



(REVIEW ARTICLE)



Navigating digital transformation: auditing Artificial Intelligence-powered financial systems: A conceptual review

Abetoh Nyiawung Fobellah *

Independent Researcher.

International Journal of Science and Research Archive, 2025, 16(02), 023-028

Publication history: Received on 23 June 2025; revised on 29 July 2025; accepted on 01 August 2025

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30574/ijrsra.2025.16.2.2274>

Abstract

The rapid digital transformation of the financial services sector is fundamentally reshaping the practice of financial auditing, with artificial intelligence (AI) playing a pivotal role in this evolution. It describes how current AI tools such as machine learning, natural language processing and predictive analytics, are altering audit work by handling routine risk assessments, spotting risks early, constantly monitoring and using large amounts of data. Even though AI improves how efficient, accurate and insightful audits can be, it creates serious issues with explainability, data quality, bias, ethics and regulations. Customary auditing practises, guided by rules of professional scepticism and proof-based decisions, need to change to handle the emergence of algorithms that change the responsibilities of auditors. The review summarises both the ideas and real-life practises related to AI in auditing, pointing out the main problems, risks and benefits these innovations bring. It investigates which auditing abilities are relevant now, the controls that are needed for artificial intelligence (AI) and the ethical considerations involved in working with AI. Certainly, the review points out that there are gaps in research, most importantly about the lasting effects of AI on audit quality, the auditor-AI interaction over time and the compliance measures necessary to maintain transparency and confidence. With its thorough conceptual approach, this study tries to guide action in both academia, professional practice and policy by suggesting ways for auditors, firms and regulators to integrate AI into financial auditing. The review therefore shows that for assurance to work, AI should be used to enhance it but make sure human wise and ethical standards are maintained in financial reporting.

Keywords: Digital Transformation; Artificial Intelligence; Financial Auditing; Machine Learning; Audit Quality; Algorithmic Governance; Ethical AI; Regulatory Compliance

1. Introduction

Digital transformation affecting many fields has brought major changes to the financial services industry (World Economic Forum, 2021). This decade, banks, insurance companies and other financial institutions have turned to advanced technologies like cloud computing, blockchain and AI to improve internal processes, support customers and boost innovation, according to Deloitte (2022). The key part of this transformation is using automation and digital tools to manage tasks previously handled manually, among them financial audits (Appelbaum et al., 2017). Auditing, which was once based on carefully checking every item and sampling record reviews, is now being changed by AI, allowing it to operate in real-time and continuously (Kokina & Davenport, 2017). With the rise of AI in accounting, we should ask about the preparedness of existing audit methods, which skills auditors are expected to learn and how to manage issues related to systems making decisions using algorithms. The utilisation of AI in auditing finances is very beneficial. Artificial intelligence techniques, especially machine learning, natural language processing and predictive analytics, are now used in audit tools to spot problems, assess risks better and improve how efficiently an audit is carried out (Brown-Liburd et al., 2018). They are capable of handling very large data and spotting trends people could only guess at and they offer insights more quickly and accurately. By using AI, the review of all transaction data is possible, instead of just

* Corresponding author: Abetoh Nyiawung Fobellah

looking at small groups which can make audits more secure. Also, thanks to AI, it is now possible to monitor transactions in real time and ensure reliability, cutting down the time it takes to respond to auditing needs after the transactions take place (Alles, 2015). Even so, because of AI developments, explainability, governance of models, data quality and following regulations are difficult topics in auditing (PwC, 2021).

Even though AI is being used more in financial audits, much still needs to be researched concerning how digital transformation can transform the audit role (Warren et al., 2015). Current studies tend to either focus on how AI systems are built or on digital changes in general, but they rarely review in detail the connection between auditing and these changes (Vasarhelyi et al., 2015). This report aims to fill in this gap by describing the state of AI in financial auditing, pointing out main issues and opportunities and presenting a framework for understanding the implications of digital transformation for auditors, companies and regulators (KPMG, 2022). In this way, the review wants to lead the advancement of auditing in schools and professional practice.

This conceptual review focuses on how digital transformation with AI is bringing changes to the financial auditing process, its practices and the results. Topics covered are (1) key concepts in digital and AI-driven audits, (2) the key theories behind AI's place in auditing, (3) the most significant problems and benefits of AI systems and (4) gaps in research and what can be done about moving forward. The review does not include studies of practical cases or what has been implemented, but gives an academic summary about the strategic and systemic ways AI affects auditing.

This study set the boundaries of this review on what is conceptual, avoiding a discussion of how things are really done in practice. Even though financial technologies play a big role, this review centres on AI applications in audits such as automatic risk analysis, constant monitoring and discovering anomalies. Blockchain and robotic process automation are mentioned only in the context of their connection to AI in auditing. In addition, the review focuses on checking the financial statements and systems, leaving out operational or compliance-centred audits, so there is a clear focus on financial issues.

1.1. Theoretical and Conceptual Foundations

Some main ideas at the heart of this review are digital transformation, artificial intelligence in finance and auditing frameworks. Digital transformation is when companies use digital technologies across their business models and systems to encourage new ideas, improve how they work and improve the value they provide (Vial, 2019). Specifically in the financial industry, organisations are adopting cloud services, enhancing their analytics and rebuilding their customer interactions (Fitzgerald et al., 2013). Transforming a firm's operations, strategies and service approach is a major part of digital transformation (Westerman et al., 2014). Artificial intelligence in finance is when software tools are used to handle tasks that normally human intelligence handles (Russell & Norvig, 2021). These methods consist of algorithms for finding trends in data, programmes for handling unstructured text and systems that help make decisions (according to Ngai et al., 2011). When used in auditing, AI tools can import data automatically, alert users to unusual patterns, help make predictions and analyse contracts or reports using natural language (Kokina & Davenport, 2017). They improve how much is audited, make the process faster and easier and add more types of information, though they also introduce issues with trust, accountability and understanding.

The procedures, standards and ethics that guide auditors in giving independent assurance on financial reports come from auditing frameworks (IAASB, 2020). Traditional ideas in auditing such as those set by the IAASB and PCAOB, rely on the principles of materiality, professional scepticism and making judgements with evidence (PCAOB, 2017). Because AI introduces different types of records to review, changes the auditor's duties from hands-on checking to monitoring the work of machines and brings about risks due to algorithms, it makes these existing standards outdated (Alles, 2015). A number of theories and models guide the way AI is discussed for use in financial auditing. The main focus of automation theory is how jobs people used to handle can be handled by machines and it examines the trade-off between increased efficiency and new risks of control (Autor, 2015). Automation theory in the auditing field focuses on the way people and AI work together, stressing that humans should still be responsible for important decisions in the process (Issa et al., 2016). Data analytics frameworks suggest approaches for analysing big, complicated data which in turn aids the development and administration of AI audit tools (Warren et al., 2015). These approaches pay special attention to having strong data, relevance and integration as what leads to success (Cao et al., 2015). Also, AI principles focused on fairness, accountability, transparency and explainability provide advice on how to handle AI in fields like financial auditing, where the implications of decisions may be substantial both in the economy and social life (Floridi et al., 2018).

1.2. Critical Debates and Controversies

This study process also picks out some important disputes and questions about AI in auditing. But audits require reliable data: despite its abilities to analyse huge datasets, robust results still depend mostly on the accuracy and correct representation of the input data (Brown-Liburd et al., 2018). A further topic is how easy it is to understand advanced AI models: many such systems, mainly deep learning, are hard to explain fully which makes some people question how auditors can rely on them (Doshi-Velez & Kim, 2017). In addition, following regulations is difficult since rules for auditors using AI have not been fully set, giving rise to uncertainty about their obligations and responsibilities (PwC, 2021). All these arguments indicate that up-to-date models and practises are essential for safely using AI in auditing financial statements (KPMG, 2022).

2. Review of Key Themes

2.1. Digital Transformation in Financial Systems

Financial institutions of today are different because of digital transformation which has brought in automation, more connections and reliance on data in decision-making (Westerman et al., 2014). Banks, investment firms and insurance companies now depend on technology and digitization to streamline what happens behind the scenes, improve services for customers and innovate with new financial offers (Fitzgerald et al., 2013). Among the tools mentioned above, AI stands out by helping with personalised recommendations for investments and spotting suspicious activities very quickly (Ngai et al., 2011). Thanks to AI, algorithmic trading can now handle complex trades faster and on a larger scale than ever before which is changing the face of capital markets (according to Bodie et al., 2021). Because of digital transformation, financial operations have evolved which in turn has meant that auditing must keep up and use data effectively to handle the quick and complex transactions happening today (Kokina & Davenport, 2017).

2.2. AI and the Changing Landscape of Financial Auditing

The arrival of AI in auditing marks one of the biggest changes in the history of auditing (Brown-Liburd et al., 2018). Auditors are now using automated ways and big data to check financial information, instead of traditional auditing and sampling (Appelbaum et al., 2017). Since AI tools analyse large amounts of both structured and unstructured data, auditors can review the entire population of transactions instead of using a sample (Issa et al., 2016). Because of this change, audit reports now contain more details and are more accurate (Alles, 2015). Also, with AI, auditors can check and monitor financial activities without waiting for occasional check-ups and provide assurance as it happens (Zhang et al., 2020). An example is machine learning models which have the ability to spot strange transactions, recognise risks and watch for new patterns as they appear (KPMG, 2022). Thanks to these new tools, auditors can better analyse and judge findings instead of wasting time on routine tasks such as collating information (Deloitte, 2022).

2.3. Challenges and Risks

Even though AI is promising in financial audit, it also leads to several important difficulties and risks (PwC, 2021). Because auditors deal with more and more detailed information, the risk of privacy and security issues increases, so adequate defences are necessary to prevent unapproved or unsecure access (Warren et al., 2015). Bias and fairness are also big risks in algorithms, because if the training data is not objective, the AI could give unreliable results and may treat part of the clientele unfairly (Mehrabi et al., 2021). An especially worrying problem is that AI models built using deep learning can be very hard to explain because they are "black boxes" to most observers (Doshi-Velez & Kim, 2017). Because AI's actions are often unclear, giving explanations for audit findings is harder for auditors and stakeholders are unable to trust its results (ICAEW, 2020). Dealing with these risks means creating reliable management systems, advanced security measures and upgraded expert guidelines to suit the nature of AI (Floridi et al., 2018).

2.4. Opportunities and Innovations

Despite some obstacles, the use of AI in auditing is creating important changes (EY, 2021). An important advantage of using AI is improved audit results, since the data analysis, pattern discovery and helpful insights it provides are harder to find otherwise (Vasarhelyi et al., 2015). Auditors who use predictive analytics can assess their past results as well as anticipate future risks and chances which supports a proactive assurance approach (Cao et al., 2015). In addition, AI technology helps identify risks by monitoring activities and marking any unusual transactions in real time, giving the auditor greater ability to deal with financial irregularities (ACFE, 2022). If auditors ensure oversight and keep up with new technology, these innovations can ensure financial systems are stronger and stakeholders are more confident (IAASB, 2020).

2.5. Implications for Auditors and Stakeholders

Due to AI in auditing, the scope of an auditor's job and skills needed have become much broader (Daugherty et al., 2019). The old fashioned method of auditing centred on accounting and traditional examining is not enough today; auditors are now expected to excel at data analysis, know the key components of machine learning and be able to review bureaucratic systems built with algorithms (Kokina et al., 2021). Because of these changes, it is necessary for auditors to get proper training and guidance to supervise AI outcomes, question them critically and uphold their assurance duties (PCAOB, 2017). Within their organisations, audit firms and financial institutions are able to increase efficiency, hold down costs and share more meaningful information with their clients using AI (Accenture, 2022). On the other hand, it also causes new management problems, so strong oversight systems need to be in place to balance technological risks (World Economic Forum, 2021). The role of AI in auditing makes certain regulators, investors and members of the public wonder about the effectiveness of existing guidelines, how transparently audit data is handled and the liability of auditors using automation (IOSCO, 2022).

2.6. Ethical and Regulatory Considerations

Making sure AI is used responsibly in auditing relies on understanding the ethical and regulatory aspects involved in its use (Floridi et al., 2018). Even in situations where AI is used, auditors should keep to the principles of integrity, objectivity and professionalism (IFAC, 2020). Ensuring AI systems are responsible, making sure biases are identified and managed and making audit results easy to understand should all be part of responsible AI governance (Deloitte, 2021). While updating their standards and guidelines, regulatory bodies have to pay close attention to how the digital age is shaping the field of auditing (SEC, 2022). The successful use of AI in auditing is possible only when auditors, firms and regulators team up, encourage responsible use of technology and make sure AI does not replace the professional integrity and ethics key to auditing (KPMG, 2023).

2.7. Research Gaps and Future Directions

Although artificial intelligence is promising for financial auditing, not all its problems have been solved and some areas have not been fully looked into yet. It is unclear from existing work what the lasting effects of AI are on the quality of auditing, because data from many countries and cultures is lacking. Most recent research pays more attention to technologies used than to how AI tools impact the auditor's judgement, ethical behaviour and trust from others in the long run. There is not enough attention given to how auditors work with AI and especially to the point where they balance what the machines recommend with their own knowledge and instincts. There is a need to study the accountability and governance processes in AI during audits, mainly with relation to liability when mistakes or biased results are caused by AI. Studies in the future ought to examine what changes occur in how auditors use AI. In order to make sure AI meets ethical standards in financial audits which regulations have to be introduced? How can audit firms properly guide their employees to use AI in a good way and avoid problems? Studying companies and societies would show how things like regulations, the size of a company and its culture influence the way AI is used in auditing. If these gaps are ignored, future studies will not give us information to help shape both practice and policy in this new age of digital assurance.

3. Conclusion

This review analysed how digital transformation and especially AI are changing financial auditing by using automated processes to spot fraud, handle risks and keep observation active. Although AI helps with more effective and efficient auditing, data protection, bias in algorithms and ethical matters are still issues to deal with. Integration works well when there is a combination of innovative ideas, responsible decisions, good values and rules. When auditors and stakeholders work hand in hand, AI can be beneficial without jeopardising transparency, accountability or trust which helps modern financial systems become more stable and reliable.

Recommendations

Audit firms need to work on training and assembling combined teams to make sure responsible use of AI in auditing. Agencies in charge should upgrade standards so that explainability, data governance and accountability are included. Oversight is best maintained when firms, regulators and tech providers join forces. Using AI in business should be governed by ethical rules, putting bias, fairness and transparency first and also having the ability to audit algorithms. It is important for future research to find out how to incorporate AI while preserving the role of human judgement. Working collaboratively with a range of organisations helps audit quality, trust and trust in the system.

References

- [1] Accenture. (2022). The future of audit: Reimagined with AI. <https://www.accenture.com>
- [2] ACFE. (2022). Artificial intelligence in fraud detection. Association of Certified Fraud Examiners.
- [3] Alles, M. G. (2015). Drivers of the use and facilitators and obstacles of the evolution of big data by the audit profession. *Accounting Horizons*, 29(2), 439-449.
- [4] Appelbaum, D., Kogan, A., & Vasarhelyi, M. A. (2017). Big data and analytics in the modern audit engagement: Research needs. *Auditing: A Journal of Practice & Theory*, 36(4), 1-27.
- [5] Autor, D. H. (2015). Why are there still so many jobs? The history and future of workplace automation. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 29(3), 3-30. <https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.29.3.3>
- [6] Bodie, Z., Kane, A., & Marcus, A. J. (2021). *Investments* (12th ed.). McGraw-Hill.
- [7] Brown-Liburd, H., Issa, H., & Lombardi, D. (2018). Behavioral implications of big data's impact on audit judgment and decision making and future research directions. *Accounting Horizons*, 29(2), 451-468.
- [8] Cao, M., Chychyla, R., & Stewart, T. (2015). Big data analytics in financial statement audits. *Accounting Horizons*, 29(2), 423-429. <https://doi.org/10.2308/acch-51068>
- [9] Daugherty, P. R., Wilson, H. J., & Chowdhury, R. (2019). *Human + machine: Reimagining work in the age of AI*. Harvard Business Press.
- [10] Deloitte. (2021). Ethics and trust in AI-powered auditing.
- [11] Deloitte. (2022). The future of audit: Leveraging AI and advanced analytics. <https://www2.deloitte.com>
- [12] Doshi-Velez, F., & Kim, B. (2017). Towards a rigorous science of interpretable machine learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:1702.08608.
- [13] EY. (2021). How AI is transforming the audit.
- [14] Fitzgerald, M., Kruschwitz, N., Bonnet, D., & Welch, M. (2013). Embracing digital technology: A new strategic imperative. *MIT Sloan Management Review*, 55(2), 1-12.
- [15] Floridi, L., Cowls, J., Beltrametti, M., Chatila, R., Chazerand, P., Dignum, V., ... & Vayena, E. (2018). AI4People—An ethical framework for a good AI society: Opportunities, risks, principles, and recommendations. *Minds and Machines*, 28(4), 689-707.
- [16] IAASB. (2020). International standards on auditing (ISA). International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board. <https://www.iaasb.org>
- [17] ICAEW. (2020). Artificial intelligence and the future of audit.
- [18] IFAC. (2020). Code of ethics for professional accountants.
- [19] IOSCO. (2022). Artificial intelligence in capital markets.
- [20] Issa, H., Sun, T., & Vasarhelyi, M. A. (2016). Research ideas for artificial intelligence in auditing: The formalization of audit and workforce supplementation. *Journal of Emerging Technologies in Accounting*, 13(2), 1-20.
- [21] Kokina, J., & Davenport, T. H. (2017). The emergence of artificial intelligence: How automation is changing auditing. *Journal of Emerging Technologies in Accounting*, 14(1), 115-122.
- [22] Kokina, J., Mancha, R., & Pachamanova, D. (2021). The new skills auditors need for AI adoption. *Journal of Information Systems*, 35(1), 5-20.
- [23] KPMG. (2022). Audit 2025: The future of corporate reporting and assurance. <https://home.kpmg>
- [24] KPMG. (2023). Responsible AI in professional services.
- [25] Mehrabi, N., Morstatter, F., Saxena, N., Lerman, K., & Galstyan, A. (2021). A survey on bias and fairness in machine learning. *ACM Computing Surveys*, 54(6), 1-35.
- [26] Ngai, E. W., Hu, Y., Wong, Y. H., Chen, Y., & Sun, X. (2011). The application of data mining techniques in financial fraud detection: A classification framework and an academic review of literature. *Decision Support Systems*, 50(3), 559-569.

- [27] PCAOB. (2017). Auditing Standard No. 15: Audit evidence. Public Company Accounting Oversight Board. <https://pcaobus.org>
- [28] PwC. (2021). AI in auditing: Risks and opportunities. <https://www.pwc.com>
- [29] Russell, S., & Norvig, P. (2021). Artificial intelligence: A modern approach (4th ed.). Pearson.
- [30] SEC. (2022). Statement on digital assets and AI regulation.
- [31] Vasarhelyi, M. A., Kogan, A., & Tuttle, B. M. (2015). Big data in accounting: An overview. *Accounting Horizons*, 29(2), 381-396.
- [32] Vial, G. (2019). Understanding digital transformation: A review and a research agenda. *The Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, 28(2), 118-144.
- [33] Warren, J. D., Moffitt, K. C., & Byrnes, P. (2015). How big data will change accounting. *Accounting Horizons*, 29(2), 397-407.
- [34] Westerman, G., Bonnet, D., & McAfee, A. (2014). *Leading digital: Turning technology into business transformation*. Harvard Business Press.
- [35] World Economic Forum. (2021). The future of financial services: How disruptive innovations are reshaping the way financial services are structured, provisioned, and consumed. <https://www.weforum.org>
- [36] Zhang, J., Yang, X., & Appelbaum, D. (2020). Toward effective big data analysis in continuous auditing. *Accounting Horizons*, 34(2), 81-102.