International Journal of Science and Research Archive

eISSN: 2582-8185

Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/ijsra

Journal homepage: https://ijsra.net/

(REVIEW ARTICLE)

Check for updates

Reimagining education in the age of Artificial Intelligence: Challenges and strategies for developing nations

Ade Nandang Mustafa *

Head of Edupartnership Laboratory, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Universitas Sultan Ageng Tirtayasa, Indonesia.

International Journal of Science and Research Archive, 2025, 16(02), 291-298

Publication history: Received on 26 May 2025; revised on 02 August 2025; accepted on 04 August 2025

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/ijsra.2025.16.2.2029

Abstract

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is rapidly transforming the landscape of education, offering new opportunities for personalized learning, automated assessment, and intelligent tutoring systems. However, while developed countries are increasingly embedding AI into their curricula, many developing nations face serious challenges in adopting such technologies, ranging from infrastructure limitations to teacher preparedness and ethical concerns. This study critically examines the duality of AI in education by deconstructing its pedagogical promises and potential pitfalls. Through a conceptual analysis anchored in the TPACK framework, SAMR model, and critical pedagogy, this article explores how AI impacts the role of teachers, curriculum design, and educational equity. The paper concludes with strategic recommendations for policymakers and educators in developing countries to ensure the responsible, contextual, and inclusive integration of AI into educational systems.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence; Education; Curriculum; Developing Countries; Teacher Roles; Ethics; Educational Technology

1. Introduction

The global wave of technological advancement has ushered Artificial Intelligence (AI) into the classroom, promising a future where intelligent machines can complement, augment, and in some instances, transform traditional teaching roles. From adaptive learning platforms that tailor content to individual students' needs, to predictive analytics used to identify learners at risk of underachievement, AI is radically reshaping how education is delivered and experienced. In developed nations, this transformation is well underway integrated into educational policy, supported by infrastructure, and facilitated by ongoing teacher training. However, the journey is far more complex and uneven in developing countries.

In many low- and middle-income nations, the integration of AI into educational systems is impeded by a constellation of structural challenges. Limited access to high-speed internet, insufficient digital infrastructure, outdated hardware, and unreliable electricity supply all constitute significant barriers [1]. These infrastructural limitations are often compounded by gaps in digital literacy among teachers and students, a lack of context-specific AI tools, and policy environments that are not yet attuned to the ethical, pedagogical, and practical implications of AI deployment in education [2].

This paper seeks to unpack the dual nature the promises and perils of AI in education, especially within the socio-educational contexts of developing countries. It aims to critically examine how AI can potentially enhance or undermine key aspects of education, including the roles of teachers, the structure and content of curricula, and the equitable

* Corresponding author: Ade Nandang Mustafa

distribution of learning opportunities. Importantly, the analysis is grounded in a conceptual framework that draws upon three critical lenses: the Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) framework, the Substitution-Augmentation-Modification-Redefinition (SAMR) model, and critical pedagogy.

Through the TPACK framework, the paper explores the evolving intersection between content knowledge, pedagogy, and technology, highlighting how teachers in developing nations must acquire new competencies to meaningfully incorporate AI tools into their practice. While AI has the potential to support differentiated instruction and alleviate administrative burdens, it also demands a rethinking of the teacher's role not merely as content deliverers, but as facilitators, designers of learning experiences, and ethical gatekeepers of algorithmic decision-making in classrooms.

Using the SAMR model, this study examines the degree to which AI applications in education are truly transformative. While some implementations merely substitute traditional tasks with digital equivalents (e.g., automated grading), others offer augmentation or modification of teaching strategies. The most ambitious applications aim for redefinition creating entirely new learning experiences previously inconceivable without AI. However, without careful alignment with pedagogical goals and local needs, these implementations risk becoming superficial technological fixes that fail to address core educational inequalities.

Critical pedagogy, meanwhile, provides a lens through which the ethical implications of AI adoption can be interrogated. Who designs the AI tools? Whose knowledge is prioritized or marginalized by algorithms? How do predictive systems potentially reinforce bias, reproduce social stratification, or dehumanize the learning process? These are urgent questions for educational systems in developing countries, where AI is often imported without sufficient localization, transparency, or critical scrutiny.

This article argues that while AI offers powerful tools for enhancing educational delivery, its integration into developing nations must be guided by principles of contextual relevance, ethical responsibility, and inclusive access. National policies should prioritize digital infrastructure development, foster public-private partnerships for equitable technology deployment, and invest in teacher professional development with a strong emphasis on pedagogical integration of AI. Moreover, local innovation should be encouraged to create culturally relevant AI applications that reflect the linguistic, historical, and socio-economic realities of their users.

In conclusion, rather than viewing AI as a silver bullet, developing nations must approach it as a catalyst an opportunity to reimagine education systems not only through the lens of efficiency and personalization but also through equity, critical thinking, and democratic engagement. Only through such a balanced, strategic, and locally grounded approach can AI truly serve as a transformative force for education in the Global South.

2. Literature review

2.1. Artificial Intelligence in Education: Concepts and Applications

Artificial Intelligence (AI) in education encompasses the integration of advanced computational technologies such as machine learning, natural language processing, and data analytics into teaching and learning environments [3]. The goal of AI in this context is to enhance educational experiences by enabling more personalized, adaptive, and data-informed instruction. These intelligent systems can perform tasks that typically require human intelligence, including understanding language, recognizing patterns, and making decisions based on data, thereby offering new modes of engagement and intervention in classrooms.

One of the most notable applications of AI in education is the development of Intelligent Tutoring Systems (ITS), such as Carnegie Learning, which simulate one-on-one human tutoring by adapting instructional strategies based on student performance [4]. Another example is Squirrel AI, a platform that uses adaptive learning algorithms to tailor content delivery according to each learner's pace and understanding, promoting a customized learning journey. These systems rely on continuous feedback loops and data analysis to optimize learning outcomes in real time.

Beyond tutoring, AI also supports automated assessment, learning analytics, and student behavior prediction, helping educators identify learning gaps early and provide timely interventions. For example, AI can flag patterns of disengagement, suggest remedial content, or predict students at risk of failure based on historical and real-time data.

However, while these innovations hold great promise for transforming education, their implementation is not without challenges especially in developing nations. Issues such as the cost of adoption, lack of contextual relevance, and ethical considerations around data use and algorithmic bias must be carefully addressed [5][6]. As such, the integration of AI

in education must not only be technically sound but also pedagogically meaningful, culturally appropriate, and ethically responsible, particularly in under-resourced contexts.

2.2. Theoretical Frameworks

To critically examine the integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in education particularly within the context of developing nations this study adopts a multi-theoretical approach. These frameworks serve as analytical lenses to assess the pedagogical, technological, and ethical dimensions of AI adoption in educational systems, offering a comprehensive evaluation of both opportunities and challenges.

The Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) framework, developed by Koehler and Mishra [7], is central to understanding how educators manage the complex interplay between content, pedagogy, and technology. In the era of AI, TPACK becomes particularly salient in determining whether teachers possess not only subject matter expertise and pedagogical competence but also the technological fluency necessary to integrate AI meaningfully into classroom instruction. This issue is especially pressing in developing countries, where teacher education programs often lag in preparing educators for digital innovation.

Complementing this is the SAMR model (Substitution, Augmentation, Modification, Redefinition), introduced by Puentedura [8], which provides a continuum for analyzing the extent of technology integration. The model highlights that many educational applications of AI remain at the substitution level, merely replacing traditional tasks with automated equivalents without fundamentally transforming the learning process. By applying the SAMR model, this study evaluates whether AI implementations in education genuinely redefine pedagogical practices or simply digitize existing routines.

Additionally, Critical Pedagogy, as conceptualized by Paulo Freire [9], offers a normative and reflective lens to interrogate the ideological underpinnings of AI technologies. This perspective raises essential questions about whose interests are being served, whose knowledge is being prioritized, and how AI affects educational equity. In developing nations, where educational technologies are often imported without cultural contextualization, critical pedagogy underscores the need for socially just and locally responsive AI implementation.

Finally, the UNESCO AI Ethics Guidelines [10] provide a globally recognized set of principles including transparency, fairness, and accountability that ensure AI applications in education uphold human rights and do not exacerbate existing structural inequalities. These ethical standards serve as an essential benchmark for responsible AI integration in learning environments, particularly in vulnerable and under-resourced communities.

Together, these frameworks enable a holistic analysis of AI in education not merely as a set of tools, but as a socio-technical system that must be situated within broader pedagogical, cultural, and ethical considerations. This integrative approach is vital for ensuring that AI contributes to inclusive and equitable educational transformation in developing contexts.

2.3. Challenges in Implementing AI in Developing Countries

While artificial intelligence (AI) holds immense potential for transforming education through personalized learning pathways, automated feedback mechanisms, and smart content delivery its implementation in developing countries is confronted with complex and multifaceted challenges. These challenges extend beyond technological limitations and touch upon structural, pedagogical, and ethical dimensions that must be addressed to ensure meaningful and inclusive integration of AI into educational systems. Drawing upon the TPACK framework, SAMR model, and principles of critical pedagogy, this section explores the major obstacles hindering AI adoption in education across developing nations.

One of the most pressing issues is the deficiency in infrastructure. In many low-income regions, schools operate without reliable electricity, stable internet connectivity, or the basic hardware required to support AI-based applications [11]. Particularly in rural or remote areas, internet access is either non-existent or limited to low-bandwidth connections, rendering cloud-based AI tools, intelligent tutoring systems, or real-time assessment applications practically unusable. Even in cases where infrastructure exists, it is often outdated and poorly maintained. Computers may be too old to support contemporary educational software, let alone AI-powered platforms that demand significant processing power and cloud integration. This situation not only hampers the feasibility of AI integration but also exacerbates existing disparities between urban and rural schools, as well as between public and private education sectors.

Another significant barrier is the low level of digital literacy and limited preparedness among teachers. The effective implementation of AI in classrooms depends greatly on educators' technological competence and their ability to

integrate it pedagogically [12], [13]. However, in many developing countries, educators often lack even the foundational Information and Communication Technology (ICT) skills. The TPACK framework emphasizes the importance of blending technological knowledge with pedagogical and content expertise. Yet, in many educational contexts, the "technological" component is underdeveloped or absent entirely. Professional development opportunities related to AI are rare and, when available, typically focus on basic computer use rather than critical and pedagogically informed engagement with AI tools. Consequently, even when AI systems are introduced, they are often underutilized or misapplied. Moreover, top-down policy approaches that implement AI without considering local contexts and teacher input risk alienating educators from the innovation process.

Curricular rigidity and structural constraints present further challenges. Many education systems in developing nations remain highly centralized and examination-oriented, prioritizing rote memorization and standardized assessments over creativity, problem-solving, and adaptability competencies that AI tools are particularly well-suited to support [14]. The SAMR model illustrates that genuine educational transformation occurs when technology enables learning experiences previously unimaginable. However, without curricular alignment or flexibility, AI often serves only as a substitute or augmentation of traditional practices. For example, AI chatbots may simply replicate textbook content rather than foster interactive or inquiry-based learning. Bureaucratic inertia and limited institutional autonomy further inhibit schools' ability to respond to innovation, creating a disconnect between AI's capabilities and existing classroom practices.

Ethical concerns and socio-cultural sensitivities also pose critical obstacles [5]. AI systems depend on extensive data collection, yet in many developing countries, data governance frameworks are weak or non-existent. This raises serious concerns regarding privacy, surveillance, and the potential misuse of student data. In addition, algorithmic bias is a pressing issue; AI tools trained on datasets that do not reflect local languages, cultures, or educational contexts risk producing biased outputs that marginalize minority populations or reinforce harmful stereotypes. Such outcomes can entrench systemic inequalities under the guise of neutrality.

From the perspective of critical pedagogy, there is concern that AI may contribute to a technocratic model of education that undermines the agency of both teachers and students [14]. The depersonalization of learning processes and the growing influence of private technology companies in public education threaten to shift the focus away from education as a humanizing and liberatory practice. Rather than fostering critical thinking and democratic engagement, AI if not implemented thoughtfully could reinforce hierarchical structures and reduce learners to data points.

2.4. Opportunities and Transformative Potential

Despite the significant challenges that hinder the integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into educational systems in developing countries, its transformative potential remains compelling. When implemented through strategic planning, inclusive policy frameworks, and pedagogical practices tailored to local contexts, AI can become a powerful catalyst for educational innovation. Anchored in the Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) framework, the SAMR model, and the principles of critical pedagogy, this section explores the diverse opportunities that AI offers to enhance learning outcomes, foster equity, and optimize educational systems across the Global South.

One of the most promising applications of AI in education is its capacity to support personalized learning and differentiated instruction. Adaptive learning technologies powered by AI can analyze student performance in real time and deliver content tailored to individual learning styles, needs, and progress. In classrooms where student-teacher ratios are high and differentiation is difficult to implement manually, such technologies can significantly improve instructional quality. For example, AI systems can detect when a learner is struggling with a specific concept and redirect them to supplementary materials or alternative modalities such as videos or interactive simulations. This not only enhances engagement and knowledge retention but also nurtures learner autonomy and confidence. From the TPACK perspective, personalized learning exemplifies the integration of technology with pedagogy and content, while within the SAMR model, it represents a shift toward modification or even redefinition of traditional teaching practices.

AI also offers considerable potential to advance inclusion and support diverse learners. Technologies such as Natural Language Processing (NLP), speech recognition, and computer vision can power assistive tools like screen readers, speech-to-text software, and gesture-based interfaces. These tools can significantly reduce barriers for students with disabilities or learning difficulties. In multilingual and multicultural societies, which are common in many developing nations, AI can facilitate real-time translation and voice recognition, helping bridge linguistic gaps and ensuring access to education for students who speak regional or indigenous languages. Additionally, AI-based diagnostic tools can assist in the early detection of learning disorders, enabling timely intervention and support. These applications resonate strongly with critical pedagogy by affirming every learner's right to an inclusive and equitable educational experience.

Beyond classroom instruction, AI empowers educators and policymakers through data-driven decision-making. The ability to gather and analyze large-scale educational data enables teachers to receive immediate feedback on student performance, thereby refining instructional strategies. Dashboards and learning analytics tools can reveal patterns in student understanding, identify widespread misconceptions, and highlight learners who may be at risk of falling behind. On a systemic level, AI can inform decisions related to curriculum development, teacher deployment, and resource allocation. Predictive analytics, for instance, can identify dropout risks, enabling preventative measures to be implemented proactively. However, it is essential that such data-driven approaches are applied with transparency and ethical governance, ensuring that students are not reduced to mere data points but are supported through holistic, human-centered pedagogical practices.

Another area where AI can significantly contribute is in optimizing resources and improving administrative efficiency. In many developing countries, teachers are burdened with routine administrative tasks such as tracking attendance, grading assessments, and managing schedules. AI can automate many of these functions, freeing educators to devote more time to instruction and student support. This reduction in administrative workload not only improves teaching quality but also contributes to teacher well-being and job satisfaction. On a broader scale, AI can streamline school management systems, budget planning, and inventory control, enhancing operational efficiency and maximizing the impact of limited educational resources.

Finally, the integration of AI in education can itself serve as a driver of innovation and a platform for cultivating 21st-century skills. By engaging with AI as both a tool and a subject of study, students can develop essential digital competencies such as computational thinking, data literacy, and ethical reasoning. Project-based learning involving AI tools can foster creativity, collaboration, and critical thinking skills that are crucial in the evolving global economy. When aligned with the SAMR model, such initiatives represent redefinition-level transformation, allowing students to undertake learning experiences that were previously inconceivable in traditional settings.

2.5. Strategic Recommendations for Developing Nations

To fully harness the transformative potential of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in education, developing nations must adopt a comprehensive and context-sensitive strategy that balances technological progress with ethical, pedagogical, and infrastructural considerations. The integration of AI should not be reduced to the mere importation of tools; rather, it must involve a fundamental reimagining of educational systems in ways that are inclusive, equitable, and centered on human development. Guided by the Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) framework, the SAMR model, and the principles of critical pedagogy, the following strategic recommendations are proposed to support policymakers, educators, and stakeholders in achieving meaningful and sustainable AI adoption in education.

The first and most foundational step is to invest in infrastructure and capacity building. Adequate physical and digital infrastructure including reliable electricity, high-speed internet access, and modern computing devices is a prerequisite for deploying AI-driven educational solutions. Unfortunately, many schools, particularly those in rural or marginalized communities, still lack these basic necessities. Therefore, national and local governments must prioritize infrastructure development, not as isolated interventions but as integral components of broader strategies for promoting educational equity.

Equally important is the development of human infrastructure. Teachers, school leaders, and education administrators need sustained professional development opportunities to build both foundational ICT competencies and the advanced knowledge required to effectively engage with AI technologies. Such training must go beyond technical skill acquisition to include pedagogical insights into how AI can be used to enhance learning. Leveraging the TPACK framework, professional development programs should be designed to help educators meaningfully integrate AI with subject content and instructional methods. Continuous learning platforms, such as workshops, online courses, and peer learning communities, can play a vital role in supporting this ongoing development.

In addition to infrastructure and training, developing nations must adopt flexible and ethical curriculum policies to accommodate AI integration. Traditional, exam-centric curricula must evolve to embrace emerging educational needs, including computational thinking, digital literacy, and ethical reasoning. AI should be introduced not only as a discrete subject of study but also as a cross-curricular tool that enables inquiry-based learning, creativity, and critical thinking. Flexible curriculum frameworks will empower educators to adapt AI-enhanced instructional approaches to suit local cultural, linguistic, and cognitive contexts.

Importantly, curriculum reform must be grounded in ethical principles. As AI systems increasingly rely on the collection and analysis of student data, educational content must address key issues such as data privacy, algorithmic bias, and

the responsibilities of digital citizenship. The SAMR model offers a valuable guide for curriculum designers, encouraging them to move beyond superficial uses of AI toward redefinition-level transformations where AI supports new forms of engagement, collaboration, and higher-order thinking that were not possible through traditional methods.

The role of private sector engagement also deserves careful consideration. Many of the most advanced AI tools are developed by private technology firms. Rather than treating these companies as external vendors, educational systems in developing countries should pursue strategic public-private partnerships aimed at co-creating localized AI solutions aligned with national educational priorities. These collaborations should focus on the co-development of tools that are culturally relevant, linguistically appropriate, and tailored to the specific needs of local learners.

However, such partnerships must be founded on strong ethical governance. Regulatory frameworks are needed to prevent the commodification of student data, safeguard user privacy, and ensure that educational goals are not compromised by commercial interests. All AI tools implemented in schools should undergo rigorous, transparent evaluations, including audits for equity, performance, and community acceptability. Moreover, multilateral cooperation particularly South-South collaborations can provide valuable opportunities for shared learning and capacity building among developing nations with similar challenges and aspirations.

Lastly, it is imperative to empower teachers as co-designers of AI-enhanced pedagogy. Teachers should not be passive recipients of new technologies but active participants in shaping how AI is used in their classrooms. Their involvement in the design, testing, and evaluation of AI tools ensures that these technologies are grounded in actual pedagogical needs rather than abstract technical assumptions. True teacher empowerment requires a shift in educational culture one that recognizes and supports teacher agency through institutional mechanisms such as school-based innovation labs, participatory design workshops, and research grants for AI-related educational experimentation.

From the perspective of critical pedagogy, empowering teachers also serves as a safeguard against the risks of deskilling and professional marginalization. If left unchecked, the automation potential of AI could erode the teacher's role, reducing them to mere facilitators of pre-programmed content. By maintaining professional judgment and exercising pedagogical expertise, teachers can ensure that AI supports rather than supplants human-centered education. In doing so, they help preserve the democratic and emancipatory potential of education in the face of rapid technological change.

3. Conclusion

The rapid advancement of Artificial Intelligence (AI) is reshaping the contours of education across the globe. Its applications ranging from adaptive learning platforms to intelligent tutoring systems and predictive analytics hold the potential to redefine how we teach and learn. However, for developing nations, the integration of AI into education is not simply a technological endeavor, but a profound socio-pedagogical transformation that requires strategic foresight, critical reflection, and unwavering commitment to equity and human dignity.

Throughout this article, we have explored both the challenges and opportunities that AI presents in the educational contexts of developing nations. Infrastructure limitations, low digital literacy, rigid curricula, and ethical dilemmas stand as formidable barriers. Yet, if these issues are addressed with intentional strategies such as investing in infrastructure, empowering teachers, adopting ethical curriculum reforms, and fostering responsible partnerships the integration of AI can serve as a lever for educational justice and innovation.

At the heart of this conversation lies a key principle: AI must be seen not as a substitute for human educators, but as a tool that amplifies their capabilities and extends their reach. In this light, teachers must remain the central actors in the educational process. They bring empathy, cultural understanding, moral judgment, and creative adaptability qualities that no algorithm can replicate. AI may provide recommendations, analyze trends, and automate tasks, but it is the teacher who must interpret this information, contextualize it, and transform it into meaningful learning experiences.

This vision aligns with the TPACK framework, which underscores the importance of integrating technology with pedagogical and content knowledge. It also resonates with the SAMR model, which encourages the redefinition of learning tasks in ways that would be impossible without technology. However, both models require the presence of a competent, reflective, and empowered teacher. Without human agency, the use of AI risks becoming a technocratic exercise rather than a transformative educational force.

Moreover, adopting AI in education without considering the specific socio-cultural and economic realities of developing countries risks perpetuating inequality rather than alleviating it. Educational technologies developed in high-income contexts may not reflect the linguistic, cultural, and pedagogical needs of learners in the Global South. A one-size-fits-all

approach can further marginalize vulnerable populations, especially if AI systems are embedded with biases or designed with commercial, rather than educational, priorities.

Hence, contextual understanding must underpin every phase of AI implementation from design and pilot testing to deployment and evaluation. Local educators, students, and communities must be engaged as co-creators, not passive recipients. Policies should encourage the localization of AI tools, ensuring they respond to the diversity of learners and educational environments found within developing nations.

Another critical dimension is ethical governance. As AI tools increasingly rely on the collection and analysis of vast quantities of data, developing nations must put in place robust data protection regulations, ethical review mechanisms, and transparent accountability structures. The right to privacy, informed consent, and protection from algorithmic discrimination must be enshrined within educational technology policies. These principles are essential not only for protecting learners but for preserving public trust in digital innovation.

In tandem, efforts must also be made to nurture AI literacy among all stakeholders not only students but also teachers, parents, school leaders, and policymakers. Understanding how AI works, its benefits and limitations, and its implications for learning and society is crucial in preventing misuse and ensuring meaningful engagement. This includes critical digital literacy, which enables individuals to question, critique, and responsibly interact with AI systems rather than accepting their outputs uncritically.

Finally, the future of AI in education for developing nations must be envisioned through a lens of educational justice. Critical pedagogy reminds us that education is not merely a means of information transmission but a humanizing process that should cultivate critical thinking, social awareness, and the capacity to transform one's world. AI, when harnessed within this philosophical framework, can serve as a powerful ally not by dictating how learning occurs, but by enabling new forms of exploration, expression, and collaboration that were previously inaccessible.

In conclusion, the road to AI integration in the educational systems of developing countries is complex and fraught with challenges. Yet, it is also filled with promise. The key lies in ensuring that this transformation is driven by principles of inclusion, context sensitivity, and human-centered design. Technology must serve pedagogy not the other way around. And above all, it must serve people: teachers, learners, and communities striving for a more equitable and empowering education.

References

[1] L. Vesna, "Digital Divide in AI-Powered Education: Challenges and Solutions for Equitable Learning," J. Inf. Syst. Eng. Manag., vol. 10, pp. 300–308, Mar. 2025, doi: 10.52783/jisem. v10i21s.3327.

[2] A. N. Mustafa, "The future of mathematics education: Adaptive learning technologies and artificial intelligence," Int. J. Sci. Res. Arch., vol. 12, no. 01, pp. 2594–2599, 2024.

[3] T. Nur Fitria, Artificial Intelligence (AI) In Education: Using AI Tools for Teaching and Learning Process. 2021.

[4] C.-C. Lin, A. Y. Q. Huang, and O. H. T. Lu, "Artificial intelligence in intelligent tutoring systems toward sustainable education: a systematic review," Smart Learn. Environ., vol. 10, no. 1, p. 41, 2023, doi: 10.1186/s40561-023-00260-y.

[5] E. Oye, E. Frank, and J. Owen, "Ethical Considerations in AI-Driven Education," Dec. 2024.

[6] M. Maiti, P. Kayal, and A. Vujko, "A study on ethical implications of Artificial Intelligence adoption in business: challenges and best practices," Futur. Bus. J., vol. 11, no. 1, p. 34, 2025, doi: 10.1186/s43093-025-00462-5.

[7] M. Koehler, P. Mishra, M. Akcaoglu, and J. Rosenberg, "The Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge Framework for Teachers and Teacher Educators," 2013.

[8] R. R. Puentedura, "SAMR and TPCK: A Hands-On Approach to Classroom Practice," 2006. [Online]. Available: https://www.hippasus.com/rrpweblog/archives/2014/12/11/SAMRandTPCK_HandsOnApproachClassroomPractice.pdf

[9] P. Freire, "Pedagogy of the oppressed," in Toward a sociology of education, Routledge, 2020, pp. 374–386.

[10] UNESCO, Recommendation on the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence. Paris, France: UNESCO, 2022. [Online]. Available: https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000381137

[11] E. A. Ortiz and M. Pérez-alfaro, “AI and Education: Building the Future Through Digital Transformation AI and Education: Building the Future Through Digital Transformation,” no. April, 2025.

[12] Y. C. Wong, K. M. Ho, H. Chen, D. Gu, and Q. Zeng, “Digital Divide Challenges of Children in Low-Income Families: The Case of Shanghai,” J. Technol. Hum. Serv., vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 53–71, Jan. 2015, doi: 10.1080/15228835.2014.998576.

[13] M. A. Ayanwale, I. T. Sanusi, O. P. Adelana, K. D. Aruleba, and S. S. Oyelere, “Teachers’ readiness and intention to teach Artificial Intelligence in schools,” Comput. Educ. Artif. Intell., vol. 3, p. 100099, 2022, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2022.100099.

[14] R. Ejjami, “The Future of Learning: AI-Based Curriculum Development,” Int. J. Multidiscip. Res., vol. 6, Jul. 2024, doi: 10.36948/ijfmr.2024.v06i04.24441.