

(REVIEW ARTICLE)



On τ -valently starlike and τ -valently close-to-convex of q -operator

Uzoamaka Azuka Ezeafulukwe *

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Physical Sciences University of Nigeria, Nsukka, Nigeria.

International Journal of Science and Research Archive, 2023, 08(02), 716–723

Publication history: Received on 03 February 2023; revised on 14 March 2023; accepted on 17 March 2023

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30574/ijrsra.2023.8.2.0068>

Abstract

In this article, some properties of τ -valently starlike and τ -valently close-toconvex of q -operator are studied.

Keywords: τ -valently function; Starlike function; Close-to-convex function; q -Operator

1. Introduction

Let $A(\tau)$ denote the class functions which are analytic and τ -valent in a unit disc $U = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| < 1\}$ of the form

$$f(z) = z^\tau + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \tau^k a_k z^{\tau+k} \quad \tau \in \mathbb{N}, z \neq \frac{1}{\tau}, \tau|z| < 1 \quad \dots\dots\dots(1.1)$$

The function $f(z) \in A(\tau)$ was defined in [1] as

$$\Theta(z) * \frac{z^\tau}{1 - \tau z}, \quad z \neq \frac{1}{\tau}, \tau|z| < 1, \tau \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $\Theta(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^{\tau-k}, a_0 = 1.$

Let functions $\rho(z) < \sigma(z)$ and σ be analytic in U , then ρ is said to be subordinate to σ , written as $\rho(z) < \sigma(z)$, if $\rho(0) = \sigma(0)$ with $\sigma(z)$ univalent in U and the set of values assumed by $\rho(z)$ for $z \in U$ is included in the set of values assumed there by $\sigma(z)$.

A function $f(z)$ in $A(\tau)$ is said to be τ -valently starlike if and only if

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > 0 \quad 1 \quad \dots\dots\dots(1.2)$$

also function $f(z)$ in $A(\tau)$ is said to be τ -valently close-to-convex if and if there exists a starlike function say $g(z)$ such that

* Corresponding author: Uzoamaka A. Ezeafulukwe

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} \right\} > 0 \dots\dots\dots(1.3)$$

We denote the class of functions which are τ -valently starlike and τ -valently close-to convex by $S(\tau)$ and $K(\tau)$ respectively. Some properties of functions in classes $S(\tau)$ and $K(\tau)$ had been studied extensively for example see (pages 188-198, [11]) and also [2].

Definition 1.1 Let $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ be fixed. A set $\eta \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is called a μ -geometric set if for $z \in \eta, \mu z \in \eta$. Let $h \in A(1), 0 < q < 1$ be a function defined on a q -geometric set $\eta \in \mathbb{C}$. The q -difference operator is defined by the formula

$$D_q h(z) = \frac{h(z) - h(qz)}{z - qz}, \quad z \in \eta - \{0\} \dots\dots\dots(1.4)$$

and $D_q h(0) = h'(0)$. From (1.4), we have

$$D_q h(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q a_k z^{k-1}, \quad (z \neq 0)$$

where

$$[k]_q = \frac{1-q^k}{1-q}.$$

As $q \rightarrow 1, [k]_q \rightarrow k$. In particular when $h(z) = z^k$, clearly $D_q \rightarrow \frac{d}{dz}$ as $q \rightarrow 1$.

The q -shift factorial, the multiple q -shift factorial and the q -binomial coefficients are defined by

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n; q)_k = \begin{cases} 1 & (k = 0, j = 1, a_1 = a) \\ \prod_{n=0}^{k-1} (1 - aq^n) & (j = 1, k \neq 0, a_1 = a, n \in \mathbb{N}) \\ \prod_{j=1}^n (a_j; q) & (j = 1, 2, \dots, n; n \in \mathbb{N}, k \in \mathbb{Z}). \end{cases} \dots\dots\dots 1.5$$

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} a \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \begin{cases} 1 & (k = 0), \\ \frac{(1-q^a)(1-q^{a-1}) \dots (1-q^{a-k+1})}{(q; q)_k} & (k \in \mathbb{N}) \end{cases} \dots\dots\dots 1.6$$

where $a, q \in \mathbb{C}$.

Let ${}_r\Phi_s$ denote the q -Hypergeometric series

$${}_r\Phi_s \left(\begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_r \\ b_1, \dots, b_s \end{matrix} \middle| q, z \right) = {}_r\Phi_s(a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_s; q, z) \dots\dots\dots 1.7$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} a \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_q + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1, \dots, a_r; q)_k}{(q, b_1, \dots, b_s; q)_k} z^k (-q^{-\frac{k-1}{2}})^{k(s+1-r)} \dots\dots\dots 1.8$$

For more details about q -derivatives see [14] and also [3]-[5].

Let $s + 1 = r$; $r, s \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ then, the function

$$\begin{aligned} {}_rG_s(a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_s, q, z^\tau) &= z^\tau {}_r\Phi_s(a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_s, q, z) \\ &= z^\tau + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1, q)_k \dots (a_r, q)_k}{(q, q)_k (b_1, q)_k \dots (b_s, q)_k} z^{\tau+k} \dots\dots\dots (1.9) \end{aligned}$$

In this article we assume

$$M^{(n)}(a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_s; q, z^\tau)f(z) = M^{(n)}(a_i; b_j; q, z^\tau)f(z),$$

where $(n \in \mathbb{N}; i = 1, 2, \dots, r; j = 1, 2, \dots, s)$.

Let $0 < \lambda < 1$ we define the operator $M^{(n)}(a_i; b_j; q, z^\tau)f(z) : A(\tau) \rightarrow A(\tau)$ by

$$\mathcal{M}^{(n)}(a_i; b_j; q, z^\tau)f(z) = \mathcal{M}^{(1)}(\mathcal{M}^{(n-1)}(a_i; b_j; q, z^\tau)f(z)) \dots\dots\dots (1.10)$$

$$= z^\tau + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda(k-1)]^n \tau^{k-1} \theta_{k-1} a_k z^{\tau+k-1}, \dots\dots\dots (1.11)$$

where

$$\theta_{k-1} = \frac{(a_1, q)_{k-1} \dots (a_r, q)_{k-1}}{(q, q)_{k-1} (b_1, q)_{k-1} \dots (b_s, q)_{k-1}}$$

Clearly

$$M^{(0)}(a_i; b_j; q, z^\tau)f(z) = {}_rG_s(a_i; b_j; q, z^\tau) * f(z),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &M^{(n)}(a_i; b_j; q, z^\tau)f(z) \\ &= (1 - \tau\lambda) (\mathcal{M}^{(n-1)}(a_i; b_j; q, z^\tau)f(z)) + \lambda z [\mathcal{M}^{(n-1)}(a_i; b_j; q, z^\tau)f(z)]' \dots\dots\dots (1.12) \end{aligned}$$

The operator $M^{(n)}(a_i; b_j; q, z^\tau)f(z)$ is the generalization of other operator studied in , the item bellow:

- For $r = 1, s = 0, \tau \in \mathbb{N}, a_1 = q, n = 0$, we have the function $f(z) \in A(\tau)$ studied by many but we mention just a few [8]-[11].
- For $r = 1, s = 0, \tau = 1, a_1 = q, n \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda = 0$ we have the *S*al̄agean operator [13].
- For $r = 1, s = 0, \tau = 1$ and $a_1 = q, n \in \mathbb{N}, 0 < \lambda < 1$ we have the Al-Oboudi operator [12].
- For $\tau = 1$, was studied by [3]. \forall For $n = 0, \tau = 1$, was studied by [5].
- For $\tau = 1, n = 0, a_i = q\alpha_i, b_j = q\beta_j, \alpha_i, \beta_j \in \mathbb{C}, \beta_j \in \mathbb{Z} - \cup \{0\}$ ($i = 1, \dots, r, j = 1, \dots, s$) and $q \rightarrow 1$ was studied by [7].

The aim of this article is to calculate some conditions for the operator defined in (1.10) to be τ -valently starlike and τ -valently convex.

2. Criterion for τ -valently starlikeness

In this section, we calculate the necessary condition for the operator defined in (1.10) to be τ -valently starlike. We use the same technique of proof in [6], see also [10] to prove Theorem 2.1

2.1. Theorem 2.1

Assume $z \in U(\theta) = \{z : |z| < 1, z \neq 0, (\arg z - \theta)(\arg z - \theta - \pi) \neq$

where $\alpha, \theta \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < \alpha \leq 1, 0 \leq \theta < \pi$. Let $M^{(n)}(a_i; b_j; q; z^\tau)f(z)$ be the operator defined in (1.10) such that

$$\left| \arg \frac{[(\mathcal{M}^{(n+1)}(a_i; b_j; q; z^\tau)f)(z)]}{z^\tau} \right| < \frac{\pi\alpha}{2}, \quad z \in U, \quad \dots\dots\dots 2.1$$

with

$$\left(\operatorname{Im} \frac{[(\mathcal{M}^{(n+1)}(a_i; b_j; q; z^\tau)f)(z)]}{z^\tau} \right) \left(\operatorname{Im} \frac{z}{e^{i\theta}} \right) \neq 0, \quad \dots\dots\dots (2.2)$$

then

$$\left| \arg \frac{z[(\mathcal{M}^{(n)}(a_i; b_j; q; z^\tau)f)(z)]}{[(\mathcal{M}^{(n+1)}(a_i; b_j; q; z^\tau)f)(z)]} \right| < \alpha\pi$$

and $M^{(n)}(a_i; b_j; q; z^\tau)f(z) \in S(\tau)$.

Proof. Using the identity (1.12), we set

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(\mathcal{M}^{(n)}(a_i; b_j; q; z^\tau)f)(z)}{z(\mathcal{M}^{(n+1)}(a_i; b_j; q; z^\tau)f)(z)} \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda\xi} \int_0^1 \left(\frac{(\mathcal{M}^{(n+1)}(a_i; b_j; q; z^\tau)f)(\xi z) - (1 - \lambda\tau)(\mathcal{M}^{(n)}(a_i; b_j; q; z^\tau)f)(\xi z)}{z(\mathcal{M}^{(n+1)}(a_i; b_j; q; z^\tau)f)(z)} \right) d\xi \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{[(\mathcal{M}^{(n)}(a_i; b_j; q; z^\tau)f)(\xi z)]'}{(\mathcal{M}^{(n+1)}(a_i; b_j; q; z^\tau)f)(z)} d\xi \quad \dots\dots\dots 2.3 \\ &= \frac{z^\tau}{(\mathcal{M}^{(n+1)}(a_i; b_j; q; z^\tau)f)(z)} \int_0^1 \frac{\xi^\tau (\mathcal{M}^{(n)}(a_i; b_j; q; z^\tau)f)(\xi z)}{(\xi z)^\tau} d\xi \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\square \quad \left| \arg \xi^\tau \frac{(\mathcal{M}^{(n)}(a_i; b_j; q; z^\tau)f)(\xi z)}{(\xi z)^\tau} \right| = \left| \arg \frac{(\mathcal{M}^{(n)}(a_i; b_j; q; z^\tau)f)(\xi z)}{(\xi z)^\tau} \right| < \frac{\pi\alpha}{2}. \quad \dots\dots\dots 2.5$$

From (2.1), (2.2) and (2.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \bullet \quad 0 < \arg \left[\frac{(\mathcal{M}^{(n+1)}(a_i; b_j; q, z^\tau) f)(z)}{z^\tau} \right] < \frac{\pi\alpha}{2} \\
 & \bullet \quad -\frac{\pi\alpha}{2} < \left[\arg \frac{(\mathcal{M}^{(n+1)}(a_i; b_j; q, z^\tau) f)(z)}{z^\tau} \right] < 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

If (i) holds then, the $\int_0^1 \xi^\tau \frac{[(\mathcal{M}^{(n)}(a_i; b_j; q, z^\tau) f)(\xi z)]}{(\xi z)^\tau} d\xi$ integral lies in the same convex sector $\{z : 0 < \arg z < \frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\}$, and

If (ii) holds then, the $\int_0^1 \xi^\tau \frac{[(\mathcal{M}^{(n)}(a_i; b_j; q, z^\tau) f)(\xi z)]}{(\xi z)^\tau} d\xi$ integral lies in the same convex sector $\{z : -\frac{\pi\alpha}{2} < \arg z < 0\}$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \leq \left| \arg \frac{\left| \arg \frac{(\mathcal{M}^{(n)}(a_i; b_j; q, z^\tau) f)(z)}{z(\mathcal{M}^{(n+1)}(a_i; b_j; q, z^\tau) f)(z)} \right|}{\frac{z^\tau}{(\mathcal{M}^{(n+1)}(a_i; b_j; q, z^\tau) f)(z)} + \left| \arg \int_0^1 \xi^\tau \frac{(\mathcal{M}^{(n)}(a_i; b_j; q, z^\tau) f)(\xi z)}{(\xi z)^\tau} d\xi \right|} \right| \\
 & < \frac{\pi\alpha}{2} + \frac{\pi\alpha}{2} = \pi\alpha, \quad z \in \mathcal{U} \quad \dots\dots\dots 2.6
 \end{aligned}$$

and the prove of the theory.

We state **Corollaries 2.2-2.4**, without prove because their proves are similar to that of **Theorem 2.1**.

2.2. Corollary 2.2

Let $M^{(n)}(a_i; b_j; q, z^\tau) f(z)$ be the operator defined in (1.11) such that

$$\left| \arg \left[\frac{(\mathcal{M}^{(n+1)}(a_i; b_j; q, z^\tau) f)(z)}{z^\tau} \right] \right| < \frac{\pi\alpha}{2}, \quad z \in \mathcal{U}, \quad \dots\dots\dots 2.7$$

If

$$\left(\frac{[(\mathcal{M}^{(n+1)}(a_i; b_j; q, z^\tau) f)(z)]}{z^\tau} \right) \dots\dots\dots 2.8$$

is typically real in \mathcal{U} , then $(M^{(n+1)}(a_i; b_j; q, z^\tau) f)(z) \in S(\tau)$ in \mathcal{U} .

2.3. Corollary 2.3

Let $\tau = 1$ with $f(z) \in A(1)$, and $M^{(n)}(a_i; b_j; q, z) f(z)$ be the operator defined in (1.11) such that

$$\left| \arg \left[\frac{(\mathcal{M}^{(n+1)}(a_i; b_j; q, z) f)(z)}{z} \right] \right| < \frac{\pi\alpha}{2}, \quad z \in \mathcal{U}, \quad \dots\dots\dots 2.9$$

If

$$(M^{(n+1)}(a_i; b_j; q, z) f)(z) \dots\dots\dots (2.10)$$

is typically real in U , then $(M^{(n)}(a_i; b_j; q, z)f)(z) \in S(\tau)$ in U .

2.4. Corollary 2.4

If $r = 1, s = 0, \tau \in \mathbb{N}, a_1 = q, n = 0$ with

$$J(z) = (M^{(0)}(a_1; b_0; q, z^\tau)f)(z) \text{ and } \left| \arg \frac{z\mathcal{J}'(z)}{\mathcal{J}(z)} \right| < \frac{\pi\alpha}{2} \text{ also } \left(\operatorname{Im} \frac{z\mathcal{J}'(z)}{\mathcal{J}(z)} \right) \left(\operatorname{Im} \frac{z}{e^{i\theta}} \right) \neq 0 \text{ then}$$

$$\left| \arg \frac{z\mathcal{J}'(z)}{\mathcal{J}(z)} \right| < \frac{\pi\alpha}{2}, \dots\dots\dots 2.11$$

and $J \in S(\tau)$.

Corollary 2.4 is equivalent to Theorem of Nunokawa ([10],page 24) and the function $J(z)$ can be used to calculate Corollaries 1-3 of [10].

3. Criterion for τ -valently close-to-convex

In this section we calculate the necessary condition for the operator defined in (1.10) to be τ -valently close-to-convex. We use the same technique of proof in [8], see also [9] to prove our **Theorem 3.1**.

3.1. Theorem 3.1

Let $M^{(n)}(a_i; b_j; q, z^\tau)f(z)$ be the operator defined in (1.10) such that

$$2 - \frac{2\lambda\tau(\tau - \gamma)}{\tau - d} < 1 + \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{(\mathcal{M}^{(n+2)}(a_i; b_j; q, z^\tau)f)(z)}{(\mathcal{M}^{(n+1)}(a_i; b_j; q, z^\tau)f)(z)} \right\} < \gamma, \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}) \dots\dots\dots 3.1$$

where $1 + \tau \leq d, \frac{\tau+d-1}{2} < \gamma \leq \frac{\tau+d+1}{2}$ then $M^{(n)}(a_i; b_j; q, z^\tau)f(z) \in K(\tau)$.

Proof. For $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\tau = 2, d = \gamma$, the prove of the theorem is trivial. Let $\lambda \neq \frac{1}{2}$ We set

$$\Theta(z) = \frac{1}{\lambda(\gamma - \tau)} \left\{ \lambda(\gamma - \tau) + 1 - \frac{(\mathcal{M}^{(n+2)}(a_i; b_j; q, z^\tau)f)(z)}{(\mathcal{M}^{(n+1)}(a_i; b_j; q, z^\tau)f)(z)} \right\} = \frac{z\vartheta'(z)}{\vartheta(z)} \dots\dots\dots (3.2)$$

From (3.2), we have $\Theta(0) = 1, \operatorname{Re}\{\Theta(z)\} > 0$ in the unit disc U , it then follows that $\vartheta(z) \in S(1)$, and some calculations on (3.2) using the identity (1.12) gives

$$\frac{(\mathcal{M}^{(n+1)}(a_i; b_j; q, z^\tau)f)(z)}{(z)^\tau} = \left(\frac{\vartheta(z)}{z} \right)^{\tau-\gamma}$$

Let

$$F(z) = \frac{(\mathcal{M}^{(n+1)}(a_i; b_j; q, z^\tau)f)(z)}{z^{\frac{(\tau+d)}{2}} \vartheta(z)^{\frac{(\tau-d)}{2}}} = \left(\frac{z}{\vartheta(z)} \right)^{\frac{2\gamma-\tau-d}{2}}$$

$F(z)$ is analytic in U with $F(0) = 1$ so there exist an analytic and univalent function say $s(z)$ such that $s(0) = 1$ and the set of values assumed in $F(z)$ for $z \in U$ is included in the set of values assumed in $s(z)$. We choose such $s(z)$ as $(1 - z)^{2\gamma-d-\tau}$, and hence

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{(\mathcal{M}^{(n+1)}(a_i; b_j; q; z^\tau)f)(z)}{z^{\frac{(\tau+d)}{2}} \vartheta(z)^{\frac{(\tau-d)}{2}}} \right\} > 0 \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

$$h(z) = z^{\frac{(\tau+d)}{2}} \vartheta(z)^{\frac{(\tau-d)}{2}}, \quad \dots\dots\dots 3.3$$

From (3.3) and the assumption of the theorem, it follows that

$$F(z) \prec s(z) \dots\dots\dots 3.4$$

We set

then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zh'(z)}{h(z)} \right\} - \frac{\tau + d}{2} + \left(\frac{\tau - d}{2} \right) \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z\vartheta'(z)}{\vartheta(z)} \right\}.$$

From (3.2) and the assumption (3.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zh'(z)}{h(z)} \right\} &= \frac{\tau + d}{2} + \left(\frac{\tau - d}{2\lambda(\tau - \gamma)} \right) \left\{ \lambda(\tau - \gamma) - 1 + \frac{(\mathcal{M}^{(n+2)}(a_i; b_j; q; z^\tau)f)(z)}{(\mathcal{M}^{(n+1)}(a_i; b_j; q; z^\tau)f)(z)} \right\} \\ &> \frac{\tau + d}{2} + \left(\frac{d - \tau}{2\lambda(\tau - \gamma)} \right) \left\{ \lambda(\gamma - \tau) + \frac{2d\lambda(\tau - \gamma)}{\tau - d} \right\} = 0 \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}) \quad \dots\dots\dots (3.5) \end{aligned}$$

That means $h(z)$ has a zero of order τ at $z = 0$, hence $h(z)$ is τ -valently starlike and from (3.4) and (3.5), $M^{(n)}(a_i; b_j; q; z^\tau)f(z)$ is τ -valently close-to-convex.

3.2. Corollary 3.2

Let $M^{(n)}(a_i; b_j; q; z^\tau)f(z)$ be the operator defined in (1.10) such that

$$2 - 2\tau\lambda < 1 + \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(\mathcal{M}^{(n+2)}(a_i; b_j; q; z^\tau)f)(z)}{(\mathcal{M}^{(n+1)}(a_i; b_j; q; z^\tau)f)(z)} \right\} < d, \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}),$$

or

$$\frac{2\tau\lambda(\gamma - \tau)}{d - \tau} + 1 < 1 + \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(\mathcal{M}^{(n+2)}(a_i; b_j; q; z^\tau)f)(z)}{(\mathcal{M}^{(n+1)}(a_i; b_j; q; z^\tau)f)(z)} \right\} < \gamma, \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

then $M^{(n)}(a_i; b_j; q; z^\tau)f(z) \in K(\tau)$.

Proof. For $\lambda \neq \frac{1}{2}$ and by substituting $d = \gamma$, or $d = \tau - 2$, $\gamma = \tau - \frac{1}{2}$ in Theorem 3.1, implies the required result.

3.3. Corollary 3.3

Let $J(z)$ be as Equation (2.9) with $\tau = 1$ and $f(z) \in A(1)$. Also let $M^{(0)}(a_i; b_j; q; z)f(z)$ be the operator defined in (1.11) such that

$$\frac{2\tau\lambda(\tau - \gamma)}{d - \tau} + \tau < 1 + \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z\mathcal{J}''(z)}{\mathcal{J}'(z)} \right\} < \gamma, (z \in \mathcal{U}) \dots\dots\dots(3.6)$$

then $\mathcal{J}(z) \in \mathcal{K}(\tau)$.

3.4. Corollary 3.4

Let $\mathcal{J}(z)$ be as Equation (2.9) with

$$\frac{2\tau\lambda(\tau - \gamma)}{d - \tau} + \tau < 1 + \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z\mathcal{J}''(z)}{\mathcal{J}'(z)} \right\} < \gamma, (z \in \mathcal{U}) \dots\dots\dots(3.7)$$

then $\mathcal{J}(z) \in \mathcal{K}(\tau)$.

4. Conclusion

Subclasses of τ -valently starlikeness and τ -valently convex were introduced. The criterion for τ -valently starlikeness and τ -valently convex were studied.

References

[1] K. Al-Shaqsi, M. Darus and O. A. Fadipe-Joseph, A new subclass of SalageanType harmonic Univalent Functions, *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, 2010 (2010), Article ID 821531, 12 pages.

[2] W. Zhi-Gang, G. Chun-Yi and Y. Shao-Mou, On the Univalence of Certain Analytic Functions, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, 7(2006), no .9, pp. 1 - 4.

[3] H. Saitoh, On inequalities of certain analytic functions, *Math. Japon.*, **35** (1990), 1073-1076.

[4] S. Owa, Some properties of certain analytic functions, *Soochow J. Math.*, 13(1987),197-201.

[5] S. Owa, Generalization properties for certain analytic functions, *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, **21** (1998), 707-712.

[6] D.J. Hallenbeck and T.H. MacGregor, *Linear Problems and Convexity Techniques in Geometric Function Theory*, Pitman Advanced Pub. Program, Boston, London.1984.

[7] D.J. Hallenbeck, Convex hulls and extreme points of some families of univalent functions, *Trans. Amer. Maths. Soc.*, **192** (1975), 285-292.

[8] S.Owa, T. Hayami and K. Kuroki, Some properties of certian analytic functions, *Inter. Jour. Math. and Math. Sci.*, **2007** (2007), 9 pages.