Assessing the incidence of acute kidney injury with combination vancomycin and piperacillin-tazobactam therapy compared to that of vancomycin and cefepime
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Abstract

Previous studies have shown a correlation between acute kidney injury (AKI) and combination antimicrobial therapy comprising piperacillin-tazobactam and vancomycin. In this study, AKIs were compared in patients who received vancomycin plus piperacillin-tazobactam with those who received vancomycin plus cefepime. We found a statistically significant increase in AKI risk in the vancomycin plus piperacillin-tazobactam group when compared to the vancomycin plus cefepime group using both AKI criteria [KDIGO 18.9% vs. 4.5% ($p$ = 0.0012); RIFLE 11.2% vs. 1.8% ($p$ = 0.0029)]. Vancomycin in combination with piperacillin-tazobactam led to an increased risk of AKI in comparison to vancomycin and cefepime.
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1. Introduction

Acute kidney injury (AKI) has been linked to increased morbidity and mortality [1]. Drug induced AKI is a common adverse reaction in hospitals, with such episodes being frequent in hospitalized patients who receive antimicrobial agents [2]. Proper management of these agents reduces the incidence of AKI [3]. Analysis of over 20,000 antimicrobial-related AKI events by the United States FDA ranked vancomycin and penicillin combinations as the third and fifth most common causes of AKI, respectively [4]. Antimicrobial therapy with vancomycin is known to increase the risk of AKI and nephrotoxicity. To avoid vancomycin-induced nephrotoxicity, practitioners target trough concentrations, monitor drug levels, and avoid concurrent nephrotoxic agents when possible [5]. Vancomycin is frequently used in combination with other broad-spectrum antimicrobials. Piperacillin-tazobactam and cefepime are commonly added to vancomycin for empiric anti-pseudomonal coverage in healthcare-associated infections. Recent studies have shown an increased risk of AKI when vancomycin is combined with piperacillin-tazobactam in comparison to other agents [6, 7]. In a study by Navalkale et al, it was shown that the incidence of AKI was higher with the combination of vancomycin-piperacillin-tazobactam therapy when compared with vancomycin-cefepime therapy [8]. In another study involving 718 patients, the combination of vancomycin and piperacillin-tazobactam was shown to be more nephrotoxic than vancomycin alone [9]. In a much larger study of 11,650 patients by Rutter et al [10], a combination therapy of vancomycin and piperacillin-tazobactam resulted in a significantly higher incidence of AKI than monotherapy with either agent separately.

The current retrospective analysis of patients treated with combination of vancomycin and piperacillin-tazobactam in comparison to those treated with vancomycin and cefepime was completed to educate providers on the incidence of AKI and assist pharmacists in developing safe and effective antimicrobial therapy recommendations.
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2. Methods

The study was approved by the Siouxland Institutional Review Board. It was a single-center, retrospective cohort study, completed at a 154-bed community hospital in Sioux City, Iowa. The incidence of AKI was compared between two groups of patients receiving either combination vancomycin and piperacillin-tazobactam or vancomycin and cefepime. The primary objective was to determine the rate of AKI with inpatient antimicrobial therapy of piperacillin-tazobactam plus vancomycin compared to cefepime plus vancomycin. AKI was defined by using Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) and Risk, Injury, Failure, Loss of kidney function, and End-stage kidney disease (RIFLE) criteria. AKI by KDIGO definition is stratified by stages with stage 1 defined as an increase in serum creatinine (Scr) ≥0.3 mg/dL within 48 hours or an increase 1.5-1.9 times baseline within the prior 7 days, stage 2 is an increase in Scr 2.0-2.9 times baseline, and stage 3 is an increase in Scr 3.0 times baseline or to ≥4.0 mg/dL, or initiation of renal replacement therapy [11]. RIFLE criteria define AKI as an increase in Scr 1.5 times baseline (Risk), an increase in Scr 2 times baseline (Injury), or an increase in Scr 3 times baseline (Failure) [12]. The secondary objective was to evaluate the average length therapy, length of hospital stay and comorbidities between the two groups. The respective Charlson Co-morbidity Index [13] for the two groups was computed for co-morbidity parameters. All vancomycin, piperacillin-tazobactam, and cefepime orders from January 2019 to October 2019 were reviewed and assessed for combination therapy. Those who received combination therapy for 48 hours or longer were reviewed, and the following information was gathered: age, gender, diagnoses, comorbidities, serum creatinine levels, vancomycin trough levels, length of stay, and concurrent anti-infective/nephrotoxic agents. The hypothesis of this study is combination piperacillin-tazobactam plus vancomycin will lead to an increased incidence of acute kidney injury in comparison to vancomycin and cefepime.

Patients’ charts were retrospectively reviewed for inclusion and exclusion criteria. Patients aged 18 years and older were included in the study if they were treated with combination vancomycin and piperacillin-tazobactam therapy or vancomycin and cefepime therapy for at least 48 hours. Patients also must have had a baseline Scr level at least within 48 hours prior to the initiation of antimicrobial therapy. Exclusion criteria included patients without a baseline Scr level at least 48 hours prior to antimicrobial therapy initiation, or absence of at least one follow-up Scr. Other exclusions included baseline Scr levels greater than 4.0 mg/dL, diagnosis of end-stage renal disease, AKI with need for continuous renal replacement therapy at antibiotic initiation, or if the patient expired during the hospital admission.

Statistical analyses were performed by using either the chi-square tests (χ²), or a two-tailed Fisher Exact Test. A two-tailed Student t-test was employed to compare age, Scr levels, total days of therapy and length of stay between the two groups. The χ² test was run by using the Yate’s correction to prevent overestimation of statistical significance. The two-tailed Fisher Exact Test was employed for all data set where individual values were less than 5 (Tables 1 and 2).

3. Results

In the piperacillin-tazobactam/vancomycin group, a total of 363 patients were evaluated for inclusion and exclusion criteria. Of these patients, 163 were excluded because of discontinuation of the combination therapy within 48 hours after initiation. A further 44 patients expired within the review period, and 13 patients had a diagnosis of end-stage renal disease and/or had a baseline Scr level of greater than 4 mg/dL. In the cefepime/vancomycin arm, a total of 317 patients were assessed for inclusion and exclusion criteria. Of these, 159 patients received the combination therapy for less than 48 hours, 30 patients expired and 17 had end-stage renal disease and/or had Scr level greater than 4 mg/dL on initiation of therapy. After identifying exclusions, 143 patients in the piperacillin-tazobactam plus vancomycin group and 111 patients in the cefepime plus vancomycin group were included in the study. The average age of patients in the piperacillin-tazobactam and cefepime group was 59.09 and 64.81 years, respectively. The majority were male patients with 94 (65.7%) in the piperacillin-tazobactam-vancomycin group and 62 (55.9%) in the cefepime-vancomycin group. Most baseline characteristics, such as gender distribution, Scr values and total days of therapy were similar between groups (Table 1). There were no significant differences in nephrotoxic agents received, total vancomycin doses, or vancomycin trough levels between groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic*</th>
<th>Cefepime-Vancomycin (n=111)</th>
<th>Piperacillin-tazobactam-Vancomycin (n=143)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Table 1 Characteristics of the Cefepime-Vancomycin and Piperacillin-Tazobactam-Vancomycin Groups of Patients
Gender (male/female) | 62/49 | 94/49 | 0.1407
Age (Mean ± S.D. [yrs]) | 64.801 ± 16.586 | 59.098 ± 17.665 | 0.0092
SCr (mg/dL) | Mean ± S.D. | (Baseline) | 1.0006 ± 0.4325 | 1.0395 ± 0.4625 | 0.5538
| (After treatment) | 0.88426 ± 0.3552 | 1.0430 ± 0.4846 | 0.0041
Total days of therapy | Mean ± S.D. | 4.59 ± 2.98 | 4.32 ± 2.39 | 0.4193
LOS (days) | Mean ± S.D. | 6.9189 ± 6.9871 | 7.3287 ± 5.1070 | 0.5898
Radio-contrast dyes | 53 | 64 | 0.8991
Diagnosis
PNA/Respiratory failure/ | Lung infection | 60 | 53 | 0.0100
| SSTI | 10 | 35 | 0.0024
| Sepsis | 16 | 22 | 0.8611
| Abdominal infection | 3 | 9 | 0.2987
| Osteomyelitis | 1 | 8 | 0.0819
| Bacteremia | 1 | 2 | 1
| Cystitis | 3 | 2 | 0.6559
| Neutropenic fever | 5 | 1 | 0.0889
| Other infections | 12 | 11 | 0.5219

*P-values < 0.05 are considered significant.
*S.D. = standard deviation; yrs = years; SCr = serum creatinine; LOS = length of stay; PNA = pneumonia; SSTI = skin and soft tissue infection.

Table 2 Comparison of AKI with vancomycin plus cefepime versus vancomycin plus piperacillin-tazobactam

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kidney Evaluation</th>
<th>Function Evaluation</th>
<th>Vancomycin and Cefepime (n=111)</th>
<th>Vancomycin and piperacillin-tazobactam (n=143)</th>
<th>p*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KDIGO Criteria</td>
<td>Stage 1 AKI event rate</td>
<td>4 (3.6%)</td>
<td>18 (12.6%)</td>
<td>0.0128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stage 2 AKI event rate</td>
<td>1 (0.9%)</td>
<td>8 (5.6%)</td>
<td>0.0819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stage 3 AKI event rate</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (0.7%)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total AKI</td>
<td>5 (4.5%)</td>
<td>27 (18.9%)</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIFLE Criteria</td>
<td>Risk</td>
<td>1 (0.9%)</td>
<td>8 (5.6%)</td>
<td>0.0819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Injury</td>
<td>1 (0.9%)</td>
<td>8 (5.6%)</td>
<td>0.0819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Failure</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (0.7%)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total AKI</td>
<td>2 (1.8%)</td>
<td>17 (11.9%)</td>
<td>0.0029</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P-values < 0.05 are considered significant.

When analyzing patients using the KDIGO criteria, the piperacillin-tazobactam plus vancomycin group had 27 AKI events (18.9%), and the cefepime plus vancomycin group had 5 events (4.5%; p = 0.0012) [Table 2]. Event rates using RIFLE criteria were 17 (11.9%) and 2 (1.8%; p = 0.0029) in the piperacillin-tazobactam plus vancomycin and cefepime plus vancomycin groups, respectively [Table 2]. The mean length of hospital stay was 7.3287 days in the piperacillin-tazobactam plus vancomycin group and 6.9189 days in the cefepime plus vancomycin group (p = 0.5898) [Table 1]. The pattern of co-morbidities between the two groups is shown in Table 3.
Table 3 Comparison of co-morbidities between the two groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Co-morbidity*</th>
<th>Group of Patients</th>
<th>Group of Patients</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cefepime-Vancomycin (n = 111)</td>
<td>Piperacillin-tazobactam-Vancomycin (n = 143)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myocardial infarction</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.3900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congestive heart failure</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.2367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral vascular disease</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.8065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular accident/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transient ischemic attack</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.1871</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dementia</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPD</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.0128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connective tissue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peptic ulcer disease</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver disease</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.6467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0.2088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemiplegia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate to severe CKD</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid tumor</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.4201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leukemia</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.2810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphoma</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIDS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlson Co-Morbidity Index (Mean ± S.D.)</td>
<td>4.3783 ± 2.2965</td>
<td>3.48253 ± 2.7163</td>
<td>0.0057</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P values <0.05 are considered significant.

* COPD = Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease; CKD = chronic kidney disease; AIDS = acquired immune deficiency syndrome; S.D. = standard deviation

4. Discussion

The most common primary diagnosis for initiation of combinations antimicrobial therapy was pneumonia-respiratory failure-pulmonary infection, with this diagnosis being significantly more frequent (60; 54.1%) in the cefepime-vancomycin group than in the vancomycin-piperacillin-vancomycin group (53; 37.1%) [p = 0.0100]. The frequency of skin and soft tissue infections (SSTI) was significantly higher in the latter than the former group (35; 24.5% versus 10; 9.0%, p = 0.0024). In the case of other infections, although differences in frequencies were noted, they were not significant (Table 1).

In this study, combination therapy with piperacillin-tazobactam plus vancomycin led to a statistically significant increase in the incidence of AKI when compared to cefepime plus vancomycin therapy in hospitalized patients. This incidence was observed regardless of AKI evaluation criteria used. Our finding was in line with the results reported by Navalkale et al [8]. SCr levels significantly dropped in the vancomycin-piperacillin-vancomycin group compared with the cefepime-vancomycin group (p = 0.004). The mean age of the former group of patients was statistically lower than the latter group (p = 0.0092) [Table 1]. Concurrent administration of nephrotoxic agents was also evaluated. Both groups had received radio-contrast dyes, with 51 (45.9%) patients in the cefepime-vancomycin group versus 64 (32.1%) in the piperacillin-tazobactam-vancomycin group. However, the difference was not statistically significant (p = 0.8991). Length of hospital stay was slightly shorter in the cefepime plus vancomycin group, but it was not statistically significant (p = 0.5898). Previous studies have shown that AKI does lead to increased hospital stay and worsened patient outcomes. Length of hospital stay is frequently used to assess the efficiency of hospital management. Increase in length of hospital stay has been associated with increased risk of opportunistic infections, increased incidence of medication
side effects and higher mortality rates. Studies have found that shorter hospital stays reduce medical fees which in turn leads to an increase in profit margins and a decrease in overall medical costs [14].

As a secondary objective, this study also analyzed co-morbidities in both groups. Differences, although not statistically significant, were noted in many of the parameters reviewed. Of special note are two exceptions: dementia and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), where the difference in frequency of these co-morbidities between the two patient groups were significant, with \( p = 0.0443 \) and 0.0128 for dementia and COPD, respectively. Both COPD (39.6 versus 24.5%) and dementia (6.3% vs 1.4%) were more frequent in the cefepime-vancomycin group compared to piperacillin-tazobactam group. However, the overall Charlson Co-Morbidity Index was significantly higher in the piperacillin-tazobactam group \( (p = 0.0057) \) [Table 3]. Comorbidity burdens, such as diabetes mellitus, coronary artery disease, congestive heart failure, peripheral vascular disease, liver disease, cancer, dementia, chronic kidney disease and acquired immune deficiency syndrome have been reported to be contributory risk factors for AKI [15,16]. Exacerbated COPD is also a major risk factor for AKI [17].

One limitation of this study is that data analysis from a single-center and reviewing patients' charts retrospectively may introduce investigator bias. Another limitation is that the data analyzed were not adjusted for confounding variables, but a comparator group was used to theoretically limit confounding.

5. Conclusion
A combination of vancomycin and piperacillin-tazobactam therapy resulted in a significantly higher frequency of AKI when compared to vancomycin and cefepime combination. Based on the results of this study, it may be appropriate to consider limiting the use of combination piperacillin-tazobactam and vancomycin unless other options are not available, due to resistance or/and pathogen-directed coverage. When these agents are used together, pharmacists and providers should be vigilant about the risk of AKI and closely monitor renal function. Education of healthcare providers on the risk of AKI may also help mitigate nephrotoxic antimicrobial combination therapy and guide towards alternative therapies.
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