International Journal of Science and Research Archive

eISSN: 2582-8185

Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/ijsra

Journal homepage: https://ijsra.net/

(Review Article)

Check for updates

Real-time monitoring and risk management in geothermal energy production: ensuring safe and efficient operations

Kate Aigbaifie Iwe [1], Gideon Oluseyi Daramola [2], Daniel Edet Isong [1, *], Mercy Odochi Agho [1] and Michael Osinakachukwu Ezeh [3]

[1] *Independent Researcher, Port Harcourt, Nigeria.*
[2] *Independent Researcher, Lagos, Nigeria.*
[3] *Independent Researcher Abuja, Nigeria.*

International Journal of Science and Research Archive, 2023, 08(01), 995–1011

Publication history: Received on 13 December 2022; revised on 12 February 2023; accepted on 16 February 2023

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/ijsra.2023.8.1.0074

Abstract

Real-time monitoring and risk management are critical in geothermal energy production to ensure operational safety, efficiency, and environmental sustainability. As geothermal systems involve high-temperature fluids and complex subsurface dynamics, their development and operation present inherent risks, including reservoir depletion, induced seismicity, equipment failure, and environmental impact. This study examines the integration of advanced technologies for real-time monitoring and predictive risk management in geothermal energy production, emphasizing the role of data analytics, machine learning, and Internet of Things (IoT) devices. Key components of real-time monitoring include sensors for temperature, pressure, and flow rate measurements, as well as geophysical monitoring tools for subsurface imaging and seismic activity detection. These technologies enable operators to gain a comprehensive understanding of reservoir behavior and system performance, facilitating proactive responses to anomalies. Moreover, the integration of machine learning algorithms enhances predictive maintenance and decision-making processes by analyzing historical and real-time data to forecast potential risks and optimize operations. Risk management strategies in geothermal energy focus on identifying, assessing, and mitigating hazards through continuous monitoring and modeling. Automated control systems and IoT-enabled communication networks further enable swift adjustments to operational parameters, reducing the likelihood of equipment damage or environmental incidents. Regulatory frameworks and best practices for risk mitigation, such as the implementation of environmental safeguards and adherence to safety standards, are also discussed. Case studies from global geothermal projects illustrate the effectiveness of real-time monitoring systems in minimizing downtime and improving operational efficiency. These examples highlight the need for robust data integration and cross-disciplinary collaboration to address the challenges of geothermal production. In conclusion, the application of real-time monitoring and advanced risk management techniques enhances the safety, reliability, and sustainability of geothermal energy systems. The findings underscore the importance of technological innovation and comprehensive risk assessment in supporting the global transition to clean and renewable energy sources.

Keywords: Real-Time Monitoring; Geothermal Energy; Risk Management; Iot; Machine Learning; Predictive Maintenance; Induced Seismicity; Environmental Sustainability; Renewable Energy; Operational Safety

* Corresponding author: Daniel Edet Isong

1. Introduction

Geothermal energy production plays a pivotal role in the global shift towards renewable energy sources, offering a sustainable and low-carbon alternative to traditional fossil fuels. With the ability to provide a continuous and reliable energy supply, geothermal systems have become an increasingly important component of the renewable energy landscape. However, the production of geothermal energy is not without its challenges (Agupugo & Tochukwu, 2021, de Almeida, Araújo & de Medeiros, 2017). The inherent complexities of managing subsurface reservoirs, the risk of induced seismicity, equipment failure, and environmental concerns present significant obstacles that must be addressed to ensure safe and efficient operations.

Real-time monitoring and risk management are essential to overcoming these challenges, enabling operators to manage the risks associated with geothermal energy production. Real-time monitoring systems offer the ability to continuously collect and analyze data from geothermal plants, providing operators with immediate insights into the health and performance of the system (Azzola, Thiemann & Gaucher, 2023, Cherepovitsyn & Lebedev, 2023). This constant stream of data allows for the detection of anomalies and potential risks, facilitating timely interventions and proactive decision-making to minimize downtime, reduce operational risks, and extend the lifespan of geothermal systems. Moreover, effective risk management practices help mitigate environmental impacts, ensuring that geothermal energy production remains a clean and sustainable source of power.

The objective of this study is to explore the technologies and strategies employed in real-time monitoring and risk management within geothermal energy production. By examining advanced monitoring tools, such as sensor systems, IoT-enabled networks, and machine learning algorithms, the study will shed light on the ways these technologies improve operational efficiency and enhance safety. Additionally, the research will highlight best practices and case studies from global geothermal projects, illustrating how effective risk management has been successfully integrated into the production process (Agupugo, 2023, Chen, et al., 2023). Ultimately, this study aims to emphasize the importance of continuous innovation in ensuring the safe, efficient, and sustainable operation of geothermal energy systems, contributing to the broader goal of clean energy production worldwide.

2. Understanding Risks in Geothermal Energy Production

Geothermal energy production, while a promising and sustainable source of renewable energy, is not without its risks. The operation of geothermal systems involves complex subsurface processes, intricate engineering, and high-temperature environments, all of which present various risks to both the safety of operations and the long-term viability of geothermal resources (Agupugo, et al., 2022, Francisca, et al., 2023). Understanding these risks is essential to ensuring safe and efficient geothermal energy production, particularly as the global demand for renewable energy sources continues to rise.

One of the primary risks in geothermal energy production is reservoir depletion, which occurs when the geothermal reservoir's ability to produce heat and fluid diminishes over time. Geothermal reservoirs are finite resources, and their sustainable use depends on careful management. Excessive extraction of heat or fluid without adequate recharge can lead to a reduction in the energy output of the system. This depletion not only reduces the efficiency of geothermal plants but also raises concerns about the environmental impact, as the long-term sustainability of the resource is compromised (Diao & Ghorbani, 2018, Gajjar, et al., 2023). Proper reservoir management strategies, such as reinjection of fluids back into the reservoir, are necessary to mitigate this risk. However, even with such measures, reservoir depletion can occur if the system is overexploited or if natural recharge rates are slower than anticipated.

Another significant risk is induced seismicity, the phenomenon where human activities, such as the extraction of geothermal fluids or the injection of water for reservoir replenishment, trigger seismic events (Bello, et al., 2023, Dickson & Fanelli, 2018). Geothermal systems are located deep underground, where pressures and temperatures are extremely high, and the manipulation of subsurface fluids can destabilize the surrounding rock formations. Induced seismicity can range from minor tremors to more significant earthquakes, which pose a threat to the integrity of the geothermal system itself and to nearby infrastructure and communities. In particular, geothermal operations in regions with existing tectonic activity are at higher risk of triggering seismic events. Addressing induced seismicity requires careful monitoring of subsurface conditions and seismic activity, alongside proactive measures such as adjusting fluid extraction rates or injection pressures to avoid triggering seismic events.

Equipment failure is another risk that must be closely monitored in geothermal energy production. The equipment used in geothermal systems operates under extreme conditions of heat and pressure, which places significant strain on machinery and components. Pumps, turbines, heat exchangers, and other critical infrastructure must be designed to withstand these harsh conditions, but even with robust engineering, equipment failure can still occur (Agupugo, et al., 2022, Dominy, et al., 2018). A failure in any of these systems can lead to system downtime, production losses, and, in extreme cases, safety hazards for workers. For example, a malfunctioning pump could result in the inability to circulate geothermal fluids effectively, leading to overheating or underperformance of the plant. Preventive maintenance and regular inspections are crucial to minimizing the likelihood of such failures, but the inherently extreme operating conditions of geothermal energy systems mean that failures cannot always be avoided (Mohsen & Fereshteh, 2017). Thus, real-time monitoring systems that track the performance of critical equipment are essential in identifying early signs of wear or failure, enabling quick intervention to prevent significant damage.

In addition to the risks associated with equipment failure, geothermal energy production also presents environmental risks. Fluid leakage is one of the most concerning environmental hazards, particularly if the geothermal system involves the extraction and injection of chemicals or brine (Mosca, et al., 2018). Leaked fluids could contaminate surrounding water sources, potentially harming local ecosystems and human populations. Furthermore, geothermal systems can release gases, such as carbon dioxide and hydrogen sulfide, into the atmosphere during fluid extraction (Dong, et al., 2019, Hafezi, 2023). Although geothermal energy is considered a low-emission source of power, these gases can contribute to air pollution and climate change if not properly controlled. Managing these environmental risks requires comprehensive monitoring of fluid flow, air quality, and gas emissions. Advanced technologies such as real-time sensors and environmental monitoring systems can help detect leaks early and ensure that the geothermal operation remains environmentally responsible.

Given the various risks associated with geothermal energy production, there is an increasing need for effective risk assessment and mitigation strategies. The complexity of geothermal systems requires a comprehensive approach to identifying, assessing, and managing risks throughout the lifecycle of the project, from exploration and drilling to operation and decommissioning. Traditional risk assessment methods often rely on historical data and expert judgment, but these approaches may not fully capture the dynamic and evolving nature of geothermal systems (Bello, et al., 2023, Li, 2023). Modern risk management in geothermal energy production requires the integration of real-time data from various monitoring systems to enhance decision-making and enable proactive risk management. For example, real-time temperature and pressure monitoring can provide insights into the health of a geothermal reservoir, allowing operators to adjust extraction rates or reinjection strategies to prevent reservoir depletion (Mrdjen & Lee, 2016). Similarly, seismic monitoring systems can detect early signs of induced seismicity, enabling operators to adjust fluid injection pressures or halt operations if necessary.

Machine learning and data analytics are becoming increasingly important tools in geothermal risk management. By analyzing large volumes of data from sensors, equipment, and environmental monitoring systems, machine learning algorithms can identify patterns and trends that may indicate emerging risks. For instance, predictive analytics can help forecast equipment failure by identifying subtle shifts in performance that may not be apparent to human operators (Dufour, 2018, Kianoush, et al., 2023). Similarly, machine learning models can be used to predict reservoir behavior based on historical and real-time data, providing operators with a better understanding of when and how depletion might occur. These predictive models can also help optimize operational parameters to maximize efficiency while minimizing risk.

Risk mitigation strategies in geothermal energy production are not only concerned with minimizing the likelihood of adverse events but also with ensuring the resilience of the system when risks materialize. In the case of reservoir depletion, for example, operators may employ strategies such as fluid reinjection, pressure management, or the use of enhanced geothermal systems to replenish or maintain the energy output of the reservoir (Mushtaq, et al., 2020). Similarly, for induced seismicity, mitigating actions could include adjusting fluid injection rates, monitoring subsurface stress, and incorporating seismic hazard assessments into the planning and operation of geothermal projects.

Moreover, effective risk management in geothermal systems requires a holistic approach that involves all stakeholders, including operators, regulators, and local communities. Regulations and safety standards play a key role in guiding geothermal energy production toward safe and sustainable practices (El Bilali, etal., 2022, Muther, et al., 2022). Environmental safeguards, such as limits on fluid extraction and emissions, are essential to maintaining the ecological integrity of the surrounding area. Collaboration among industry players, regulatory bodies, and researchers is crucial to developing best practices and continuously improving risk management techniques.

In conclusion, understanding and addressing the risks associated with geothermal energy production is fundamental to ensuring the long-term sustainability and safety of geothermal systems. The risks of reservoir depletion, induced seismicity, equipment failure, and environmental hazards all require careful management and mitigation strategies.

Advances in real-time monitoring, data analytics, and predictive modeling are enhancing our ability to manage these risks effectively, enabling geothermal energy to be a safe, reliable, and environmentally responsible source of power (Muther, et al., 2022). As the demand for renewable energy grows, the integration of these technologies and strategies will be essential in ensuring the safe and efficient operation of geothermal energy systems worldwide.

3. Technologies for Real-Time Monitoring

In the realm of geothermal energy production, real-time monitoring technologies play a crucial role in ensuring safe and efficient operations. These technologies allow operators to continuously assess the conditions within geothermal systems, detect potential risks, and implement corrective actions before they result in system failures. The integration of advanced sensor systems, Internet of Things (IoT) networks, and data analytics has transformed geothermal energy operations, making them more reliable and sustainable (Eldardiry & Habib, 2018, Li, Dilanchiev & Mustafa, 2023). By enabling real-time data collection, analysis, and decision-making, these technologies support the management of key risks associated with geothermal energy production, including equipment failure, reservoir depletion, and environmental hazards.

One of the most fundamental components of real-time monitoring in geothermal energy production is sensor systems. These sensors are designed to measure a wide range of physical variables critical to the performance and safety of geothermal plants. Temperature, pressure, and flow rate sensors are integral to understanding the behavior of the geothermal reservoir and the efficiency of the geothermal system. Temperature sensors, for example, help monitor the heat output from the reservoir, ensuring that the temperature remains within optimal levels for energy production (Bello, et al., 2023, Epelle & Gerogiorgis, 2020). Pressure sensors are used to track the fluid pressure in the reservoir and piping systems, which can indicate potential issues such as clogging or system leaks. Flow rate sensors measure the volume of geothermal fluid circulating through the system, helping to assess the efficiency of fluid extraction and reinjection processes.

In addition to these basic sensors, geophysical monitoring tools for subsurface imaging are increasingly used in geothermal energy production to enhance the understanding of the reservoir's dynamics. These tools, such as seismic sensors, electromagnetic sensors, and ground-penetrating radar, provide detailed images of the subsurface structure, enabling operators to monitor changes in the reservoir's characteristics over time (Ericson, Engel-Cox & Arent, 2019, Najibi & Asef, 2014). By using these imaging tools, geothermal plant operators can assess the integrity of the geothermal reservoir, identify potential areas of instability, and make adjustments to extraction rates or reinjection practices to avoid risks such as reservoir depletion or induced seismicity. Geophysical monitoring can also help operators detect fluid leakage or migration, providing an early warning system to mitigate environmental risks.

The integration of Internet of Things (IoT) and data acquisition networks is another significant advancement in the real-time monitoring of geothermal energy systems. IoT devices are equipped with sensors that collect data in real time from various parts of the geothermal plant, including the reservoir, piping systems, power generation units, and environmental monitoring points. These devices communicate the data to a central system, where it is processed and analyzed (Erofeev, et al., 2019, Mishra & Singh, 2023). The ability to collect and transmit large volumes of data in real time enables geothermal operators to monitor every aspect of their systems continuously. For instance, temperature, pressure, and flow rate data can be transmitted every second or minute, ensuring that operators have up-to-date information on the status of the system at all times.

The real-time communication of data allows operators to identify anomalies or irregularities quickly, triggering timely interventions. IoT networks also enable the integration of various data streams from different sensors into a unified platform, improving the ability to assess system performance holistically. In the case of a system malfunction, such as a drop in pressure or an increase in temperature, operators can receive immediate alerts, allowing them to take corrective action before the issue escalates into a more significant problem (Eshiet & Sheng, 2018, Najibi, et al., 2017). Furthermore, IoT devices can be used to monitor the health of equipment, identifying early signs of wear or failure, which allows for proactive maintenance rather than reactive fixes. This capability not only improves the safety of geothermal systems but also enhances their operational efficiency by reducing downtime and increasing the lifespan of equipment.

In addition to IoT networks, data acquisition systems play an essential role in gathering and storing data from the sensors throughout the geothermal plant. These systems collect data from various sources and provide the infrastructure to support real-time monitoring. The data acquisition system can handle data from both local and remote sensors, allowing operators to monitor geothermal plants spread across large geographical areas (Napp, et al., 2014).

The system ensures that data is not only collected in real time but is also stored for historical analysis and future predictive modeling.

Machine learning and data analytics have become increasingly important tools in geothermal energy production, particularly when it comes to enhancing real-time monitoring and risk management. By leveraging large volumes of data collected from sensor systems and IoT networks, machine learning algorithms can detect anomalies that may not be immediately apparent to human operators (Eyinla, et al., 2021, Nduagu & Gates, 2015). Anomaly detection models can be trained on historical data to recognize normal patterns of behavior for temperature, pressure, flow rates, and other key parameters. Once the system is trained, it can identify deviations from the norm in real time, signaling potential issues that require attention. For example, if a sudden drop in pressure is detected, the machine learning model can determine whether this is a result of a system failure, a temporary fluctuation, or a more serious problem, enabling operators to respond accordingly.

In addition to anomaly detection, predictive modeling plays a vital role in forecasting future system behavior and potential risks. By analyzing historical data, machine learning algorithms can generate predictions about how a geothermal system will behave under various conditions. Predictive models can be used to forecast reservoir performance, such as estimating the likelihood of reservoir depletion or predicting temperature changes (Fakhari, 2022, Misra, et al., 2022). These models can also predict equipment failure, allowing operators to schedule maintenance before a breakdown occurs. Predictive analytics can also enhance decision-making by providing insights into the best course of action when managing geothermal systems. For example, machine learning models can suggest optimal fluid injection rates to maintain reservoir pressure or recommend adjustments to energy production schedules to maximize efficiency while minimizing risks Mohd Aman, Shaari & Ibrahim, 2021.

Furthermore, machine learning and data analytics can optimize the operation of geothermal plants by identifying patterns that lead to improved efficiency. By analyzing the data on fluid extraction, temperature, pressure, and equipment performance, machine learning algorithms can recommend adjustments to operational parameters that result in better energy production while reducing wear and tear on equipment (Mikunda, et al., 2021, Nguyen, et al., 2014). Over time, as the system collects more data and the models become more refined, these algorithms can continuously improve and adapt, leading to even greater operational efficiency.

In the context of real-time monitoring, the role of machine learning and data analytics extends beyond anomaly detection and predictive modeling. These technologies also support enhanced decision-making processes by providing operators with actionable insights that are based on data rather than intuition or experience alone. In geothermal energy production, where conditions can change rapidly, real-time data combined with advanced analytics helps operators make more informed decisions quickly (Farajzadeh, et al., 2020, Mishra, 2023). This ability to make data-driven decisions increases the overall safety and efficiency of geothermal operations.

In conclusion, the integration of sensor systems, IoT networks, and machine learning algorithms has significantly improved real-time monitoring and risk management in geothermal energy production. These technologies enable operators to monitor and manage geothermal systems more effectively, ensuring safe and efficient operations. The use of sensors to track temperature, pressure, and flow rates, along with geophysical tools to monitor subsurface conditions, provides operators with crucial data on the status of the geothermal system. IoT networks enable real-time data communication and integration, allowing operators to respond to potential issues quickly and efficiently (Nasserddine, Nassereddine & El Arid, 2023, McCollum, et al., 2018). Finally, machine learning and data analytics enhance decision-making and predictive capabilities, improving the overall performance and safety of geothermal energy systems. As the geothermal industry continues to grow, the use of these technologies will be critical to ensuring the long-term sustainability of geothermal energy production.

4. Risk Management Strategies

Effective risk management in geothermal energy production is vital to ensure the safe and efficient operation of geothermal plants. As geothermal energy systems are complex and can be prone to various risks, real-time monitoring, along with proactive risk management strategies, is essential to safeguard both the environment and the equipment (Mordensky, et al., 2023, Nimana, Canter & Kumar, 2015). The integration of advanced technologies such as real-time data collection, automated control systems, and the adherence to regulatory safety frameworks provides a robust approach to managing these risks. These strategies help minimize operational disruptions, enhance safety, and ensure the long-term sustainability of geothermal energy operations.

Continuous monitoring and hazard assessment are foundational elements of risk management in geothermal energy production. Real-time data, collected through sensors and IoT networks, is instrumental in evaluating the status of geothermal systems and identifying potential hazards before they escalate into serious problems (Farajzadeh, et al., 2022, Martin-Roberts, et al., 2021). Continuous monitoring involves the collection of vital parameters, such as temperature, pressure, flow rates, and seismic activity, which are then integrated into risk models to predict potential issues and inform decision-making. For instance, by integrating real-time data into risk models, operators can assess the likelihood of reservoir depletion, equipment failure, or environmental risks, such as fluid leakage or seismic events. Real-time monitoring ensures that operators have up-to-date information on the system's performance, allowing them to make informed decisions regarding operational adjustments or maintenance.

In the context of hazard assessment, continuous monitoring enables operators to detect early warning signs of potential hazards and mitigate risks before they cause significant damage. For example, monitoring pressure and temperature variations in the geothermal reservoir can help identify any unusual fluctuations, signaling potential issues like the onset of induced seismicity or the risk of reservoir depletion. When integrated into risk models, this real-time data enables operators to adjust fluid extraction and reinjection rates, effectively managing these risks and maintaining optimal reservoir conditions (Garia, et al., 2019, Ning, et al., 2023). By assessing hazards continuously, operators can anticipate system performance changes, make timely interventions, and prevent potential damage to the geothermal system or the environment.

Proactive operational adjustments are another key aspect of risk management in geothermal energy production. Once real-time data has been analyzed and risks have been identified, making proactive operational adjustments becomes essential to mitigating these risks. Automated control systems, which are often part of the real-time monitoring infrastructure, play a significant role in this process (Bello, et al., 2022, Martin, 2022). These systems can autonomously adjust operational parameters based on predefined thresholds or data-driven recommendations. For instance, if the pressure in the geothermal reservoir exceeds safe levels, automated control systems can reduce fluid extraction or adjust reinjection rates to prevent the risk of reservoir damage or subsidence. Similarly, if temperature fluctuations indicate that the system is underperforming, automated adjustments can be made to optimize heat recovery and maintain production levels.

Automated control systems also allow for immediate responses to sudden changes in system conditions. These systems can react faster than human operators, ensuring that the geothermal plant remains within safe operational limits. For example, in the event of a pressure surge or a potential equipment malfunction, automated control systems can activate safety measures such as shutting down specific equipment, adjusting fluid flow, or triggering alarm systems for further investigation (Ghani, Khan & Garaniya, 2015, Marhoon, 2020). This real-time response not only improves the safety of geothermal energy production but also enhances operational efficiency by reducing the likelihood of downtime or equipment damage. By incorporating automated control systems into the overall risk management strategy, geothermal operators can achieve greater reliability and resilience in the face of potential risks.

Furthermore, the use of predictive analytics within the monitoring and control systems enables more advanced proactive adjustments. Machine learning models can predict potential failures or risks based on historical and real-time data, allowing operators to adjust system parameters before problems arise. Predictive modeling helps identify trends in system behavior that may indicate the onset of issues like equipment wear or reservoir imbalance (Njuguna, et al., 2022). By using these insights, operators can optimize the geothermal system's operation, reducing the likelihood of operational disruptions or safety incidents (Glassley, 2014, Maraveas, et al., 2022). Proactive risk management through continuous monitoring, hazard assessment, and predictive adjustments is critical for minimizing the impact of potential risks on geothermal energy production.

Regulatory and safety frameworks play a significant role in guiding risk management practices in geothermal energy production (Griffiths, 2017, Olufemi, Ozowe & Komolafe, 2011). These frameworks are designed to ensure that geothermal operators adhere to established safety and environmental standards, minimizing the risk of harm to people, the environment, and the integrity of geothermal systems. Regulatory bodies, such as government agencies and environmental organizations, set clear guidelines and standards that geothermal operators must follow to ensure that risks are effectively managed. Compliance with these regulations is essential to maintaining the safety of geothermal operations and protecting natural resources.

The adherence to environmental standards is particularly important in geothermal energy production, as geothermal systems have the potential to cause environmental risks, such as fluid leakage, contamination of groundwater, or the release of harmful gases. Geothermal operators must ensure that their operations meet stringent environmental protection standards, including measures to prevent subsurface contamination and reduce emissions of harmful gases.

For example, environmental regulations often require the proper handling and reinjection of geothermal fluids to prevent contamination of surrounding groundwater resources (Mahmood, et al., 2022). Operators are also required to monitor and manage emissions, such as carbon dioxide and hydrogen sulfide, to minimize their impact on the atmosphere and the surrounding environment.

In addition to environmental standards, safety regulations are equally important in geothermal energy production. These regulations govern the operation and maintenance of geothermal plants, ensuring that workers and local communities are protected from operational hazards. Adherence to safety standards requires geothermal operators to implement strict safety protocols, including regular inspections of equipment, maintenance procedures, and emergency response plans (Gür, 2022, Ogbu, et al., 2023). Safety regulations also require geothermal operators to train employees to handle potential hazards and ensure that safety equipment is available and functional. By following industry-specific safety frameworks and guidelines, operators can effectively manage operational risks and maintain the safety of personnel and the surrounding community.

Industry best practices and guidelines further support the development and implementation of risk management strategies. These best practices provide geothermal operators with a roadmap for ensuring safety and efficiency in their operations. Industry associations, such as the Geothermal Energy Association and the International Geothermal Association, provide guidelines and resources to help geothermal operators stay up-to-date with the latest developments in risk management technologies and techniques (Hoseinpour & Riahi, 2022, Orikpete, Ikemba & Ewim, 2023). By incorporating these best practices into their operations, geothermal companies can enhance their ability to manage risks effectively and improve the overall safety and efficiency of geothermal energy production.

Furthermore, international collaboration and knowledge sharing among geothermal industry stakeholders can help identify emerging risks and develop innovative risk management strategies. By learning from the experiences of other geothermal plants and sharing lessons learned, operators can continuously improve their risk management practices and adapt to new challenges. Industry collaboration also promotes the adoption of standardized risk management frameworks and the development of new technologies that enhance real-time monitoring and hazard assessment capabilities (Mac Kinnon, Brouwer & Samuelsen, 2018).

In conclusion, risk management strategies are essential to ensuring safe and efficient geothermal energy production. Continuous monitoring and hazard assessment, proactive operational adjustments, and adherence to regulatory and safety frameworks are key components of an effective risk management approach. By integrating real-time data into risk models, implementing automated control systems for operational adjustments, and adhering to environmental and safety standards, geothermal operators can mitigate risks and maintain the reliability and safety of their operations (Hossain, et al., 2017, Luo, et al., 2019). Industry best practices and collaboration further enhance the effectiveness of these strategies, ensuring that geothermal energy production remains a sustainable and reliable source of renewable energy. As the geothermal industry continues to grow, the ongoing development and refinement of risk management practices will be crucial in addressing emerging challenges and ensuring the long-term success of geothermal energy production.

5. Case Studies and Applications

Real-time monitoring and risk management are crucial components of the geothermal energy production process. With the increasing demand for renewable energy sources, geothermal energy has gained attention for its potential to provide a reliable, sustainable, and low-carbon solution. However, as with any energy production system, geothermal power plants face various risks related to equipment failure, environmental concerns, and operational efficiency (Huaman & Jun, 2014, Olufemi, Ozowe & Afolabi, 2012). The implementation of real-time monitoring and risk management systems has proven to be effective in ensuring the safety and efficiency of geothermal operations. Several case studies from around the world illustrate the successful application of these systems, showcasing their ability to enhance operational efficiency, mitigate risks, and address challenges faced by geothermal plants.

One of the most prominent examples of successful implementation of real-time monitoring systems is the Hellisheidi Geothermal Power Plant in Iceland, one of the largest geothermal plants in the world. Located in the Hengill geothermal field, the Hellisheidi plant began operations in 2006, and over the years, it has incorporated advanced monitoring systems to optimize its performance (Jafarizadeh, et al., 2022, Ozowe, 2018). The plant uses a comprehensive monitoring system that tracks the temperature, pressure, flow rates, and other critical parameters of the geothermal reservoir. By continuously monitoring these factors in real time, the plant can predict and address issues such as reservoir depletion, pressure fluctuations, and the potential for induced seismicity.

In addition to these monitoring systems, the Hellisheidi plant also employs an advanced control system that integrates real-time data into decision-making processes. The plant's automated control systems make proactive adjustments to maintain optimal performance and mitigate risks associated with equipment failure, such as turbine or pump malfunctions (Jamrozik, et al., 2016, Zhang, et al., 2021). These systems are equipped with predictive analytics capabilities that use historical and real-time data to identify patterns, helping the plant anticipate potential failures and schedule preventive maintenance before problems occur. As a result, the Hellisheidi Geothermal Power Plant has achieved significant operational efficiency, reducing downtime and minimizing operational costs while maintaining safety and environmental standards.

Another example of the successful application of real-time monitoring and risk management is the Geysers Geothermal Field in California, the largest geothermal field in the United States and one of the largest in the world. The Geysers has been operational since the 1960s, and it has undergone significant upgrades in its monitoring and risk management practices over the years. The field is equipped with an extensive network of sensors that monitor subsurface temperatures, pressures, and fluid flow (Jharap, et al., 2020, Ovwigho, et al., 2023). Real-time data from these sensors is transmitted to a centralized control system, where it is analyzed to detect anomalies and optimize operations. The Geysers' monitoring system is capable of identifying issues such as pressure imbalances or fluid leaks, enabling operators to make timely adjustments and prevent potential system failures.

One of the key challenges faced by The Geysers has been managing reservoir depletion and maintaining sustainable production levels. As the field has been in operation for decades, there has been a natural decline in the reservoir's productivity. To address this issue, The Geysers implemented a sophisticated monitoring and data analytics system to track reservoir pressure and fluid levels in real time (Bello, et al., 2023, Jomthanachai, Wong & Lim, 2021). This data is used to adjust the reinjection rates and ensure that the reservoir is being managed sustainably. By continuously monitoring these parameters, The Geysers has been able to optimize resource management, prolong the life of the field, and ensure a steady supply of geothermal energy.

The success of real-time monitoring and risk management in these geothermal projects demonstrates the potential of these systems to enhance operational efficiency, reduce downtime, and manage risks effectively. However, challenges remain in the widespread adoption and integration of these systems, particularly in regions with less advanced infrastructure or limited access to technology. A case study from the Philippines highlights some of the difficulties faced by geothermal operators in developing countries when implementing real-time monitoring and risk management systems.

The Philippines is home to one of the largest geothermal energy producers in the world, with several geothermal power plants operating across the country. However, many of these plants face challenges related to the implementation of real-time monitoring systems. One of the main obstacles is the lack of robust data acquisition networks in remote areas where geothermal plants are located (Kabeyi, 2019, Ogbu, et al., 2023). In some cases, power plants rely on outdated or insufficient monitoring equipment, which makes it difficult to gather real-time data on key operational parameters. This lack of data can lead to inefficiencies in operations and make it harder to identify potential risks, such as equipment failure or environmental hazards.

To address these challenges, the Philippines has invested in the development of more advanced monitoring technologies and infrastructure. For example, the Energy Development Corporation (EDC), one of the largest geothermal producers in the country, has partnered with international organizations to enhance its real-time monitoring capabilities. The company has implemented a state-of-the-art monitoring system that integrates real-time data collection with advanced analytics to optimize plant performance (Liu, et al., 2019, Lohne, et al., 2016). The system helps the company track key parameters, such as fluid temperature, pressure, and flow rate, and uses predictive models to anticipate potential issues. This has improved the efficiency of the EDC's geothermal plants and has enabled the company to manage risks more effectively.

Despite these advancements, the case of the Philippines demonstrates the challenges faced by developing countries in adopting real-time monitoring systems for geothermal energy production. One of the main issues is the high initial cost of installing monitoring equipment and integrating it with existing infrastructure (Kabeyi, 2022, Ozowe, Zheng & Sharma, 2020). In addition, the lack of skilled personnel in some regions makes it difficult to maintain and operate these systems effectively. To overcome these challenges, it is essential to focus on building local capacity through training programs and partnerships with international organizations that can provide technical expertise.

In addition to these technical challenges, global geothermal projects have also encountered regulatory and environmental hurdles when implementing real-time monitoring and risk management systems. For example, in New

Zealand, geothermal operators are required to comply with strict environmental regulations that govern the management of geothermal reservoirs and emissions (Karad & Thakur, 2021, Prauzek, et al., 2023). The country's geothermal plants are equipped with real-time monitoring systems to track emissions of greenhouse gases, such as carbon dioxide and hydrogen sulfide. However, ensuring compliance with these regulations has proven challenging due to the complexity of monitoring emissions from geothermal wells.

To address these issues, New Zealand's geothermal industry has worked closely with regulatory bodies to develop more accurate and efficient methods for measuring and managing emissions. This collaboration has led to the development of new monitoring technologies that can detect emissions in real time and enable operators to take corrective actions when necessary (Khalid, et al., 2016, Quintanilla, et al., 2021). The success of these efforts highlights the importance of regulatory support in ensuring that real-time monitoring systems are effectively implemented and that geothermal energy production remains environmentally sustainable.

The lessons learned from global geothermal projects underscore the importance of real-time monitoring and risk management in ensuring the safety and efficiency of geothermal energy production. While successful case studies from countries like Iceland, the United States, and the Philippines highlight the potential of these systems to optimize operations and mitigate risks, they also reveal the challenges faced by geothermal operators in different regions (Abdali, et al., 2021, Yasemi, et al., 2023). These challenges include infrastructure limitations, high implementation costs, and regulatory complexities.

To overcome these obstacles, it is essential to focus on developing and sharing best practices for implementing real-time monitoring and risk management systems. This includes improving data acquisition networks, investing in training programs, and fostering collaboration between industry stakeholders, regulators, and local communities. By addressing these challenges, the geothermal energy industry can continue to evolve and improve, ensuring the safe and efficient production of renewable energy for years to come.

6. Future Directions and Innovations

The future of real-time monitoring and risk management in geothermal energy production is poised for significant transformation, driven by emerging technologies, cross-disciplinary collaboration, and the expanding application of advanced tools to diverse geothermal systems. As geothermal energy continues to play a vital role in the global renewable energy landscape, the need for innovative solutions to optimize its production, enhance safety, and mitigate risks has become more apparent (Abdelaal, Elkatatny & Abdulraheem, 2021, Ozowe, 2021, Pwavodi, et al., 2023). Advancements in artificial intelligence (AI), machine learning (ML), and sensor technologies are pushing the boundaries of what is possible in geothermal energy monitoring and risk management. These innovations will not only improve operational efficiency but also ensure long-term sustainability, making geothermal energy an even more attractive solution for a low-carbon future.

One of the most promising areas of innovation in real-time monitoring is the integration of AI-driven analytics with advanced monitoring tools. AI has the potential to revolutionize the geothermal industry by enabling real-time data analysis at unprecedented scales and speeds (Lindi, 2017). AI models can be trained to detect patterns and anomalies in geothermal systems that would be impossible for humans to identify. For instance, AI algorithms can analyze large sets of sensor data from temperature, pressure, and flow rate measurements, identifying subtle shifts in the system that may indicate impending risks such as reservoir depletion, fluid imbalances, or equipment failure (Kinik, Gumus & Osayande, 2015, Rane, 2023). By leveraging historical data alongside real-time inputs, AI models can make highly accurate predictions and suggest proactive measures to address issues before they escalate into costly or dangerous failures.

Additionally, machine learning can enhance predictive maintenance practices by learning from past performance data to foresee when and where equipment failure is most likely to occur. These predictive models can optimize maintenance schedules, ensuring that equipment is serviced or replaced before a breakdown happens, reducing unplanned downtime and operational disruptions (Abdelfattah, et al., 2021, Temizel, et al., 2023). The integration of these AI-driven analytics with real-time monitoring systems will allow geothermal operators to make data-driven decisions with greater accuracy, resulting in better resource management, reduced operational risks, and improved profitability.

Another important development in real-time monitoring for geothermal systems is the enhancement of sensor technologies and geophysical monitoring tools. Advances in remote sensing technologies, such as drones and satellites, offer a new frontier for monitoring geothermal reservoirs and plants. These sensors can provide detailed and continuous data on the temperature, pressure, and flow of geothermal fluids deep beneath the earth's surface. In addition, emerging technologies such as fiber optic sensing can offer high-resolution monitoring over large areas, detecting even the smallest changes in the geothermal system that could signal potential issues (Abdo, 2019, Ozowe, Daramola & Ekemezie, 2023). This allows for more precise and reliable monitoring, enabling operators to intervene quickly and mitigate risks effectively. Furthermore, sensors are becoming increasingly energy-efficient, allowing for real-time data transmission over long distances without requiring significant power consumption, which is particularly beneficial for remote geothermal operations.

As real-time monitoring technologies become more advanced, the role of cross-disciplinary collaboration between various stakeholders will be crucial in improving practices and expanding the applications of these innovations. Geothermal energy production inherently involves a wide range of experts, including geologists, engineers, environmental scientists, and data scientists (Kiran, et al., 2017, Sambo, et al., 2023). Collaboration between these different fields will be essential for overcoming the complexities of geothermal systems and ensuring the efficient implementation of monitoring and risk management practices.

For instance, data scientists and machine learning specialists can work alongside engineers to design monitoring systems that integrate both geological and operational data. This collaboration can result in more accurate models of geothermal reservoirs, improving the ability to predict system behavior and resource availability. Environmental scientists can also play a key role by collaborating with engineers to ensure that real-time monitoring systems account for environmental impacts, such as the potential release of greenhouse gases or contaminants from the geothermal fluid (Kumari & Ranjith, 2019, Li, et al., 2019). This interdisciplinary approach will ensure that all aspects of geothermal production are accounted for, enabling operators to implement risk management strategies that address both technical and environmental concerns.

The involvement of regulatory bodies and policymakers will also be crucial in shaping the future of real-time monitoring and risk management in geothermal energy production. Regulations and standards will need to evolve alongside technological advancements to ensure that monitoring systems are effective, accurate, and aligned with safety and environmental goals (Li & Zhang, 2018). The implementation of stricter emissions standards, for example, may necessitate the development of more advanced monitoring technologies that can detect emissions in real time and automatically adjust operational parameters to reduce environmental impact.

Expanding the application of real-time monitoring and risk management strategies to diverse geothermal systems will also be a key factor in their future development. Geothermal energy production is not limited to a single type of geothermal resource but spans a variety of systems, including hot dry rock (HDR), enhanced geothermal systems (EGS), and traditional hydrothermal reservoirs (Adland, Cariou & Wolff, 2019, Ozowe, Russell & Sharma, 2020). Each of these systems presents its own unique challenges in terms of monitoring and risk management. While traditional geothermal systems rely on well-established techniques for monitoring temperature, pressure, and fluid flow, newer technologies such as EGS and HDR require more innovative approaches to real-time monitoring. The success of these next-generation systems will depend on the ability to customize monitoring tools and risk management strategies for the specific characteristics of each geothermal resource.

For example, EGS involves the injection of water into hot, dry rock formations, creating an artificial reservoir that can be used for energy production. Real-time monitoring in EGS systems must account for the complex behavior of both the fluid and the subsurface rock. This may involve using advanced geophysical techniques, such as seismic monitoring or microgravity sensing, to track fluid movement and assess the stability of the reservoir (Leung, Caramanna & Maroto-Valer, 2014, Taleghani & Santos, 2023). Real-time data from these systems must be integrated with predictive models that account for the evolving dynamics of the reservoir, ensuring that the injection and extraction processes do not lead to excessive pressure build-up or the risk of induced seismicity.

Similarly, HDR systems, which rely on heating water from hot rock formations located deep underground, may require specialized monitoring tools to measure heat transfer and fluid movement over long distances. Fiber optic sensors, combined with advanced data analytics, can provide real-time measurements of temperature and pressure at multiple points in the reservoir, helping operators to manage heat extraction efficiently and reduce the risk of thermal degradation over time.

The potential to expand real-time monitoring to these and other diverse geothermal systems is immense. By leveraging advanced monitoring tools and AI-driven analytics, geothermal operators can optimize performance, enhance safety, and minimize environmental impacts, ensuring that geothermal energy remains a reliable and sustainable source of renewable power. However, to fully realize these benefits, it will be necessary to foster greater collaboration between industry stakeholders, research institutions, and regulatory bodies (Beiranvand & Rajaee, 2022, Singh, et al., 2023). The integration of cross-disciplinary knowledge and expertise will drive the development of new technologies, strategies, and policies that will shape the future of geothermal energy production.

As geothermal energy continues to grow as a key player in the renewable energy sector, the need for advanced real-time monitoring and risk management systems will only increase. The emerging technologies that are transforming the geothermal industry today will pave the way for even more sophisticated tools in the future (Li, et al., 2022, Ozowe, et al., 2020). Through continued innovation, collaboration, and expansion of applications to diverse geothermal systems, the geothermal energy sector will be better equipped to meet the challenges of a rapidly evolving energy landscape, ensuring the safe, efficient, and sustainable production of geothermal power for generations to come.

7. Conclusion

In conclusion, real-time monitoring and risk management in geothermal energy production are crucial components for ensuring safe, efficient, and sustainable operations. As the demand for renewable energy continues to grow, geothermal energy stands out as a reliable and increasingly important resource. However, its potential can only be fully realized when supported by advanced technologies that can effectively monitor system performance, manage risks, and optimize energy extraction processes.

Key findings from the exploration of real-time monitoring and risk management in geothermal systems highlight the importance of integrating sensor technologies, machine learning, and predictive analytics to address the unique challenges faced by geothermal operations. The ability to continuously monitor temperature, pressure, fluid flow, and other critical parameters is essential for detecting early signs of potential issues such as reservoir depletion, induced seismicity, or equipment failure. By combining these technologies with advanced data analytics, operators can proactively adjust operations, mitigate risks, and ensure that geothermal energy production remains safe and efficient.

Technological innovation plays a central role in advancing the geothermal industry, particularly as new developments in AI, sensor systems, and remote sensing technologies enable more precise and real-time monitoring capabilities. These innovations are transforming how geothermal operators manage complex systems and anticipate potential problems before they escalate into significant operational disruptions. The integration of AI and machine learning, for example, is not only improving predictive maintenance but also enhancing decision-making processes and the overall efficiency of geothermal operations. With these tools, geothermal energy production can become more cost-effective, environmentally responsible, and aligned with the growing need for reliable clean energy solutions.

Real-time monitoring and risk management are also critical to achieving global clean energy and sustainability goals. As the world transitions to a low-carbon future, geothermal energy has the potential to contribute significantly to reducing greenhouse gas emissions and meeting energy demand. By ensuring that geothermal systems operate optimally and with minimal environmental impact, real-time monitoring and risk management strategies can enhance the sustainability of geothermal operations, making them a key player in the global shift toward renewable energy. In this way, the ongoing development and deployment of these technologies will help shape a more sustainable energy future, supporting the transition to cleaner, more resilient energy systems worldwide.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclsoed.

References

[1] Abdali, M. R., Mohamadian, N., Ghorbani, H., & Wood, D. A. (2021). Petroleum well blowouts as a threat to drilling operation and wellbore sustainability: causes, prevention, safety and emergency response. *Journal of Construction Materials| Special Issue on Sustainable Petroleum Engineering ISSN*, *2652*, 3752.

[2] Abdelaal, A., Elkatatny, S., & Abdulraheem, A. (2021). Data-driven modeling approach for pore pressure gradient prediction while drilling from drilling parameters. *ACS omega*, *6*(21), 13807-13816.

[3] Abdelfattah, T., Nasir, E., Yang, J., Bynum, J., Klebanov, A., Tarar, D., ... & Mascagnini, C. (2021, September). Data Driven Workflow to Optimize Eagle Ford Unconventional Asset Development Plan Based on Multidisciplinary Data. In *SPE Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition?* (p. D011S006R004). SPE.

[4] Abdo, A. E. (2019). *Development of a Well Integrity Management System for Drilling and Well Control Applications* (Doctoral dissertation, Politecnico di Torino).

[5] Adland, R., Cariou, P., & Wolff, F. C. (2019). When energy efficiency is secondary: The case of Offshore Support Vessels. *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment*, *72*, 114-126.

[6] Agupugo, C. (2023). Design of A Renewable Energy Based Microgrid That Comprises of Only PV and Battery Storage to Sustain Critical Loads in Nigeria Air Force Base, Kaduna. ResearchGate.

[7] Agupugo, C. P., & Tochukwu, M. F. C. (2021): A model to Assess the Economic Viability of Renewable Energy Microgrids: A Case Study of Imufu Nigeria.

[8] Agupugo, C. P., Ajayi, A. O., Nwanevu, C., & Oladipo, S. S. (2022); Advancements in Technology for Renewable Energy Microgrids.

[9] Agupugo, C. P., Ajayi, A. O., Nwanevu, C., & Oladipo, S. S. (2022): Policy and regulatory framework supporting renewable energy microgrids and energy storage systems.

[10] Azzola, J., Thiemann, K., & Gaucher, E. (2023). Integration of distributed acoustic sensing for real-time seismic monitoring of a geothermal field. *Geothermal Energy*, *11*(1), 30.

[11] Beiranvand, B., & Rajaee, T. (2022). Application of artificial intelligence-based single and hybrid models in predicting seepage and pore water pressure of dams: A state-of-the-art review. *Advances in Engineering Software*, *173*, 103268.

[12] Bello, O. A., Folorunso, A., Ejiofor, O. E., Budale, F. Z., Adebayo, K., & Babatunde, O. A. (2023). Machine Learning Approaches for Enhancing Fraud Prevention in Financial Transactions. *International Journal of Management Technology*, *10*(1), 85-108.

[13] Bello, O. A., Folorunso, A., Ogundipe, A., Kazeem, O., Budale, A., Zainab, F., & Ejiofor, O. E. (2022). Enhancing Cyber Financial Fraud Detection Using Deep Learning Techniques: A Study on Neural Networks and Anomaly Detection. *International Journal of Network and Communication Research*, *7*(1), 90-113.

[14] Bello, O. A., Folorunso, A., Onwuchekwa, J., & Ejiofor, O. E. (2023). A Comprehensive Framework for Strengthening USA Financial Cybersecurity: Integrating Machine Learning and AI in Fraud Detection Systems. *European Journal of Computer Science and Information Technology*, *11*(6), 62-83.

[15] Bello, O. A., Folorunso, A., Onwuchekwa, J., Ejiofor, O. E., Budale, F. Z., & Egwuonwu, M. N. (2023). Analysing the Impact of Advanced Analytics on Fraud Detection: A Machine Learning Perspective. *European Journal of Computer Science and Information Technology*, *11*(6), 103-126.

[16] Bello, O. A., Ogundipe, A., Mohammed, D., Adebola, F., & Alonge, O. A. (2023). AI-Driven Approaches for Real-Time Fraud Detection in US Financial Transactions: Challenges and Opportunities. *European Journal of Computer Science and Information Technology*, *11*(6), 84-102.

[17] Chen, D., He, B., Wang, Y., Han, C., Wang, Y., & Xu, Y. (2023). Prediction of Leakage Pressure during a Drilling Process Based on SSA-LSTM. *Processes*, *11*(9), 2608.

[18] Cherepovitsyn, A., & Lebedev, A. (2023). Drill Cuttings Disposal Efficiency in Offshore Oil Drilling. *Journal of Marine Science and Engineering*, *11*(2), 317.

[19] de Almeida, P. C., Araújo, O. D. Q. F., & de Medeiros, J. L. (2017). Managing offshore drill cuttings waste for improved sustainability. *Journal of cleaner production*, *165*, 143-156.

[20] Diao, H., & Ghorbani, M. (2018). Production risk caused by human factors: a multiple case study of thermal power plants. *Frontiers of Business Research in China*, *12*, 1-27.

[21] Dickson, M. H., & Fanelli, M. (2018). What is geothermal energy?. In *Renewable Energy* (pp. Vol1_302-Vol1_328). Routledge.

[22] Dominy, S. C., O'Connor, L., Parbhakar-Fox, A., Glass, H. J., & Purevgerel, S. (2018). Geometallurgy—A route to more resilient mine operations. *Minerals*, *8*(12), 560.

[23] Dong, X., Liu, H., Chen, Z., Wu, K., Lu, N., & Zhang, Q. (2019). Enhanced oil recovery techniques for heavy oil and oilsands reservoirs after steam injection. *Applied energy*, *239*, 1190-1211.

[24] Dufour, F. (2018). The Costs and Implications of Our Demand for Energy: A Comparative and comprehensive Analysis of the available energy resources. *The Costs and Implications of Our Demand for Energy: A Comparative and Comprehensive Analysis of the Available Energy Resources (2018)*.

[25] El Bilali, A., Moukhliss, M., Taleb, A., Nafii, A., Alabjah, B., Brouziyne, Y., ... & Mhamed, M. (2022). Predicting daily pore water pressure in embankment dam: Empowering Machine Learning-based modeling. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, *29*(31), 47382-47398.

[26] Eldardiry, H., & Habib, E. (2018). Carbon capture and sequestration in power generation: review of impacts and opportunities for water sustainability. *Energy, Sustainability and Society*, *8*(1), 1-15.

[27] Epelle, E. I., & Gerogiorgis, D. I. (2020). A review of technological advances and open challenges for oil and gas drilling systems engineering. *AIChE Journal*, *66*(4), e16842.

[28] Ericson, S. J., Engel-Cox, J., & Arent, D. J. (2019). *Approaches for integrating renewable energy technologies in oil and gas operations* (No. NREL/TP-6A50-72842). National Renewable Energy Lab.(NREL), Golden, CO (United States).

[29] Erofeev, A., Orlov, D., Ryzhov, A., & Koroteev, D. (2019). Prediction of porosity and permeability alteration based on machine learning algorithms. *Transport in Porous Media*, *128*, 677-700.

[30] Eshiet, K. I. I., & Sheng, Y. (2018). The performance of stochastic designs in wellbore drilling operations. *Petroleum Science*, *15*, 335-365.

[31] Eyinla, D. S., Oladunjoye, M. A., Olayinka, A. I., & Bate, B. B. (2021). Rock physics and geomechanical application in the interpretation of rock property trends for overpressure detection. *Journal of Petroleum Exploration and Production*, *11*, 75-95.

[32] Fakhari, N. (2022). *A mud design to improve water-based drilling in clay rich formation* (Doctoral dissertation, Curtin University).

[33] Farajzadeh, R., Eftekhari, A. A., Dafnomilis, G., Lake, L. W., & Bruining, J. (2020). On the sustainability of CO2 storage through CO2–Enhanced oil recovery. *Applied energy*, *261*, 114467.

[34] Farajzadeh, R., Glasbergen, G., Karpan, V., Mjeni, R., Boersma, D. M., Eftekhari, A. A., ... & Bruining, J. (2022). Improved oil recovery techniques and their role in energy efficiency and reducing CO2 footprint of oil production. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *369*, 133308.

[35] Francisca, O. O., Chukwudalu, C. E., Delight, C. M., & Anastecia, O. D. (2023). The Application of Deep Learning in Pore Pressure Prediction and Reservoir Optimization: A Brief Review. *Asian Journal of Geological Research*, *6*(3), 160-171.

[36] Gajjar, N. J., Vaghela, A. B., Shah, M. V., & Panchal, R. R. (2023). A systematic review on composition, effect and remediation methods of petroleum hydrocarbon contaminated waste drilling mud.

[37] Garia, S., Pal, A. K., Ravi, K., & Nair, A. M. (2019). A comprehensive analysis on the relationships between elastic wave velocities and petrophysical properties of sedimentary rocks based on laboratory measurements. *Journal of Petroleum Exploration and Production Technology*, *9*, 1869-1881.

[38] Ghani, A., Khan, F., & Garaniya, V. (2015). Improved oil recovery using CO 2 as an injection medium: a detailed analysis. *Journal of Petroleum Exploration and Production Technology*, *5*, 241-254.

[39] Glassley, W. E. (2014). *Geothermal energy: renewable energy and the environment*. CRC press.

[40] Griffiths, S. (2017). A review and assessment of energy policy in the Middle East and North Africa region. *Energy Policy*, *102*, 249-269.

[41] Gür, T. M. (2022). Carbon dioxide emissions, capture, storage and utilization: Review of materials, processes and technologies. *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science*, *89*, 100965.

[42] Hafezi, S. (2023). *Real-time detection of drilling problems & issues during drilling by listing & using their signs both on the surface and downhole* (Master's thesis, NTNU).

[43] Hoseinpour, M., & Riahi, M. A. (2022). Determination of the mud weight window, optimum drilling trajectory, and wellbore stability using geomechanical parameters in one of the Iranian hydrocarbon reservoirs. *Journal of Petroleum Exploration and Production Technology*, 1-20.

[44] Hossain, M. E., Al-Majed, A., Adebayo, A. R., Apaleke, A. S., & Rahman, S. M. (2017). A Critical Review of Drilling Waste Management Towards Sustainable Solutions. *Environmental Engineering & Management Journal (EEMJ)*, *16*(7).

[45] Huaman, R. N. E., & Jun, T. X. (2014). Energy related CO2 emissions and the progress on CCS projects: a review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *31*, 368-385.

[46] Jafarizadeh, F., Rajabi, M., Tabasi, S., Seyedkamali, R., Davoodi, S., Ghorbani, H., ... & Csaba, M. (2022). Data driven models to predict pore pressure using drilling and petrophysical data. *Energy Reports*, *8*, 6551-6562.

[47] Jamrozik, A., Protasova, E., Gonet, A., Bilstad, T., & Żurek, R. (2016). Characteristics of oil based muds and influence on the environment. *AGH Drilling, Oil, Gas*, *33*(4).

[48] Jharap, G., van Leeuwen, L. P., Mout, R., van der Zee, W. E., Roos, F. M., & Muntendam-Bos, A. G. (2020). Ensuring safe growth of the geothermal energy sector in the Netherlands by proactively addressing risks and hazards. *Netherlands Journal of Geosciences*, *99*, e6.

[49] Jomthanachai, S., Wong, W. P., & Lim, C. P. (2021). An application of data envelopment analysis and machine learning approach to risk management. *Ieee Access*, *9*, 85978-85994.

[50] Kabeyi, M. J. B. (2019). Geothermal electricity generation, challenges, opportunities and recommendations. *International Journal of Advances in Scientific Research and Engineering (ijasre)*, *5*(8), 53-95.

[51] Kabeyi, M. J. B., & Olanrewaju, O. A. (2022). Sustainable energy transition for renewable and low carbon grid electricity generation and supply. *Frontiers in Energy research*, *9*, 743114.

[52] Karad, S., & Thakur, R. (2021). Efficient monitoring and control of wind energy conversion systems using Internet of things (IoT): a comprehensive review. *Environment, development and sustainability*, *23*(10), 14197-14214.

[53] Khalid, P., Ahmed, N., Mahmood, A., Saleem, M. A., & Hassan. (2016). An integrated seismic interpretation and rock physics attribute analysis for pore fluid discrimination. *Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering*, *41*, 191-200.

[54] Kianoush, P., Mohammadi, G., Hosseini, S. A., Keshavarz Faraj Khah, N., & Afzal, P. (2023). ANN-based estimation of pore pressure of hydrocarbon reservoirs—a case study. *Arabian Journal of Geosciences*, *16*(5), 302.

[55] Kinik, K., Gumus, F., & Osayande, N. (2015). Automated dynamic well control with managed-pressure drilling: a case study and simulation analysis. *SPE Drilling & Completion*, *30*(02), 110-118.

[56] Kiran, R., Teodoriu, C., Dadmohammadi, Y., Nygaard, R., Wood, D., Mokhtari, M., & Salehi, S. (2017). Identification and evaluation of well integrity and causes of failure of well integrity barriers (A review). *Journal of Natural Gas Science and Engineering*, *45*, 511-526.

[57] Kumari, W. G. P., & Ranjith, P. G. (2019). Sustainable development of enhanced geothermal systems based on geotechnical research–A review. *Earth-Science Reviews*, *199*, 102955.

[58] Leung, D. Y., Caramanna, G., & Maroto-Valer, M. M. (2014). An overview of current status of carbon dioxide capture and storage technologies. *Renewable and sustainable energy reviews*, *39*, 426-443.

[59] Li, G., Song, X., Tian, S., & Zhu, Z. (2022). Intelligent drilling and completion: a review. *Engineering*, *18*, 33-48.

[60] Li, H. (2023). Advancing "Carbon Peak" and "Carbon Neutrality" in China: A Comprehensive Review of Current Global Research on Carbon Capture, Utilization, and Storage Technology and Its Implications. *ACS omega*, *8*(45), 42086-42101.

[61] Li, H., & Zhang, J. (2018). Well log and seismic data analysis for complex pore-structure carbonate reservoir using 3D rock physics templates. *Journal of applied Geophysics*, *151*, 175-183.

[62] Li, N., Dilanchiev, A., & Mustafa, G. (2023). From oil and mineral extraction to renewable energy: Analyzing the efficiency of green technology innovation in the transformation of the oil and gas sector in the extractive industry. *Resources Policy*, *86*, 104080.

[63] Li, W., Zhang, Q., Zhang, Q., Guo, F., Qiao, S., Liu, S., ... & Heng, X. (2019). Development of a distributed hybrid seismic–electrical data acquisition system based on the Narrowband Internet of Things (NB-IoT) technology. *Geoscientific Instrumentation, Methods and Data Systems*, *8*(2), 177-186.

[64] Lindi, O. (2017). *Analysis of Kick Detection Methods in the Light of Actual Blowout Disasters* (Master's thesis, NTNU).

[65] Liu, W., Zhang, G., Cao, J., Zhang, J., & Yu, G. (2019). Combined petrophysics and 3D seismic attributes to predict shale reservoirs favourable areas. *Journal of Geophysics and Engineering*, *16*(5), 974-991.

[66] Lohne, H. P., Ford, E. P., Mansouri, M., & Randeberg, E. (2016). Well integrity risk assessment in geothermal wells–Status of today. *GeoWell, Stavanger*.

[67] Luo, Y., Huang, H., Jakobsen, M., Yang, Y., Zhang, J., & Cai, Y. (2019). Prediction of porosity and gas saturation for deep-buried sandstone reservoirs from seismic data using an improved rock-physics model. *Acta Geophysica*, *67*, 557-575.

[68] Mac Kinnon, M. A., Brouwer, J., & Samuelsen, S. (2018). The role of natural gas and its infrastructure in mitigating greenhouse gas emissions, improving regional air quality, and renewable resource integration. *Progress in Energy and Combustion science*, *64*, 62-92.

[69] Mahmood, A., Thibodeaux, R., Angelle, J., & Smith, L. (2022, April). Digital transformation for promoting renewable energy & sustainability: A systematic approach for carbon footprint reduction in well construction. In *Offshore Technology Conference* (p. D031S038R005). OTC.

[70] Maraveas, C., Piromalis, D., Arvanitis, K. G., Bartzanas, T., & Loukatos, D. (2022). Applications of IoT for optimized greenhouse environment and resources management. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, *198*, 106993.

[71] Marhoon, T. M. M. (2020). *High pressure High temperature (HPHT) wells technologies while drilling* (Doctoral dissertation, Politecnico di Torino).

[72] Martin, C. (2022). *Innovative drilling muds for High Pressure and High Temperature (HPHT) condition using a novel nanoparticle for petroleum engineering systems* (Doctoral dissertation).

[73] Martin-Roberts, E., Scott, V., Flude, S., Johnson, G., Haszeldine, R. S., & Gilfillan, S. (2021). Carbon capture and storage at the end of a lost decade. *One Earth*, *4*(11), 1569-1584.

[74] McCollum, D. L., Zhou, W., Bertram, C., De Boer, H. S., Bosetti, V., Busch, S., ... & Riahi, K. (2018). Energy investment needs for fulfilling the Paris Agreement and achieving the Sustainable Development Goals. *Nature Energy*, *3*(7), 589-599.

[75] Mikunda, T., Brunner, L., Skylogianni, E., Monteiro, J., Rycroft, L., & Kemper, J. (2021). Carbon capture and storage and the sustainable development goals. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*, *108*, 103318.

[76] Mishra, P., & Singh, G. (2023). Energy management systems in sustainable smart cities based on the internet of energy: A technical review. *Energies*, *16*(19), 6903.

[77] Mishra, R. K. (2023). Fresh water availability and its global challenge. *British Journal of Multidisciplinary and Advanced Studies*, *4*(3), 1-78.

[78] Misra, S., Liu, R., Chakravarty, A., & Gonzalez, K. (2022). Machine learning tools for fossil and geothermal energy production and carbon geo-sequestration—a step towards energy digitization and geoscientific digitalization. *Circular Economy and Sustainability*, *2*(3), 1225-1240.

[79] Mohd Aman, A. H., Shaari, N., & Ibrahim, R. (2021). Internet of things energy system: Smart applications, technology advancement, and open issues. *International Journal of Energy Research*, *45*(6), 8389-8419.

[80] Mohsen, O., & Fereshteh, N. (2017). An extended VIKOR method based on entropy measure for the failure modes risk assessment–A case study of the geothermal power plant (GPP). *Safety science*, *92*, 160-172.

[81] Mordensky, S. P., Lipor, J. J., DeAngelo, J., Burns, E. R., & Lindsey, C. R. (2023). When less is more: How increasing the complexity of machine learning strategies for geothermal energy assessments may not lead toward better estimates. *Geothermics*, *110*, 102662.

[82] Mosca, F., Djordjevic, O., Hantschel, T., McCarthy, J., Krueger, A., Phelps, D., ... & MacGregor, A. (2018). Pore pressure prediction while drilling: Three-dimensional earth model in the Gulf of Mexico. *AAPG Bulletin*, *102*(4), 691-708.

[83] Mrdjen, I., & Lee, J. (2016). High volume hydraulic fracturing operations: potential impacts on surface water and human health. *International journal of environmental health research*, *26*(4), 361-380.

[84] Mushtaq, N., Singh, D. V., Bhat, R. A., Dervash, M. A., & Hameed, O. B. (2020). Freshwater contamination: sources and hazards to aquatic biota. *Fresh water pollution dynamics and remediation*, 27-50.

[85] Muther, T., Syed, F. I., Lancaster, A. T., Salsabila, F. D., Dahaghi, A. K., & Negahban, S. (2022). Geothermal 4.0: AI-enabled geothermal reservoir development-current status, potentials, limitations, and ways forward. *Geothermics*, *100*, 102348.

[86] Najibi, A. R., & Asef, M. R. (2014). Prediction of seismic-wave velocities in rock at various confining pressures based on unconfined data. *Geophysics*, *79*(4), D235-D242.

[87] Najibi, A. R., Ghafoori, M., Lashkaripour, G. R., & Asef, M. R. (2017). Reservoir geomechanical modeling: In-situ stress, pore pressure, and mud design. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, *151*, 31-39.

[88] Napp, T. A., Gambhir, A., Hills, T. P., Florin, N., & Fennell, P. S. (2014). A review of the technologies, economics and policy instruments for decarbonising energy-intensive manufacturing industries. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *30*, 616-640.

[89] Nasserddine, G., Nassereddine, M., & El Arid, A. A. (2023). Internet of things integration in renewable energy Systems. In *Handbook of Research on Applications of AI, Digital Twin, and Internet of Things for Sustainable Development* (pp. 159-185). IGI Global.

[90] Nduagu, E. I., & Gates, I. D. (2015). Unconventional heavy oil growth and global greenhouse gas emissions. *Environmental science & technology*, *49*(14), 8824-8832.

[91] Nguyen, H. H., Khabbaz, H., Fatahi, B., Vincent, P., & Marix-Evans, M. (2014, October). Sustainability considerations for ground improvement techniques using controlled modulus columns. In *AGS Symposium on Resilient Geotechnics*. The Australian Geomechanics Society.

[92] Nimana, B., Canter, C., & Kumar, A. (2015). Energy consumption and greenhouse gas emissions in upgrading and refining of Canada's oil sands products. *Energy*, *83*, 65-79.

[93] Ning, Y., Wang, L., Yu, X., & Li, J. (2023). Recent development in the decarbonization of marine and offshore engineering systems. *Ocean Engineering*, *280*, 114883.

[94] Njuguna, J., Siddique, S., Kwroffie, L. B., Piromrat, S., Addae-Afoakwa, K., Ekeh-Adegbotolu, U., ... & Moller, L. (2022). The fate of waste drilling fluids from oil & gas industry activities in the exploration and production operations. *Waste Management*, *139*, 362-380.

[95] Ogbu, A. D., Eyo-Udo, N. L., Adeyinka, M. A., Ozowe, W., & Ikevuje, A. H. (2023). A conceptual procurement model for sustainability and climate change mitigation in the oil, gas, and energy sectors. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, *20*(3), 1935-1952.

[96] Ogbu, A. D., Iwe, K. A., Ozowe, W., & Ikevuje, A. H. (2023): Sustainable Approaches to Pore Pressure Prediction in Environmentally Sensitive Areas.

[97] Olufemi, B. A., Ozowe, W. O., & Komolafe, O. O. (2011). Studies on the production of caustic soda using solar powered diaphragm cells. *ARPN Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences*, *6*(3), 49-54.

[98] Olufemi, B., Ozowe, W., & Afolabi, K. (2012). Operational Simulation of Sola Cells for Caustic. *Cell (EADC)*, *2*(6).

[99] Orikpete, O. F., Ikemba, S., & Ewim, D. R. E. (2023). Integration of renewable energy technologies in smart building design for enhanced energy efficiency and self-sufficiency. *The Journal of Engineering and Exact Sciences*, *9*(9), 16423-01e.

[100] Ovwigho, E. M., Almomen, M. S., Corona, M., & Terrez, J. (2023, March). Well Integrity Challenges while Drilling in High Pressure and Narrow Window Environment: A Case Study of a Deep Gas Field in the Middle East. In *SPE Middle East Oil and Gas Show and Conference* (p. D021S051R003). SPE.

[101] Ozowe, W. O. (2018). *Capillary pressure curve and liquid permeability estimation in tight oil reservoirs using pressure decline versus time data* (Doctoral dissertation).

[102] Ozowe, W. O. (2021). *Evaluation of lean and rich gas injection for improved oil recovery in hydraulically fractured reservoirs* (Doctoral dissertation).

[103] Ozowe, W., Daramola, G. O., & Ekemezie, I. O. (2023). Recent advances and challenges in gas injection techniques for enhanced oil recovery. *Magna Scientia Advanced Research and Reviews*, *9*(2), 168-178.

[104] Ozowe, W., Quintanilla, Z., Russell, R., & Sharma, M. (2020, October). Experimental evaluation of solvents for improved oil recovery in shale oil reservoirs. In *SPE Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition?* (p. D021S019R007). SPE.

[105] Ozowe, W., Russell, R., & Sharma, M. (2020, July). A novel experimental approach for dynamic quantification of liquid saturation and capillary pressure in shale. In *SPE/AAPG/SEG Unconventional Resources Technology Conference* (p. D023S025R002). URTEC.

[106] Ozowe, W., Zheng, S., & Sharma, M. (2020). Selection of hydrocarbon gas for huff-n-puff IOR in shale oil reservoirs. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, *195*, 107683.

[107] Prauzek, M., Kucova, T., Konecny, J., Adamikova, M., Gaiova, K., Mikus, M., ... & Koziorek, J. (2023). Iot sensor challenges for geothermal energy installations monitoring: a survey. *Sensors*, *23*(12), 5577.

[108] Pwavodi, J., Kelechi, I. N., Angalabiri, P., Emeremgini, S. C., & Oguadinma, V. O. (2023). Pore pressure prediction in offshore Niger delta using data-driven approach: Implications on drilling and reservoir quality. *Energy Geoscience*, *4*(3), 100194.

[109] Quintanilla, Z., Ozowe, W., Russell, R., Sharma, M., Watts, R., Fitch, F., & Ahmad, Y. K. (2021, July). An experimental investigation demonstrating enhanced oil recovery in tight rocks using mixtures of gases and nanoparticles. In *SPE/AAPG/SEG Unconventional Resources Technology Conference* (p. D031S073R003). URTEC.

[110] Rane, N. (2023). Contribution of ChatGPT and other generative artificial intelligence (AI) in renewable and sustainable energy. *Available at SSRN 4597674*.

[111] Sambo, C., Liu, N., Shaibu, R., Ahmed, A. A., & Hashish, R. G. (2023). A technical review of CO2 for enhanced oil recovery in unconventional oil reservoirs. *Geoenergy Science and Engineering*, *221*, 111185.

[112] Singh, H., Li, C., Cheng, P., Wang, X., Hao, G., & Liu, Q. (2023). Automated real-time formation evaluation from cuttings and drilling data analysis: State of the art. *Advances in Geo-Energy Research*, *8*(1).

[113] Taleghani, A. D., & Santos, L. (2023). *Wellbore integrity: from theory to practice*. Springer Nature.

[114] Temizel, C., Aydin, H., Hosgor, F. B., Yegin, C., & Kabir, C. S. (2023). Green Energy Sources Reduce Carbon Footprint of Oil & Gas Industry Processes: A Review. *Journal of Energy and Power Technology*, *5*(1), 1-25.

[115] Yasemi, S., Khalili, Y., Sanati, A., & Bagheri, M. (2023). Carbon capture and storage: Application in the oil and gas industry. *Sustainability*, *15*(19), 14486.

[116] Zhang, P., Ozowe, W., Russell, R. T., & Sharma, M. M. (2021). Characterization of an electrically conductive proppant for fracture diagnostics. *Geophysics*, *86*(1), E13-E20.