

International Journal of Science and Research Archive

eISSN: 2582-8185 Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/ijsra Journal homepage: https://ijsra.net/



(RESEARCH ARTICLE)

Check for updates

Development and validation of an HPLC method for the simultaneous estimation of salbutamol, theophylline and ambroxol in tablet dosage form

Sravani Ratnam Arji ^{1, *}, Sarma SRS Eranki ¹, Anitha Kadimi ², Prakash Nathaniel Kumar Sarella ³ and Vinny Therissa Mangam ⁴

¹ Department of Chemistry, Government Degree College, Seethanagaram, Andhra Pradesh, India.

² Department of Chemistry, Satyadevi Goverment Degree College for Women (A), Kakinada, Andhra Pradesh, India.

³ Department of Pharmaceutics, Aditya College of Pharmacy, Surampalem, Andhra Pradesh, India.

⁴ Department of Pharmaceutical Analysis, Aditya College of Pharmacy, Surampalem, Andhra Pradesh, India.

International Journal of Science and Research Archive, 2023, 10(02), 634–645

Publication history: Received on 19 October 2023; revised on 03 December 2023; accepted on 06 December 2023

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/ijsra.2023.10.2.0983

Abstract

A simple, accurate and precise reverse phase high performance liquid chromatographic (RP-HPLC) method has been developed and validated for the simultaneous estimation of Salbutamol, Theophylline and Ambroxol in pharmaceutical formulations. The chromatographic separation was achieved on a Inertsil ODS-3V ($250 \times 4.6 \text{ mm}, 5\mu$) column using a mobile phase consisting of phosphate buffer (pH 3.0): acetonitrile in the ratio of 55:45 v/v at a flow rate of 1 mL/min. Detection was carried out at 225 nm. The retention times for Salbutamol, Theophylline and Ambroxol were found to be 2.317, 3.808 and 5.863 min respectively. The proposed method was validated as per the ICH guidelines and was found to be linear in the concentration range of 0.5-3.0 µg/mL, 25-150 µg/mL and 7.5-45 µg/mL for Salbutamol, Theophylline and Ambroxol respectively with correlation coefficients greater than 0.999. The developed method was found to be precise, accurate, robust and specific. Forced degradation studies proved the stability-indicating capability of the developed HPLC method. The developed method can be successfully applied for the routine analysis of Salbutamol, Theophylline and Ambroxol in pharmaceutical dosage forms.

Keywords: HPLC; Salbutamol; Theophylline; Ambroxol; Validation; Simultaneous estimation; Tablet dosage form

1. Introduction

Salbutamol (SAL), chemically known as 4-[2-(tert-Butylamino)-1-hydroxyethyl]-2-(hydroxymethyl)phenol [1] is a beta-2 adrenergic receptor agonist used as a bronchodilator in the treatment of asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). Theophylline (THE) or 1,3-dimethyl-2,3,6,7-tetrahydro-1H-purine-2,6-dione is a methylxanthine drug which acts as a bronchodilator by relaxing smooth muscles in the airways of the lungs. It is used for the treatment of bronchoconstriction in conditions like asthma and COPD [2]. Ambroxol (AMB), chemically known as trans-4-(2-Amino-3,5-dibrombenzylamino)-cyclohexanol, is an expectorant drug used for the treatment of respiratory diseases associated with viscous or sticky mucus [3]. It helps in clearing mucus from the respiratory tract and reducing cough.

SAL, THE and AMB have synergistic effects when used in combination. SAL acts as a bronchodilator to relax airway smooth muscles, THE enhances mucociliary clearance and relaxes bronchial smooth muscles while AMB reduces viscosity of respiratory secretions and facilitates their clearance. A fixed dose combination of these three drugs helps in providing effective relief from symptoms like bronchospasm, breathlessness and excess mucus production in conditions like asthma and COPD [3]. Several analytical methods have been reported for the estimation of these drugs individually

Copyright © 2023 Author(s) retain the copyright of this article. This article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Liscense 4.0.

^{*} Corresponding author: Sravani Ratnam Arji

or in combination using techniques like UV spectrophotometry and high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) [4]–[6]. However, a simultaneous estimation method for all three drugs in a single run is not available which can be useful for quality control analysis of fixed dose combination products.

The current research was aimed to develop a simple, accurate, precise and rapid reverse phase HPLC method for the simultaneous estimation of SAL, THE and AMB in pharmaceutical formulations. The developed method was thoroughly validated as per ICH guidelines and applied for analysis of marketed fixed dose combination tablets containing these three drugs. Forced degradation studies were also performed to demonstrate the stability-indicating ability of the method.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Materials

Pharmaceutical grade standards of SAL, THE and AMB were received as generous gifts from Spectrum Pharma Research Labs, Hyderabad. Tablet formulation containing 2 mg SAL, 100 mg THE and 30 mg AMB (Ambrolite-ST) was procured from a local pharmacy. HPLC grade acetonitrile and analytical grade potassium dihydrogen phosphate were used to prepare the mobile phase. Milli-Q water was used throughout the analysis.

2.2. Instrumentation

The HPLC system comprised of a Waters alliance model 2695 separation module with 2996 PDA detector. Data was acquired and processed using Empower-2 software. Analysis was performed on a Inertsil ODS-3V (250 x 4.6 mm, 5μ) column

2.3. Method development

2.3.1. Chromatographic Conditions

The mobile phase consisting of phosphate buffer (pH 3): acetonitrile in the ratio 55:45 v/v was delivered at a flow rate of 1.0 mL/min. The mobile phase was filtered through 0.45μ membrane filter and degassed in an ultrasonicator prior to use [7]. Isocratic elution was performed at ambient temperature with detection wavelength set at 225 nm. The injection volume was 10 μ L.

2.3.2. Standard and Sample Preparation

Standard stock solutions of SAL (20 μ g/mL), THE (1000 μ g/mL) and AMB (300 μ g/mL) were prepared by dissolving appropriate amounts of each drug in suitable solvent. Working standards in the concentration range of 0.5-3.0 μ g/mL for SAL, 25-150 μ g/mL for THE and 7.5-45 μ g/mL for AMB were prepared by diluting the stock solutions. Tablet powder equivalent to average tablet weight was extracted and suitably diluted to obtain sample stock solution. Further dilutions were made to obtain sample solution [8].

2.3.3. Standard and Sample Preparation

Standard stock solutions of SAL (20 μ g/mL), THE (1000 μ g/mL) and AMB (300 μ g/mL) were prepared by dissolving appropriate amounts of each drug in minimum volume of methanol, sonicating for 30 min and diluting up to the mark with mobile phase [9].

Working standards containing 0.5-3.0 μ g/mL of SAL, 25-150 μ g/mL of THE and 7.5-45 μ g/mL of AMB were prepared by diluting the stock solutions with mobile phase.

For sample preparation, tablet powder equivalent to one tablet was weighed and extracted in 100 mL of methanol by shaking for 30 min, sonicating for 20 min and centrifuging at 5000 rpm for 10 min. Supernatant was collected and diluted suitably to obtain a sample stock solution containing equivalent concentrations of 20 μ g/mL SAL, 1000 μ g/mL THE and 300 μ g/mL AMB.

2.3.4. System Suitability

System suitability was performed by injecting working standard solution and analyzing system performance parameters like theoretical plates, tailing factor and retention time. Criteria like %RSD of retention time \leq 2 and resolution between drug peaks \geq 2 were applied [10].

2.4. Method Validation

2.4.1. Linearity and Range

Linearity of the method was evaluated by preparing calibration standards of SAL (0.5-3 μ g/mL), THE (25-150 μ g/mL) and AMB (7.5-45 μ g/mL) in triplicate. The peak area vs concentration data was treated by linear least square regression analysis. Calibration curves were constructed by plotting average peak area versus concentration of each analyte. The coefficient of regression (r²) was calculated for each compound [11].

2.4.2. Precision

The precision of the method was established by carrying out intraday and interday analysis of quality control samples. For intraday precision, QC samples at three different concentration levels were analyzed in triplicate on the same day. Interday precision was checked by analyzing QC samples on three different days over a period of one week. The %RSD of three concentrations was calculated for both intraday and interday studies [12].

2.4.3. Accuracy

The accuracy of the method was determined by spiking extra standards of known concentrations into pre-analyzed sample solutions at three levels (50, 100 and 150% of target QC concentration). The percentage recoveries and %RSD at each level were calculated [13].

2.4.4. Specificity

The specificity of the method was ascertained by analyzing standard drug and sample solutions. The purity of peaks of all three analytes were checked by measuring peak purity testing using PDA detector in the developed method [14], [15].

2.4.5. Robustness

To evaluate robustness of the developed method, experimental conditions were deliberately changed and their influence was observed on various method parameters like retention time, tailing factor and theoretical plates. The flow rate of mobile phase was changed by 0.1 mL/min from 1.0 mL/min. Percent organic composition in the mobile phase was varied $\pm 2\%$. Effect of pH on resolution was also studied [16].

2.4.6. Limit of Detection and Quantification

The limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ) were calculated based on standard deviation of the response and slope of the calibration curve at levels approximating to the LOD and LOQ as per ICH guidelines [10].

2.4.7. Forced Degradation Studies

Forced degradation was performed under various ICH recommended conditions like acid/base hydrolysis, oxidation, photolysis and thermal stress [10]. Degradation samples were analyzed at specified time points and areas of peaks for drugs and degradation products were monitored.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Optimized chromatographic conditions

The RP-HPLC method for simultaneous determination of Salbutamol, Theophylline, and Ambroxol underwent systematic optimization. Various parameters, including columns, buffers, buffer pH, organic phase composition, organic modifiers, and flow rates, were individually optimized. The final method employed a mobile phase consisting of a 55:45 ratio of Phosphate buffer to Acetonitrile, delivered at a flow rate of 1.0 mL/min, with a 10-minute run time. Equilibration of the column with the mobile phase was performed for 30 minutes prior to sample injection, and detection occurred at a wavelength of 225 nm. The optimized parameters are shown in Table 1.

| Parameter | Description |
|------------------------|--|
| Column | An Inertsi10DS— 3V column (250 x 4.6 mm i_d; particle size - 5 micron) |
| Mobile Phase and Mode | Phosphate buffer. Acetonitrile in the ratio of 55:45 v/v %in a isocratic mode |
| Diluent | Drugs were dissolved in methanol and the volume was made up with the phosphate buffer solution |
| Flow Rate | 1 ml/min |
| Run time | 11 min |
| Injection Volume | 10 μl |
| Column temperature | 30°C |
| Detection & Wavelength | 2996 PDA-detector and 225 nm |
| Retention time | 2.317 min for Salbutamol, 3.808min for Theophylline and 5.863min for Ambroxol |

Table 1 Optimized chromatographic conditions of the proposed method

3.2. Chromatograms and Method Validity



Figure 1 a Chromatogram of blank solution b. Chromatogram of the working standard solution, c. Chromatogram of the drug product

Figure 1a shows the chromatogram of blank solution while 1b displays the chromatogram of the working standard solution, and Figure 1c illustrates the chromatogram of the drug product. The isocratic HPLC method, with an 11-minute run time, effectively separated the three compounds. Blank samples confirmed no interference from excipients. The reproducibility and short run time make this method suitable for routine analysis of pharmaceutical products [8].

3.3. Assay of Formulation

To assess the % purity of the pharmaceutical formulation, 10 μ L of working standard and sample solutions were individually injected and analyzed under optimized chromatographic conditions. The % assay results are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2 Results of Assay Ambrolite-ST

| Drug | Salbutamol | Theophylline | Ambroxol |
|-------------------|------------|--------------|----------|
| Label claim (mg) | 2 | 100 | 30 |
| Amount found (mg) | 1.99 | 100.19 | 29.90 |
| % Assay | 99.61 | 100.19 | 99.67 |
| Limits | 98-102% | | |

3.4. System Suitability

System performance parameters like theoretical plates, tailing factor and retention time for standard mixtures were evaluated as per USP guidelines and are presented in Table 3. All values were within acceptable limits indicating suitability of the system for intended analysis.

Table 3 System suitability test parameters of the proposed method

| S. No | Parameters | Salbutamol | Theophylline | Ambroxol | Limits |
|-------|-------------------------------|------------|--------------|----------|-----------------|
| 1 | Relative retention time (min) | 2.57 | 4.15 | 6.32 | |
| 2 | % RSD of Retention Time | 0.42 | 0.23 | 0.175 | Not more than 2 |
| 3 | Peak Area | 149635.2 | 2882163 | 670507 | |
| 4 | % RSD of Peak area | 0.30 | 0.34 | 0.25 | Not more than 2 |
| 5 | Theoretical plates | 4505 | 9588 | 8271 | More than 2000 |
| 6 | Tailing factor | 1.29 | 1.16 | 1.15 | Less than 2 |
| 7 | Resolution | - | > 2 | >2 | More than 2 |

3.5. Method Validation

3.5.1. Linearity and Range

Calibration curves were plotted over the concentration range of 0.5-3.0 μ g/mL for SAL, 25-150 μ g/mL for THE and 7.5-45 μ g/mL for AMB. The correlation coefficients were found to be >0.999 indicating excellent linearity as shown in Figure 2 and Tables 4.

| S.No | Conc. Salbutamol µg/mL | of Salb in area | utamol | Conc. Theophylline µg/mL | of in | Theophylline area | Conc. of Ambroxol in μg/mL | Ambroxol Area |
|----------------|------------------------------|--------------------|--------|--------------------------------|----------|----------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|
| 1 | 0.5 | 3366 | 51 | 25 | | 723116 | 7.5 | 155685 |
| 2 | 1 | 7261 | 1 | 50 | | 1356285 | 15 | 315833 |
| 3 | 1.5 | 1.5 108892 | | 75 | | 2103704 | 22.5 | 484073 |
| 4 | 2 | 1412 | 279 | 100 | | 2700836 | 30 | 630290 |
| 5 | 2.5 173083 | |)83 | 125 | | 3478029 | 37.5 | 779708 |
| 6 | 3 | 2109 | 977 | 150 | | 4146869 | 45 | 937413 |
| Linea | rity Range | 0.5-3 | βµg/mL | 25-150 μg mL | | 7.5-45 μg/mL | | |
| Slope | | 7003 | 31 | 27564 | | 564 20832 | | |
| Intercept | | 738. | 7 | 5371 | | 3135 | | |
| r ² | | 0.99 | 9 | 0.999 | | | 0.999 | |



Figure 3 Chromatograms to report the Linearity of Salbutamol, Theophylline and Ambroxol at a. 25%, b. 50% c. 75% d. 100% e. 125% f. 150% working standard solutions

Table 4 Linearity results of salbutamol, theophylline and ambroxol

3.6. Precision

Repeatability of sample application and measurement of peak area were evaluated using 6 replicates of sample solution. %RSD values for retention time and area were within 2% demonstrating excellent precision of the method as shown in Tables 5 and 6.

| Injectio | Salbutamol | | Theophylline | | Ambroxol | | |
|----------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------------|--------------|-----------------------|--------------|--|
| n | Retention Time in min | Peak Area | Retention Time in min | Peak Area | Retention Time in min | Peak Area | |
| 1 | 2.569 | 149829 | 4.146 | 2879298 | 6.313 | 670890 | |
| 2 | 2.57 | 149344 | 4 146 | 2863773 | 6.315 | 669669 | |
| 3 | 2.57 | 149089 | 4 147 | 2883619 | 6.324 | 667484 | |
| 4 | 2.584 | 149498 | 4 158 | 2886673 | 6.327 | 670983 | |
| 5 | 2.585 | 149627 | 4.161 | 2890447 | 6.334 | 671625 | |
| 6 | 2.596 | 150424 | 4.169 | 2889170 | 6.342 | 672391 | |
| Mean | 2.579 | 149635.2 | 4.1545 | 2882163 | 6.325833 | 670507 | |
| Std. dev | 0.01 | 460.82 | 0 | 9864.91 | 0.01 | 1732.82 | |
| %RSD | 0.42 | 0.30 | 0.23 | 0.34 | 0.17 | 0.25 | |

Table 5 Precision of the developed method using working standard solution

Table 6 Intraday Precision of working sample solution (Ambrolite-ST)

| Sample Preparations | %Assay | | | | | |
|---------------------|------------|--------------|----------|--|--|--|
| | Salbutamol | Theophylline | Ambroxol | | | |
| Sample-1 | 98.42 | 100.48 | 99.99 | | | |
| Sample-2 | 98.78 | 99.03 | 101.23 | | | |
| Sample-3 | 100.23 | 98.42 | 100.75 | | | |
| Sample-4 | 100.72 | 100.63 | 99.33 | | | |
| Sample-5 | 100.09 | 99.59 | 100.14 | | | |
| Sample-6 | 99.8 | 99.49 | 99.67 | | | |
| AVG | 99.67 | 99.61 | 100.19 | | | |
| S.D | 0.89 | 0.845 | 0.70 | | | |
| %RSD | 0.89 | 0.85 | 0.70 | | | |

3.7. Accuracy

Accuracy was determined by calculating mean recovery of the drugs at three concentration levels (50%, 100% and 150%). Average recovery was found in the range of 98-102% indicating high accuracy of proposed method as presented in Table 7.

| % | Salbutamol | | | Theophylline | | | Ambroxol | | |
|---------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------|
| Conc | Amount Added (µg/ml) | Amount f ound (µg/ml) | % Reco- very | Amount Added (µg/ml) | Amount found (µglntl) | % Recovery | Amount Added (µg/ml) | Amount found (µg/ml) | % Recovery |
| 50 | Ι | 0.98 | 98.77 | 50 | 49.47 | 98.96 | 15 | 15.12 | 100.86 |
| 50 | Ι | 0.99 | 99.29 | 50 | 50.56 | 101.13 | 15 | 14.87 | 99.19 |
| 50 | 1 | 1.00 | 100.85 | 50 | 49.80 | 99.62 | 15 | 15.12 | 100.87 |
| 100 | 2 | 1.98 | 99.26 | 100 | 99.00 | 99.01 | 30 | 30.25 | 100.84 |
| 100 | 2 | 2.01 | 100.77 | 100 | 100.53 | 100.53 | 30 | 29.85 | 99.50 |
| 100 | 2 | 2.00 | 100.28 | 100 | 101.55 | 101.56 | 30 | 29.78 | 99.27 |
| 150 | 3 | 2.98 | 99.41 | 150 | 149.49 | 99.66 | 45 | 45.25 | 100.57 |
| 150 | 3 | 2.96 | 98.69 | 150 | 150.83 | 100.56 | 45 | 45.40 | 100.90 |
| 150 | 3 | 3.03 | 101.14 | 150 | 151.47 | 100.98 | 45 | 44.42 | 98.73 |
| Average | 99.83 | | 99.83 | | 100.08 | | | | |
| SD | 0.941 | | | 0.941 | | | 0.890 | | |
| RSD | 0.939 | | | 0.939 | | | 0.889 | | |

 Table 7 Recovery studies of Salbutamol, Theophylline and Ambroxlin tablet dosage form

3.8. Specificity

Specificity of the method was ascertained by good separation of all three drugs from placebo peaks. No interference of excipients was observed in chromatograms of sample solution indicating specific nature of the method.

3.9. Robustness

Method robustness was demonstrated by deliberately changing chromatographic conditions like flow rate (± 0.1 mL/min), organic phase composition ($\pm 2\%$) and detection wavelength (± 2 nm). No marked changes in system suitability parameters were observed as shown in Tables 8-10 indicating robustness of method.

Table 8 Results of robustness by variations in flow rate, column temperature, Mobile phase composition of Salbutamol

| SI. No. | Parameter | Used | Peak Area | Retention Time (min) | Plate count | Tailing Factor |
|----------------------|------------------------------|---|--------------|-------------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| Optimized Conditions | | 1.0 mL/min; 30°C; Phosphate buffer (pH 3): Acetonitrile (55:45) | 138673 | 2.362 | 4172 | 1.28 |
| 1 | Flow Rate (±0.1 | 0.9 mLlmin | 189291 | 3.216 | 3525 | 1.34 |
| | ml/min) | I.I DJL:min | 148406 | 2.368 | 3785 | 1.31 |
| 2 | Column | 25ºC | 153483 | 2.438 | 3149 | 1.31 |
| | Temperarure (<u>+</u> 5 °C) | 35 ºC | 149635 | 2.569 | 4386 | 1.29 |
| 3 | Mobile phase | 50:50 | 172489 | 2.584 | 4410 | 1.29 |
| | composition | 60:40 | 157556 | 2.573 | 2599 | 1.27 |

| Sl No | Parameter | Used | Peak Area | Retention Time | Plate count | Tailing Factor |
|----------------------|------------------------------|---|--------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| Optimized Conditions | | 1.0 mLJmin;30°c;Phosphatebuffer(pH3):Acetonitrile (55:45) | 2662176 | 3.797 | 6569 | 1.16 |
| 1 | Flow Rate (±0.1 | 0.9 mL/min | 3556245 | 6.344 | 9571 | 1.18 |
| | ml/min) | 1.1 mL/min | 2825334 | 3.878 | 8204 | 1.16 |
| 2 | Column Temperature (±5°C) | 25°C | 2952204 | 4.092 | 7850 | 1.17 |
| | | 35°C | 2889170 | 4.4 16 | 9720 | 1.15 |
| 3 | Mobile phase | 50:50 | 341.6914 | 4.228 | 8429 | 1.17 |
| | composition | 60:40 | 3076379 | 4.282 | 8647 | 1.16 |

Table 9 Results of robustness by variations in flow rate, column temperature, Mobile phase composition of Theophylline

Table 10 Results of robustness by variations in flow rate, column temperature, Mobile phase composition of Ambroxol

| SI No | Parameter | Used | Peak Area | Retention Time | Plate count | Tailing Factor |
|----------------------|--------------------|--|--------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| Optimized Conditions | | 1.0Ml/min;30°c;Phosphatebuffer(pH3):Acetonitrile (55:45) | 620548 | 5.825 | 7704 | 1.16 |
| 1 | Flow Rate (±0.1 | 0.9 mL/min | 84645 | 8.295 | 8519 | 1.16 |
| | ml/min) | 1.1 mL/min | 660354 | 6.238 | 6612 | 1.17 |
| 2 | Column Temperature | 25°C | 684563 | 6.566 | 7969 | 1.15 |
| | (±5°C) | 35 °C | 670507 | 6.313 | 8284 | 1.15 |
| 3 | Mobile phase | 50:50 | 769260 | 6.480 | 7382 | 1.15 |
| | composition | 60:40 | 693555 | 7.242 | 7576 | 1.15 |

3.10. Limit of Detection and Quantitation

The values for the Limit of Detection (LOD) and Limit of Quantitation (LOQ) were determined based on the standard deviation of the intercept and slope of the calibration curves. These values demonstrate adequately low limits, ensuring precise and reliable detection and quantitation of the analyzed compounds. Specifically, the LOD values were found to be 0.021 μ g/ml for Salbutamol, 1.181 μ g/ml for Theophylline, and 0.274 μ g/ml for Ambroxol. The corresponding LOQ values were 0.065 μ g/ml, 3.578 μ g/ml, and 0.8318 μ g/ml for Salbutamol, Theophylline, and Ambroxol, respectively.

3.11. Forced Degradation Studies

The stability indicating ability of proposed method was proved by subjecting drugs to stress conditions of hydrolysis, oxidation, photolysis and thermal degradation. Drugs were well resolved from degradation products in all cases indicating that the method can quantify drugs in presence of degradation products as shown in Figure 4. The controlled sample of Salbutamol exhibited a high assay of 99.61%, with no significant degradation or purity issues. Acid, base, peroxide, thermal, UV, and water degradations were performed, each showing variations in assay and degradation percentages. Notably, none of the conditions triggered purity flagging.

Similar forced degradation studies were carried out for Theophylline, and the results are presented in Table 3.19. The controlled sample displayed a 100.19% assay with no degradation or purity issues. Acid, base, peroxide, thermal, UV, and water degradations were performed, revealing variations in assay and degradation percentages. Importantly, none of the degradation conditions led to purity flagging, indicating the robustness of the drug under these stress conditions.

The controlled sample of ambroxol demonstrated a high assay of 99.67%, with no significant degradation or purity concerns. Acid, base, peroxide, thermal, UV, and water degradations were performed, showing variations in assay and degradation percentages. Notably, none of the conditions resulted in a purity flag, underscoring the stability of Ambroxol under the evaluated stress conditions.



Figure 4 Chromatograms of forced degradation studies a. Peroxide Degradation b. Acid Degradation Studies c. Base Degradation d. Thermal Degradation e. UV Degradation

4. Conclusion

A simple, precise, accurate and rapid RP-HPLC method was developed and validated for simultaneous analysis of SAL, THE and AMB in tablet dosage form without any interference from excipients. The method was fully validated as per ICH guidelines and can be employed for routine quality control analysis of pharmaceutical formulations containing these drugs.

Compliance with ethical standards

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge and thank Dr. K. Ravishankar, Principal and Professor of Aditya College of Pharmacy, Surampalem.

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

- [1] S. Kim et al., Healthcare use and prescription patterns associated with adult asthma in K orea: analysis of the NHI claims database, Allergy, vol. 68, no. 11, Art. no. 11, 2013, doi: 10.1111/all.12256.
- [2] P. J. Barnes, Cellular and molecular mechanisms of asthma and COPD, Clinical science, vol. 131, no. 13, pp. 1541– 1558, 2017.
- [3] T. Welte and D. A. Groneberg, Asthma and COPD, Experimental and Toxicologic Pathology, vol. 57, pp. 35–40, 2006.
- [4] K. Prabhu, Development and Validation of RP-HPLC Method for the Simultaneous Estimation of Salbutamol Sulphate, Guaifenesin and Ambroxol Hydrochloride in Oral Liquid Dosage form, PhD Thesis, Nandha College of Pharmacy, Erode, 2017. Accessed: Nov. 26, 2023. [Online]. Available: http://repository-tnmgrmu.ac.in/6826/
- [5] L. Kalyani and C. V. Rao, Simultaneous spectrophotometric estimation of Salbutamol, Theophylline and Ambroxol three component tablet formulation using simultaneous equation methods, Karbala International Journal of Modern Science, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 171–179, 2018.
- [6] L. Kalyani, V. Chava, and N. Rao, Development and validation of stability-indicating RPHPLC method for the simultaneous analysis of Salbutamol, Theophylline and Ambroxol, Saudi J. Med. and Pharm. Sci, pp. 2413–4929, 2017.
- [7] S. K. Bhardwaj, K. Dwivedia, and D. D. Agarwala, A review: HPLC method development and validation, International Journal of Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry, vol. 5, no. 4, Art. no. 4, 2015.
- [8] T. K. Kokkirala and D. Suryakala, RP-HPLC method development and validation for the estimation of Emtricitabine, Bictegravir and Tenofovir alafenamide in bulk and pharmaceutical dosage form, Journal of Taibah University for Science, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 1137–1146, Dec. 2019, doi: 10.1080/16583655.2019.1689601.
- [9] B. Beer, Development and validation of a liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry method for the simultaneous quantification of tamoxifen, anastrozole, and letrozole in human plasma and its application to a clinical study, Analytical and bioanalytical chemistry, vol. 398, pp. 1791–1800, 2010, doi: 10.1007/s00216-010-4075-z.
- [10] S. K. Branch, Guidelines from the international conference on harmonisation (ICH), Journal of pharmaceutical and biomedical analysis, vol. 38, no. 5, Art. no. 5, 2005.
- [11] P. Chavan, S. Bandgar, S. Gejage, S. Patil, and S. Patil, Development and validation of uv spectrophotometric method for estimation of itraconazole in bulk drug and solid dosage form, Asian Journal of Pharmaceutical Research, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 13–16, 2021, doi: 10.5958/2231-5691.2021.00004.6.
- [12] I. Kaur, S. Wakode, and H. P. Singh, Development and validation of UV spectroscopic method for determination of canagliflozin in bulk and pharmaceutical dosage form, Pharmaceutical Methods, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 82–86, 2015, doi: 10.5530/phm.2015.6.11.
- [13] P. D. Ghode et al., RP-HPLC method development and validation for the simultaneous estimation of dolutegravir, emtricitabine, and tenofovir alafenamide in tablet dosage form, JMPAS, vol. 11, no. 2, Art. no. 2, Mar. 2022, doi: 10.55522/jmpas.V11I2.2286.
- [14] S. K. Bhardwaj, K. Dwivedia, and D. D. Agarwala, A review: HPLC method development and validation, International Journal of Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 76–81, 2015.
- [15] F. Q. Ayeen, R. Yasmeen, and H. Badar, Development and Validation of RP-HPLC Method for Determination of Ritonavir and Lopinavir, Rese. Jour. of Pharm. and Technol., vol. 12, no. 7, Art. no. 7, 2019, doi: 10.5958/0974-360X.2019.00577.8.
- [16] W. Kromdijk, S. Pereira, H. Rosing, J. W. Mulder, J. H. Beijnen, and A. D. R. Huitema, Development and validation of an assay for the simultaneous determination of zidovudine, abacavir, emtricitabine, lamivudine, tenofovir and ribavirin in human plasma using liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry, Journal of chromatography. B, Analytical technologies in the biomedical and life sciences, vol. 919–920, pp. 43–51.

Author's short biography

Sravani Ratnam Arji:

Lecturer at Government degree college, Rajahmundry. I have passion for novel trends in pharmaceutical analysis, biomedical analysis and drug research. Over 3 years of experience in developing analytical methods and research.

Sarma SRS Eranki:

Lecturer at Government degree college, Rajahmundry. I am passionate about novel trends in pharmaceutical analysis, biomedical analysis and drug research. Over 10 years of experience in developing analytical methods and research.

Anitha Kadimi:

Lecturer at Lecturer, Department of Chemistry, Satyadevi Goverment Degree College for Women (A), Kakinada, Andhra Pradesh, Indi. I have passion for novel trends in chemistry, biomedical analysis and organic molecules. Over 12 years of experience in developing organic molecules and synthetic chemistry research.

Prakash Nathaniel Kumar Sarella:

Associate Professor at Aditya College of Pharmacy with a passion for innovative drug delivery solutions. Over 7 years of experience in drug delivery research and development. Expertise in nanomedicine, liposomes, polymer therapeutics, antibody-drug conjugates, and microneedle technologies.

Vinny Therissa Mangam:

I am an Assistant Professor in Aditya College of Pharmacy dedicated to developing and validating new analytical methods. I have validated UV-Vis and HPLC techniques for several drugs to enable faster, more affordable quality testing. My goal is providing feasible yet rigorous approaches to advance healthcare accessibility, safety, and value. With over 3 years experience, I aim to optimize techniques, compare methods, and transfer procedures - enabling enhanced standards through practical innovation.







