embodied actions: Design principles for learning environments in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics. *Cognitive research: principles and implications, 2*, 1-20.

[23] Doorman, L., & Winsløw, C. (2017). How to pursue inquiry-based mathematics teaching.

[24] Elfarargy, H. A. (2016). Investigating project-based learning (PBL) in a STEM school in Egypt: A case study.

[25] Elias, M. J., Zins, J., & Weissberg, R. P. (1997). *Promoting social and emotional learning: Guidelines for educators*: Ascd.

[26] Elliott, M., Rhoades, N., Jackson, C. M., & Mandernach, B. J. (2015). Professional development: Designing initiatives to meet the needs of online faculty. *Journal of Educators online, 12*(1), n1.

[27] Elliott, R., Loh, C. G., Psenka, C. E., Lewis, J. M., Kim, K.-Y., Haapala, K. R., . . . Okudan Kremer, G. E. (2022). Advancing transformative STEM learning: Converging perspectives from education, social science, mathematics, and engineering. *Journal of Integrated Design and Process Science*(Preprint), 1-22.

[28] Ely, D., Shute, A., Baldwin, S., & Bazar, L. (2022). Virtual reality as applied to the fields of education, transportation and exploration. *International Journal of Student Project Reporting, 1*(2), 123-142.

[29] Eugenijus, L. (2023). Integrating Blended Learning and STEM Education: Innovative Approaches to Promote Interdisciplinary Learning. *Research and Advances in Education, 2*(9), 20-36.

[30] France, T. (2015). *Project-based learning in a high school engineering program: A case study.* University of Colorado at Boulder,

[31] Furtak, E. M., Heredia, S. C., & Morrison, D. (2019). Formative assessment in science education: Mapping a shifting terrain. In *Handbook of formative assessment in the disciplines* (pp. 97-125): Routledge.

[32] Gaylor, K. L. (2017). *High School Science Teachers' Attitudes Toward, Beliefs About, and Implementation of Inquiry-Based Science Methods.* Mississippi College,

[33] Jacobides, E. M., & Winterbottom, M. (2020). Tinkering with technology education. *The Impact of Technology Education: International Insights, 3*, 117.

[34] Keengwe, J., & Georgina, D. (2012). The digital course training workshop for online learning and teaching. *Education and Information Technologies, 17*, 365-379.

[35] Keiler, L. S. (2018). Teachers' roles and identities in student-centered classrooms. *International journal of STEM education, 5*, 1-20.

[36] Kim, A. (2023). Restructuring pedagogical norms in STEM: Towards a socially and ethically conscious approach to Computer Science higher education.

[37] Koehler, M. J., Mishra, P., & Yahya, K. (2007). Tracing the development of teacher knowledge in a design seminar: Integrating content, pedagogy and technology. *Computers & Education, 49*(3), 740-762.

[38] Kwietniewski, K. (2017). Literature review of project based learning.

[39] Leopold, H., & Smith, A. (2019). Implementing reflective group work activities in a large chemistry lab to support collaborative learning. *Education Sciences, 10*(1), 7.

[40] Li, T., Miller, E. A., & Krajcik, J. S. (2023). Theory into practice: Supporting knowledge-in-use through project-based learning. In *Fostering science teaching and learning for the fourth industrial revolution and beyond* (pp. 1-35): IGI Global.

[41] Loucks-Horsley, S., Stiles, K. E., Mundry, S., Love, N., & Hewson, P. W. (2009). *Designing professional development for teachers of science and mathematics*: Corwin press.

[42] Luna Scott, C. (2015). The Futures of Learning 3: What kind of pedagogies for the 21st century?

[43] Magana, S. (2017). *Disruptive classroom technologies: A framework for innovation in education*: Corwin Press.

[44] Maki, P. L. (2023). *Assessing for learning: Building a sustainable commitment across the institution*: Routledge.

[45] Manduca, C. A., Iverson, E. R., Luxenberg, M., Macdonald, R. H., McConnell, D. A., Mogk, D. W., & Tewksbury, B. J. (2017). Improving undergraduate STEM education: The efficacy of discipline-based professional development. *Science Advances, 3*(2), e1600193.

[46] Martínez-Borreguero, G., Naranjo-Correa, F. L., & Mateos-Núñez, M. (2022). Development of stem instructional resources for teaching optics to teachers-in-training: Influence on learning and teacher self-efficacy. *Education Sciences, 12*(3), 186.

[47] Miller, R. K. (2015). Why the hard science of engineering is no longer enough to meet the 21st century challenges. *Olin College of engineering*, 77-94.

[48] Moreno, K. A. (2018). *Stem Educators' Integration of Formative Assessment in Teaching and Lesson Design.* College of Saint Elizabeth,

[49] Morgan-Klein, B., & Osborne, M. (2007). *The concepts and practices of lifelong learning*: Routledge.

[50] Morine-Dershimer, G., & Kent, T. (1999). The complex nature and sources of teachers' pedagogical knowledge. In *Examining pedagogical content knowledge: The construct and its implications for science education* (pp. 21-50): Springer.

[51] Pace, C. L. (2015). Re-imagining professional development: A study of teacher educators' integration of a web seminar series as a component of university-based teacher education.

[52] Papagiannis, H. (2017). *Augmented human: How technology is shaping the new reality*: " O'Reilly Media, Inc.".

[53] Pasani, C. F., & Amelia, R. (2023). Developing Collaborative Skills through STEM Approach.

[54] Roberts, S. M., & Pruitt, E. Z. (2008). *Schools as professional learning communities: Collaborative activities and strategies for professional development*: Corwin Press.

[55] Scager, K., Boonstra, J., Peeters, T., Vulperhorst, J., & Wiegant, F. (2016). Collaborative learning in higher education: Evoking positive interdependence. *CBE—Life Sciences Education, 15*(4), ar69.

[56] Shahidullah, K. K. (2016). *Teacher perceptions of inquiry and STEM education in Bangladesh*: University of Nevada, Reno.

[57] Shernoff, D. J., Sinha, S., Bressler, D. M., & Ginsburg, L. (2017). Assessing teacher education and professional development needs for the implementation of integrated approaches to STEM education. *International journal of STEM education, 4*, 1-16.

[58] Strambler, M. J., & McKown, C. (2013). Promoting student engagement through evidence-based action research with teachers. *Journal of Educational and Psychological Consultation, 23*(2), 87-114.

[59] Takeuchi, M. A., Sengupta, P., Shanahan, M.-C., Adams, J. D., & Hachem, M. (2020). Transdisciplinarity in STEM education: A critical review. *Studies in Science Education, 56*(2), 213-253.

[60] Taş, E. (2023). Data literacy education through university-industry collaboration. *Information and Learning Sciences*.

[61] Teeple, J. E. (2018). *A Philosophical Analysis of STEM Education.* The Ohio State University,

[62] Trabona, K., Taylor, M., Klein, E. J., Munakata, M., & Rahman, Z. (2019). Collaborative professional learning: Cultivating science teacher leaders through vertical communities of practice. *Professional development in education, 45*(3), 472-487.

[63] Wang, X., Ratana-Olarn, T., & Sitthiworachart, J. (2023). STEM Education with Flipped Classroom Model to enhance the Microcontroller Application Achievement and Innovative Thinking Ability. *Pakistan Journal of Life & Social Sciences, 21*(2).

[64] Wells, J. (2014). Developing argumentation in mathematics: The role of evidence and context.

[65] Wells, J. G. (2019). STEM education: The potential of technology education. In: Council on Technology and Engineering Teacher Education.

[66] Zeidler, D. L., Sadler, T. D., Simmons, M. L., & Howes, E. V. (2005). Beyond STS: A research-based framework for socioscientific issues education. *Science education, 89*(3), 357-377.

[67] Zepeda, S. J. (2019). *Professional development: What works*: Routledge.